

AN
EARNEST
APPEAL
TO MEN OF
REASON
AND
RELIGION.

~~~~~  
By JOHN WESLEY, M.A.  
Late Fellow of LINCOLN-COLLEGE, OXFORD.  
~~~~~

JOHN vii. 51.
Doth our Law judge any Man before it hear him,
and know what he doth ?

~~~~~  
THE EIGHTH EDITION.  
~~~~~

~~~~~  
LONDON:

Printed for G. WHITFIELD, New-Chapel, City-Road;  
and sold at the Methodist Preaching-Houses,  
in Town and Country.

1796.





AN  
 EARNEST APPEAL  
 TO  
 MEN OF REASON AND RELIGION.

ALTHOUGH it is with us a "very small thing to be judged of you, or of man's judgment," seeing we know God will "make our INNOCENCY as clear as the light, and our JUST DEALING as the noon-day;" yet are we ready to give any that are willing to hear, a plain account both of our principles and actions: "as having renounced the hidden things of shame," and desiring nothing more, "than by manifestation of the truth to commend ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God."

2. We see (and who does not?) the numberless follies and miseries of our fellow creatures. We see on every side, either men of no religion at all, or men of a lifeless, formal religion. We are grieved at the sight, and should greatly rejoice, if by any means we might convince some, that there is a better religion to be attained, a religion worthy of God that gave it. And this we conceive to be no other than love; the love of God and of all mankind; the loving God with all our heart, and soul, and strength, as having first loved *us*, as the fountain of all the good we have received, and of all we ever hope to enjoy; and the loving every soul which God hath made, every man on earth, as our own soul.

3. This love we believe to be the medicine of life, the never-failing remedy, for all the evils of a disordered world, for all the miseries and vices of men. Wherever this is, there are virtue and happiness, going hand

in hand. There is humbleness of mind, gentleness, long-suffering, the whole image of God, and at the same time a peace that passeth all understanding, and joy unspeakable and full of glory.

“Eternal sunshine of the spotless mind;  
Each prayer accepted, and each wish resign’d :  
Desires compos’d, affections ever even,  
Tears that delight, and sighs that waft to heaven.”

4. This religion we long to see established in the world, a religion of love, and joy, and peace, having its seat in the heart, in the inmost soul, but ever shewing itself, by its fruits, continually springing forth not only in all innocence, (for love worketh no ill to his neighbour) but likewise in every kind of beneficence, spreading virtue and happiness all around it.

5. This religion have we been following after for many years, as many know if they would testify : but all this time, seeking wisdom we found it not ; we were spending our strength in vain. And being now under full conviction of this, we declare it to all mankind : for we desire not that others should wander out of the way, as we have done before them ; but rather that they may profit by our loss, that they may go (tho’ we did not, having then no man to guide us) the straight way to the religion of love, even by faith.

6. Now faith (supposing the Scripture to be of God) is *πραγμάτων ἔλεγχος ἢ βλεπομένων*, the demonstrative evidence of things unseen, the supernatural evidence of things invisible, not perceivable by eyes of flesh, or by any of our natural senses or faculties. Faith is that divine evidence, whereby the spiritual man discerneth God and the things of God. It is with regard to the spiritual world, what sense is with regard to the natural. It is the spiritual sensation of every soul that is born of God.

7. Perhaps you have not considered it in this view ; I will then explain it a little further.

Faith, according to the scriptural account, is the eye of the new-born soul. Hereby every true believer in God “seeth him who is invisible.” Hereby (in a more particular manner since life and immortality have  
been

been brought to light by the gospel) he "seeth the light of the glory of God in the face of Jesus Christ;" and, "beholdeth what manner of love it is, which the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we" (who are born of the Spirit) "should be called the sons of God."

It is the ear of the soul, whereby a sinner "hears the voice of the Son of God and lives;" even that voice which alone wakes the dead, "son, thy sins are forgiven thee."

It is (if I may be allowed the expression) the palate of the soul: for hereby a believer "tastes the good word, and the powers of the world to come; and hereby he both tastes and sees that God is gracious, yea and merciful to him a sinner."

It is the feeling of the soul, whereby a believer perceives, through *the power of the Highest overshadowing him*, both the existence and the presence of Him, in whom *he lives, moves, and has his being*; and indeed the whole invisible world, the entire system of things eternal. And hereby, in particular, he feels *the love of God shed abroad in his heart*.

8. *By this Faith we are saved from all uneasiness of mind, from the anguish of a wounded spirit, from discontent, from fear, and sorrow of heart, and from that inexpressible listlessness and weariness, both of the world and of ourselves, which we had so helplessly laboured under for many years; especially when we were out of the hurry of the world, and sunk into calm reflection. In this we find that love of God, and of all mankind, which we had elsewhere sought in vain. This we know and feel, and therefore cannot but declare, saves every one that partakes of it, both from sin and misery, from every unhappy and every unholy temper.*

"Soft peace she brings, wherever she arrives,  
She builds our quiet, as she forms our lives;  
Lays the rough paths of peevish nature even,  
And opens in each breast a little heaven."

9. If you ask, "Why then have not all men this faith? All at least who conceive it to be so happy a thing? Why do they not believe immediately?"



## AN EARNEST APPEAL

We answer, (on the Scripture hypothesis) *It is the gift of God.* No man is able to work it in himself. It is a work of omnipotence. It requires no less power thus to quicken a dead soul, than to raise a body that lies in the grave. It is a new creation ; and none can create a soul anew but he who at first created the heavens and the earth.

10. May not your own experience teach you this ? Can you give yourself this Faith ? Is it now in your power to see, or hear, or taste, or feel God ? Have you already, or can you raise in yourself any perception of God, or of an invisible world : I suppose you do not deny, that there is an invisible world : you will not charge it in poor old Hesiod, to Christian prejudice of education, when he says, in those well known words,

Millions of spiritual creatures walk the earth  
Unseen, whether we wake, or if we sleep.

Now, is there any power in your soul, whereby you discern either these, or him that created them ? Or, can all your wisdom and strength open an intercourse between yourself and the world of spirits ? Is it in your power to burst the veil that is on your heart, and let in the light of eternity ? You know it is not. You not only do not, but cannot (by your own strength) thus believe. The more you labour so to do, the more you will be convinced, *it is the gift of God.*

11. It is the *free gift* of God, which he bestows not on those who are *worthy* of his favour, not on such as are *previously holy*, and so *fit* to be crowned with all the blessings of his goodness : but on the ungodly and unholy : on those who till that hour were *fit* only for everlasting destruction ; those in whom was no good thing, and whose only plea was, *God, be merciful to me a sinner.* No merit, no goodness in man precedes the forgiving love of God. His pardoning mercy supposes nothing in us but a sense of mere sin and misery : and to all who see, and feel, and own their wants, and their utter inability to remove them, God freely gives Faith, for the sake of him in whom he is *always well pleased.*

12. This



12. This is a short rude sketch of the doctrine we teach. These are our fundamental principles; and we spend our lives in confirming others herein, and in a behaviour suitable to them.

Now, if you are a reasonable man, although you do not believe the Christian system to be of God, lay your hand upon your breast, and calmly consider, what is it that you can here condemn? What evil have we done to *you*, that *you* should join the common cry against us? Why should *you* say, "away with such fellows from the earth: it is not fit that they should live?"

13. 'Tis true, your judgment does not fall in with ours. We believe the Scripture to be of God. This you do not believe. And how do you defend yourselves against them who urge you with the guilt of unbelief? Do you not say, "Every man *must* judge according to the light he has; and that if he be true to this he ought not to be condemned?" Keep then to this, and turn the tables. *Must* not *we* also judge according to the light we have? You can in no wise condemn *us*, without involving *yourselves* in the same condemnation. According to the light *we* have, we cannot but believe the Scripture is of God; and, while we believe this, we dare not turn aside from it, to the right hand or to the left.

14. Let us consider this point a little farther. You yourself believe there is a God. You have the witness of this in your own breast. Perhaps sometimes you tremble before him. You believe there is such a thing as right and wrong, that there is a difference between moral good and evil. Of consequence, you must allow, there is such a thing as conscience: I mean, that every person capable of reflection, is conscious to himself, when he looks back on any thing he has done, whether it be good or evil. You must likewise allow, that every man is to be guided by his own conscience, not another's. Thus far, doubtless, you may go, without any danger of being a *volunteer* in faith.

15. Now then, be consistent with yourself. If there be a God, who being just and good, (attributes inseparable

rable from the very idea of God) is a *rewarder of them that diligently seek him*, ought we not to do whatever we believe will be acceptable to so good a master? Observe: if we *believe*, if we are fully persuaded of this in our own mind, ought we not thus to seek him? and that with all diligence? Else, how should we expect any reward at his hands?

16. Again: ought we not to do what we believe is morally good, and to abstain from what we judge is evil? By good I mean, conducive to the good of mankind, tending to advance peace, and good-will among men, promotive of the happiness of our fellow-creatures; and by evil, what is contrary thereto. Then surely you cannot condemn our endeavouring after our power, to make mankind happy; (I now speak only with regard to the present world) our striving, as we can, to lessen their sorrows, and to teach them in whatsoever state they are, therewith to be content.

17. Yet again. Are we to be guided by *our own* conscience, or by that of *other* men? You surely will not say, that any man's conscience can preclude *mine*. You, at least, will not plead for robbing *us*, of what you strongly claim for yourselves. I mean, the right of private judgment, which is indeed unalienable from reasonable creatures. You well know, that unless we faithfully follow the dictates of *our own* mind, we cannot have a conscience void of offence toward God and towards man.

18. Upon your own principles therefore you must allow us to be, at least, *innocent*. Do you find any difficulty in this? You speak much of prepossession and prejudice, beware you are not entangled therein yourselves. Are you not prejudiced against *us*, because we believe and strenuously defend that system of doctrines which you oppose? Are you not enemies to *us*, because you take it for granted we are so to *you*? Nay, God forbid! I once saw one, who, from a plentiful fortune, was reduced to the lowest extremity. He was lying on a sick bed, in violent pain, without even convenient food, or one friend to comfort him; so that when his merciful landlord, to complete all, sent one

to take his bed from under him, I was not surpris'd at his attempt to put an end to so miserable a life. Now, when I saw that poor man, weltering in his blood, could I be angry at him? Surely no. No more can I at *you*. I can no more hate than I can envy you. I can only lift up my heart to God for *you* (as I did then for him) and, with silent tears, beseech the Father of mercies, that he would look on you in your blood, and say unto you, *Live*.

19. "Sir, (said that unhappy man, at my first interview with him) I scorn to deceive you or any man. You must not tell me of your Bible; for I don't believe one word of it. I know there is a God, and believe he is all and in all, the *Anima Mundi*, the

"*vastam*

*Mens agitans molem, & magno se corpore miscens.*"

"But farther than this, I believe not; all is dark; my thought is lost. But I hear (added he) you preach to a great number of people every night and morning. Pray, what would you do with them? Whither would you lead them? What religion do you preach? What is it good for?" I replied, "I do preach to as many as desire to hear, every night and morning. You ask, 'What I would do with them?' I would make them virtuous and happy, easy in themselves, and useful to others. 'Whither would I lead them?' To heaven; to God the judge, the lover of all, and to Jesus the mediator of the new covenant. 'What religion do I preach? The religion of love; the law of kindness brought to light by the gospel. 'What is this good for?' To make all who receive it enjoy God and themselves: To make them like God; lovers of all; contented in their lives; and crying out at their death, in calm assurance, *O grave where is thy victory!* Thanks be unto God, who giveth me the victory, thro' my Lord Jesus Christ."

20. Will you object to such a religion as this; that it is not reasonable? Is it not reasonable then to love God? Hath he not given you life, and breath, and all things? Does he not still continue his love to you, filling your heart with food and gladness? What have you which you have not received of him?



him? And does not love demand a return of love? Whether, therefore, you *do* love God or no, you cannot but own it is reasonable so to do; nay, seeing he is the parent of all good, to love him with all your heart.

21. Is it not reasonable also to love our neighbour? Every man whom God hath made? Are we not brethren? The children of one Father? Ought we not then to love one another? And, should we only love them that love us? Is that acting like our Father which is in heaven? He causeth his sun to shine on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and on the unjust. And can there be a more equitable rule of our love, than "thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself?" You will *plead* for the reasonableness of this; as also for that golden rule, (the only adequate measure of brotherly love, in all our words and actions) "Whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, even so do unto them."

22. Is it not reasonable then, that as we have opportunity, we should do good unto all men? Not only friends but enemies, not only to the deserving, but likewise to the evil and unthankful. Is it not right that all our life should be one continued labour of love? If a day passes without doing good, may one not well say, with Titus, \* *Amici, diem perdidit!* And is it enough, to feed the hungry, to clothe the naked, to visit those who are sick or in prison? Should we have no pity for those

"Who sigh beneath guilt's horrid stain,

"The worst confinement and the heaviest chain?"

Should we shut up our compassion toward those who are of all men most miserable, because they are miserable by their own fault? If we have found a medicine to heal even that sickness, should we not, as we have freely received it, freely give? Should we not pluck them as brands out of the fire? The fire of lust, anger, malice, revenge? Your inmost soul answers, It should be done; it is reasonable in the highest degree. Well, this is the sum of our preaching, and of our lives, our enemies themselves being the judges. If therefore  
you

\* *My friends, I have lost a day!*



you allow, that it is reasonable to love God, to love mankind, and to do good to all men, you cannot but allow, that religion which we preach and live, to be agreeable to the highest reason.

23. Perhaps "all this you can bear. It is tolerable enough : and if we spoke only of being *saved by love*, you should have no great objection : but you do not comprehend what we say of being *saved by faith*." I know you do not. You do not in any degree comprehend what we mean by that expression ; have patience then, and I will tell you yet again. By those words, *we are saved by faith*, we mean, that the moment a man receives that faith which is above described, he is saved from doubt and fear, and sorrow of heart, by a peace that passes all understanding ; from the heaviness of a wounded spirit, by joy unspeakable ; and from his sins, of whatsoever kind they were ; from his vicious desires, as well as words and actions, by the love of God and of all mankind, then shed abroad in his heart.

24. We grant nothing is more unreasonable, than to imagine that such mighty effects as these can be wrought by that poor, empty, insignificant thing which the world *calls* faith, and you among them. But supposing there be such a faith on the earth, as that which the apostle speaks of, such an intercourse between God and the soul, what is too hard for such a faith ? You yourselves may conceive, that "all things are possible to him that thus believeth : " to him that thus *walks with God*, that is now a citizen of heaven, an inhabitant of eternity. If therefore you will contend with *us*, you must change the ground of your attack. You must flatly deny, there is any faith upon earth : but perhaps this you might think too large a step. You cannot do this, without a secret condemnation in your own breast. O that you would at length cry to God for that heavenly gift ! whereby alone this truly reasonable religion, this beneficent love of God and man can be planted in your heart.

25. If you say, "But those that profess this faith, are the most unreasonable of all men ;" I ask, "Who are those that profess this faith ?" Perhaps you do not personally

personally know such a man in the world. Who are they that so much as profess to have *this evidence* of things not seen? That profess to *see him that is invisible*? To hear the voice of God, and to have his Spirit ever witnessing with their spirits, that they are the children of God? I fear you will find few that even profess *this faith*, among the large numbers of those who are called believers.

26. "However, there are enough that profess themselves Christians." Yea, too many, God knoweth; too many that confute their vain professions, by the whole tenor of their lives. I will allow all you can say on this head, and perhaps more than all. It is now some years since I was engaged unawares in a conversation with a strong reasoner, who at first urged the wickedness of the American Indians, as a bar to our hope of converting them to Christianity. But when I mentioned their temperance, justice, and veracity, (according to the accounts I had then received) it was asked, "Why, if those heathens are such men as these, what will they gain by being made Christians? What would they gain by being *such Christians* as we see every where round about us?" I could not deny, they would lose, not gain, by such a Christianity as this. Upon which she added, "Why, what else do you mean by Christianity? My plain answer was, What do you apprehend to be more valuable than good sense, good nature, and good manners? All these are contained, and that in the highest degree, in what I mean by Christianity. Good sense, (so called) is but a poor, dim shadow of what Christians call faith. Good nature is only a faint, distant resemblance of Christian charity. And good manners, if of the most finished kind that nature assisted by art can attain to, is but a dead picture of that holiness of conversation, which is the image of God visibly expressed. All these put together by the art of God, I call Christianity. "Sir, if this be Christianity, (said my opponent in amaze) I never saw a Christian in my life."

27. Perhaps, it is the same case with you. If so, I am grieved for you, and can only wish, till you do see a living proof of this, that you would not say, *you see*

*see a christian.* For this is scriptural christianity, and this alone. Whenever therefore you see an unreasonable man, you see one who perhaps calls himself by that name, but is no more a *christian* than he is an *angel*. So far as he departs from true genuine reason, so far he departs from christianity. Do not say, this is only asserted, not proved. It is undeniably proved by the original charter of christianity. We appeal to this, to the written word. If any man's temper, or words, or actions, are contradictory to right reason; it is evident to a demonstration, they are contradictory to this. Produce any possible or conceivable instance, and you will find the fact is so. The lives therefore of those who are *called* christians, is no just objection to christianity.

28. We join with you then in desiring a religion founded on reason, and every way agreeable thereto. But one question still remains to be asked, What do you mean by *reason*? I suppose you mean the eternal reason, or, the nature of things: The nature of God, and the nature of man, with the relations necessarily subsisting between them. Why, this is the very religion *we* preach: a religion evidently founded on, and every way agreeable to eternal reason, to the essential nature of things. Its foundation stands on the nature of God and the nature of man, together with their mutual relations. And it is every way suitable thereto: to the nature of God; for it begins in knowing him, and where but in the true knowledge of God, can you conceive true religion to begin? It goes on in loving him, and all mankind, (for you cannot but imitate whom you love :) It ends in serving him; in doing his will; in obeying him whom we know and love.

29. It is every way suited to the nature of man; for it begins in man's knowing himself; knowing himself to be what he really is, foolish, vicious, miserable. It goes on to point out the remedy for this, to make him truly wise, virtuous, and happy; as every thinking mind (perhaps from some implicit remembrance of what it originally was) longs to be.



It finishes all, by restoring the due relations between God and man ; by uniting for ever the tender Father, and the grateful, obedient son ; the great Lord of all, and the faithful servant, doing not his own will, but the will of him that sent him.

30. But perhaps by reason you mean, the faculty of reasoning, of inferring one thing from another.

There are many, it is confessed, (particularly those who are stiled mystic divines) that utterly decry the use of reason, thus understood, in religion: nay, that condemn all reasoning concerning the things of God, as utterly destructive of true religion.

But we can in no wise agree with this. We find no authority for it in holy writ. So far from it, that we find there both our Lord and his Apostles continually reasoning with their opposers. Neither do we know, in all the productions of ancient and modern times, such a chain of reasoning or argumentation, so close, so solid, so regularly connected, as the epistle to the Hebrews. And the strongest reasoner whom we have ever observed (excepting only Jesus of Nazareth) was that Paul of Tarsus ; the same who has left that plain direction for all christians, *In malice, or wickedness, be ye children ; but in understanding, or reason, be ye men.*

31. We therefore not only allow, but earnestly exhort all who seek after true religion, to use all the reason which God hath given them, in searching out the things of God. But your *reasoning justly*, not only on this, but on any subject whatsoever, pre-supposes *true judgments* already formed, whereon to ground your argumentation. Else, you know, you will stumble at every step : because *ex falso non sequitur verum*. It is impossible, if your premises are false, to infer from them true conclusions.

32. You know likewise, that before it is possible for you to form a *true judgment* of them, it is absolutely necessary, that you have a *clear apprehension* of the things of God, and that your ideas thereof be all *fixed, distinct, and determinate*. And seeing our *ideas* are not innate, but must all originally come from our *senses*, it is certainly necessary that you have *senses capable*



pable of discerning objects of this kind. Not those only which are called *natural senses*, which in this respect profit nothing, as being altogether incapable of discerning objects of a spiritual kind, but *spiritual senses*, exercised to discern spiritual good and evil. It is necessary that you have *the hearing ear*, and the *seeing eye*, emphatically so called; that you have a new class of senses opened in your Soul, not depending on organs of flesh and blood, to be *the evidence* of things not seen, as your bodily senses are of visible things; to be the avenues to the invisible world, to discern spiritual objects, and to furnish you with ideas of what the outward eye hath not seen, neither the ear heard.

33. And till you have these *internal senses*, till the eyes of your understanding are opened, you can have no *apprehension* of divine things, no *idea* of them at all. Nor consequently, till then, can you either *judge truly*, or *reason justly* concerning them: seeing your Reason has no ground whereon to stand, no materials to work upon.

34. To use the trite instance. As you cannot *reason* concerning colours, if you have no *natural sight*, because all the *ideas* received by your other senses are of a different kind; so that neither your *hearing*, nor any *other sense*, can supply your want of *sight*, or furnish your reason in this respect with matter to work upon: so you cannot *reason* concerning spiritual things, if you have no *spiritual sight*; because all your ideas received by your *outward senses* are of a different kind. Yea, far more different from those received by faith or *internal sensation*, than the idea of colour from that of sound. These are only different species of one genus, namely, sensible ideas, received by external sensation: whereas the ideas of faith differ *toto genere* from those of *external sensation*. So that it is not conceivable that *external sensation* should supply the want of *internal senses*; or furnish your *reason* in this respect with matter to work upon.

35. What then will your reason do here? How will it pass from things natural to spiritual? From the things that are seen to those that are not seen? From the

the visible to the invisible world? What a gulph is here? By what art will reason get over the immense chasm? This cannot be till the Almighty come in to succour, and give you that Faith you have hitherto despised. Then upborn as it were upon eagles' wings, you shall soar away into the regions of eternity; and your enlightened reason shall explore even *the deep things of God*, God himself revealing them to you by his Spirit.

36. I expected to have received much light on this head, from a treatise lately published, and earnestly recommended to me, I mean, *Christianity not founded on Argument*. But on a careful perusal of that piece, notwithstanding my prejudice in its favour, I could not but perceive, that the great design uniformly pursued throughout the work, was to render the whole of the Christian institution both odious and contemptible. In order to this the author gleans up with great care and diligence, the most plausible of those many objections that have been raised against it by late writers, and proposes them with the utmost strength of which he was capable. To do this with the more effect, he *personates* a Christian: he *makes a shew* of defending an avowed doctrine of Christianity, namely, the supernatural influence of the Spirit of God; and often, for several sentences together, (indeed in the beginning of almost every paragraph) speaks *so like a Christian*, that not a few have received him according to his wish. Mean while, with all possible *art and shew of reason*, and in the most laboured language, he pursues his point throughout, which is to prove, "that Christianity is contrary to reason;" or, "that no man acting according to the principles of reason, can possibly be a Christian."

37. It is a wonderful proof of the power that smooth words may have even on serious minds, that so many have mistook such a writer as this for a friend of Christianity: since almost every page of his tract is filled with gross falsehood and broad blasphemy: and these supported by such *exploded* fallacies, and *common place* sophistry, that a person of two or three years standing in the university, might give them a sufficient answer, and

and make the author appear as *irrational* and contemptible as he labours to make Christ and his apostles.

38. I have hitherto spoken to those, chiefly, who do not receive the Christian system as of God. I would add a few words to another sort of men; (though not so much with regard to *our* principles or practice, as with regard to *their own*;) to you who *do* receive it, who believe the scripture, but yet do not take upon you the character of *religious men*, I am therefore obliged to address myself to you likewise, under the character of *men of reason*.

39. I would only ask, Are you such indeed? Do you answer the character under which you appear? If so, you are consistent with yourselves. Your principles and practice agree together.

Let us try whether this is so or not. Do you not take the name of God in vain? Do you remember the Sabbath-day to keep it holy? Do you not speak evil of the ruler of your people? Are you not a drunkard, or a glutton, faring as sumptuously as you can every day? Making a God of your belly? Do you not avenge yourself? Are you not a whoremonger or adulterer? Answer plainly to your own heart, before God the judge of all.

Why then do you say, you truly believe the scripture? If the scripture is true you are lost. You are in the broad way that leadeth to destruction. Your damnation slumbereth not. You are heaping up to yourself wrath against the day of wrath, and revelation of the righteous judgment of God. Doubtless, if the scripture is true, (and you remain thus) it had been good for you if you had never been born.

40. How is it that you call yourselves men of reason? Is reason inconsistent with itself? You are the farthest of all men under the sun from any pretence to that character. A common swearer, a sabbath breaker, a whoremonger, a drunkard, who says he believes the scripture is of God, is a monster upon earth, the greatest contradiction to his own, as well as to the reason of all mankind. In the name of God, (that worthy name whereby you are *called*) and which you daily *cause to be blasphemed*) turn either to the right hand or to the



left. Either profess you are an infidel, or be a Christian. Halt no longer thus between two opinions. Either cast off the Bible, or your sins. And in the mean time, if you have any spark of your boasted reason left, do not count us your enemies (as I fear you have done hitherto, and as thousands do wherever we have declared, "they who do such things shall not inherit eternal life,") *because we tell you the truth*: Seeing these are not our words, but the words of him that sent us. Yea, though in doing this, we use *great plainness of speech*, as becomes the ministry we have received. "For we are not as many who corrupt" (cauponize, soften, and thereby adulterate) "the word of God. But as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God, speak we in Christ."

41. But it may be, you are none of these. You abstain from all such things. You have an unspotted reputation. You are a man of honour, or a woman of virtue. You scorn to do an unhandsome thing, and are of an unblameable life and conversation. You are harmless (if I understand you right) and useless from morning to night. You do no hurt,—and no good to any one, no more than a straw floating upon the water. Your life glides smoothly on from year to year; and from one season to another, having no occasion to work,

"You waste away

In gentle inactivity the day."

42. I will not now shock the easiness of your temper, by talking about a future state. But suffer me to ask you a question about present things. Are you now happy?

I have seen a large company of *reasonable creatures called Indians*, sitting in a row on the side of a river, looking sometimes at one another, sometimes at the sky, and sometimes at the bubbles on the water. And so they sat, (unless in the time of war) for a great part of the year, from morning to night.

These were doubtless much at ease. But can you think they were happy?—And how little happier are you than they?

43. You



43. You eat, and drink, and sleep, and dress, and dance, and sit down to play. You are carried abroad. You are at the masquerade, the theatre, the opera-house, the park, the levee, the drawing-room. What do you do there? Why sometimes you talk; sometimes you look at one another. And what are you to do to-morrow? The next day? The next week? The next year? You are to eat, and drink, and sleep, and dance, and dress, and play again. And you are to be carried abroad again, that you may again look at one another! And is this all? Alas, how little more happiness have you in this, than the Indians in looking at the sky or water!

Ah poor, dull round! I do not wonder that Col. M—, (or any man of reflection) should prefer death itself, even in the midst of his years, to such a life as this! and should frankly declare, “that he chose to go out of the world, because he found nothing in it worth living for.”

44. Yet it is certain there is business to be done: and many we find in all places (not to speak of the vulgar, the drudges of the earth) who are continually employed therein. Are you of that number? Are you engaged in trade, or some other reputable employment? I suppose, profitable too; for you would not spend your time, and labour, and thought, for nothing. You are then making your fortune; you are getting money. True: but money is not your ultimate end. The treasuring up gold and silver, for its own sake, all men own, is as foolish and absurd, as grossly unreasonable, as the treasuring up spiders, or the wings of butterflies. You consider this but as a means to some further end. And what is that? Why, the enjoying yourself, the being at ease, the taking your pleasure, the living like a gentleman. That is plainly, either the whole, or some part of, the happiness above described.

Supposing then your end to be actually attained, suppose you have your wish, before you drop into eternity: go and sit down with Thleeanowhee and his companions on the river side.—After you have toiled for fifty years, you are just as happy as they.

45. Are

45. Are you, can you, or any reasonable man, be *satisfied* with this? You are not. It is not possible you should. But what else can you do? You *would* have something better to employ your time; but you know not where to find it upon earth.

And indeed it is obvious, that the Earth, as it is now constituted, even with the help of all European arts, does not find sufficient employment, to take up half the waking hours of half its inhabitants.

What then can you do? How can you employ the time that lies so heavy upon your hands? This very thing which you seek, declare we unto you. The thing you want, is the religion *we* preach. That alone leaves no time upon our hands. It fills up all the blank spaces of life. It exactly takes up all the time we have to spare, be it more or less: so that *he that hath much, hath nothing over, and he that has little, has no lack.*

46. Once more. Can you (or any man of reason) think, you was made for the life you now lead? You cannot possibly think so; at least, not till you tread the Bible under foot. The oracles of God bear thee witness in every page, (and thine own heart agreeth thereto) that thou wast made in the image of God, an incorruptible picture of the God of glory. And what art thou even in thy present state? An everlasting spirit, going to God. For what end then did he create thee, but to dwell with him, above this perishable world, to know him, to love him, to do his will, to enjoy him for ever and ever! O look more deeply into thyself! and into that Scripture, which thou professest to receive as the *word of God, as right concerning all things.* There thou wilt find a nobler, happier state described, than it ever yet entered into thy heart to conceive. But God hath now revealed it to all those who *rejoice evermore, and pray without ceasing, and in every thing give thanks, and do his will on earth as it is done in heaven.* For this thou wast made. Hereunto also thou art called. O be not disobedient unto the heavenly calling! At least, be not angry with those who would fain bring thee to be a living witness of that religion.

religion, *whose ways are indeed ways of pleasantness; and all her paths, peace.*

47. Do you say in your heart, "I know all this already. I am not barely a man of reason. I am a religious man: for I not only avoid evil and do good, but use all the means of grace. I am constantly at church, and at the sacrament too. I say my prayers every day. I read many good books. I fast—every *Thirtieth of January, and Good-Friday.*" Do you indeed? Do you do all this? This you may do: You may go thus far, and yet have *no religion* at all; *no such religion* as avails before God. Nay, much farther than this, than you have ever gone yet, or so much as thought of going. For you may *give all your goods to feed the poor, yea, your body to be burned*, and yet very possibly, if St. Paul be a judge, *have no charity, no true religion.*

48. This religion, which alone is of value before God, is the very thing you want. You want (and in wanting this, you want all) the religion of love. You do not love your neighbour as yourself, no more than you love God with all your heart. Ask your own heart now, if it be not so? It is plain you do not love God. If you did you would be happy in him. But you know you are not happy. Your *formal* religion no more makes you happy, than your neighbour's *gay* religion does him. O how much have you suffered for want of plain dealing! Can you now bear to hear the naked truth? You have *the form of Godliness*, but not the *power*. You are a mere whited wall. Before the Lord your God I ask you, Are you not? Too sure. For your "inward parts are very wickedness." You love "the creature more than the Creator." You are "a lover of pleasure more than a lover of God." A lover of God! You do not love God at all, no more than you love a stone. You love the world; therefore the love of the Father is not in you.

49. You are on the brink of the pit, ready to be plunged into everlasting perdition. Indeed you have a zeal for God; but not according to knowledge. O how terribly have you been deceived! Posting to hell,  
and



and fancying it was heaven. See at length that *outward religion* without *inward*, is nothing ; is far worse than nothing, being indeed no other than a solemn mockery of God. And *inward religion* you have not. You have not the faith that *worketh by love*. Your faith (so called) is no living, saving principle. It is not the Apostle's faith, *the substance* (or subsistence) of *things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen*. So far from it, that *this* faith is the very thing which you call *enthusiasm*. You are not content with being without it, unless you blaspheme it too. You even revile that *life which is hid with Christ in God* ; all seeing, tasting, hearing, feeling God. These things are *foolishness unto you*. No marvel ; "for they are spiritually discerned."

50. Oh ! no longer shut your eyes against the light. Know you have a name that you live, but are dead. Your soul is utterly dead in sin ; dead in pride, in vanity, in self-will, in sensuality, in love of the world. You are utterly dead to God. There is no intercourse between your soul and God. *You have neither seen him*, (by faith, as our Lord witnessed against them of old time) *nor heard his voice at any time*. You have no spiritual senses exercised to discern spiritual good and evil. You are angry at infidels, and are all the while as mere an infidel before God as they. You have eyes that see not, and ears that hear not. You have a callous unfeeling heart.

51. Bear with me a little longer : My soul is distressed for you. *The god of this world hath blinded your eyes*, and you are seeking death in the error of your life. Because you do not commit gross sin, because you give alms, and go to the Church and Sacrament, you imagine that you are serving God ; yet in very deed you are serving the devil. For you are doing still your own will, not the will of God your Saviour. You are pleasing yourself in all you do. Pride, vanity, and self-will, (the genuine fruits of an earthly, sensual, devilish heart) pollute all your words and actions. You are in darkness, in the shadow of death. Oh ! that God would say to you in thunder, "Awake, thou that sleepest,"

sleepest, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light.

52. But blessed be God! He hath not yet left himself without witness!

“All are not lost! There be, who faith prefer,

“Though few, and piety to God!”

Who know the power of faith, and are no strangers to that inward vital religion, *the mind that was in Christ*, “righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost.” Of you who have “tasted the good word of God, and the power of the world to come,” we would be glad to learn, if we have *erred from the faith*, or walked contrary to *the truth as it is in Jesus*. “Let the righteous smite me friendly, and reprove me;” if haply that which is amiss may be done away, and what is wanting supplied, till we all come to the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ.

53. Perhaps the first thing that now occurs to your mind, relates to the doctrine which we teach. You have heard, that we say, “Men may live without sin.” And have you not heard, that the Scripture says the same? (we mean without committing sin.) Does not St. Paul say plainly, that those who believe, do not continue in sin?—That they cannot “live any longer therein?” Rom. vi. 1, 2. Does not St. Peter say, “He that suffereth in the flesh, hath ceased from sin?—that he no longer should live—to the desires of men, but to the will of God,” 1 Pet. iv. 1, 2. And does not St. John say most expressly, “He that committeth sin is of the devil:—For this purpose the Son of God was manifest, that he might destroy the works of the devil? Whosoever is born of God, doth not commit sin: for his seed remaineth in him, and he cannot commit sin, because he is born of God,” 1 John iii. 8, &c. And again, “We know that whosoever is born of God sinneth not.” ch. v. 18.

54. You see then, it is not we that say this, but the Lord. These are not our words but His. And who is he that replieth against God? Who is able to make God a liar? Surely he will be justified in his saying, and clear when he is judged! Can you deny it? Have you not often felt a secret check, when you was contra-  
dicting

dicting this great truth? And how often have you wished what you was taught to deny? Nay, can you help wishing for it at this moment? Do you not now earnestly desire to cease from sin? To commit it no more? Does not your soul pant after this glorious liberty of the sons of God? And what strong reason have you to expect it? Have you not had a foretaste of it already? Do you not remember the time when God first lifted up the light of his countenance upon you? Can it ever be forgotten? The day when the candle of the Lord first shone upon your head?

“ Butter and honey did you eat,  
And lifted up on high,  
You saw the clouds beneath your feet,  
And rode upon the sky.

“ Far, far above all earthly things,  
Triumphantly you rode;  
You soar’d to heaven on eagles’ wings,  
And found, and talk’d with God.”

You then had power not to commit sin. You found the Apostle’s words strictly true, he that is begotten of God keepeth himself, and that wicked one toucheth him not. But those whom you took to be experienced Christians, telling you, “ This was only the time of your espousals; this could not last always; you must come down from the mount,” and the like, shook your faith. You looked at men more than God, and so became weak, and like another man. Whereas, had you then had any to guide you according to the truth of God, had you then heard the doctrine which now you blame, you had never fallen from your steadfastness; but had found, that in this sense also, the gifts and callings of God are without repentance.

55. Have you not another objection nearly allied to this, namely, that we preach perfection? True; but what perfection? The term you cannot object to; because it is scriptural. All the difficulty is, to fix the meaning of it according to the word of God. And this we have done again and again, declaring to all the world, that Christian Perfection does not imply an exemption



emption, from ignorance or mistake, or infirmities, or temptations: but that it does imply, the being so crucified with Christ, as to able to testify, *I live not, but Christ liveth in me*, (Gal. ii. 23.) and *hath purified my heart by faith*, (Acts xv. 9.) It does imply the casting down every high thing that exalteth itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ. It does imply, the being holy, as he that hath called us is holy, in all manner of conversation, (2 Cor. x. 5. 1 Pet. i. 15.) And, in a word, the loving the Lord our God with all our heart, and serving him with all our strength.

56. Now, is it possible for any who believe the scripture, to deny one tittle of this? You cannot. You dare not. You would not for the world. You know it is the pure word of God. And this is the whole of what we preach; this is the height and depth of what we (with St. Paul) call perfection: a state of soul devoutly to be wished, by all who have tasted of the love of God. O pray for it without ceasing. It is the one thing you want. Come with boldness to the throne of grace, and be assured that when you ask this of God, you shall have the petition you ask of him. We know indeed that to man, to the natural man, this is impossible. But we know also, that as no work is impossible with God, so all things are possible to him that believeth.

57. For we are saved by faith. But have you not heard this urged as another objection against us, that we preach salvation by faith alone? And does not St. Paul do the same thing? By grace, faith he, ye are saved through faith. Can any words be more express? And elsewhere, *Believe in the Lord Jesus, and thou shalt be saved*, Acts xvi. 31.

What we mean by this (if it has not been sufficiently explained already) is, that we are saved from our sins, only by a confidence in the love of God. As soon as we behold what manner of love it is which the Father hath bestowed upon us, we love him (as the Apostle observes) because he first loved us. And then is that commandment written in our heart, that he who loveth God loveth his brother also: from which love of God

and man, meekness, humbleness of mind, and all holy tempers, spring. Now these are the very essence of salvation, of Christian salvation, salvation from sin. And from these, outward salvation flows, that is, holiness of life and conversation. Well, and are not these things so? If you know in whom you have believed, you need no further witnesses.

58. But perhaps you doubt, whether that faith whereby we are thus saved, implies such a trust and confidence in God as we describe. "You cannot think faith implies assurance: an assurance of the love of God to our souls, of his being now reconciled to us, and having forgiven all our sins." And this we freely confess, that if number of voices is to decide the question, we must give it up at once; for you have on your side, not only some who desire to be Christians indeed, but all nominal Christians in every place, and the Romish church, one and all. Nay, these last are so vehement in your defence, that in the famed council of Trent, they have decreed, "If any man hold (*fduciam*) trust, confidence, or assurance of pardon to be essential to faith, let him be accursed."

59. Thus does that council anathematize the church of England. For she is convicted hereof, by her own confession. The very words in the Homily on Salvation are, "Even the devils believe, that Christ was born of a virgin; that he wrought all kind of miracles, declaring himself very God; that for our sakes he suffered a most painful death, to redeem us from death everlasting. These articles of our faith the devils believe; and so they believe all that was written in the old and new Testament. And yet for all this faith, they be but devils. They remain still in their damnable estate, lacking the very true, Christian faith."

"The right and true Christian faith is, not only to believe the Holy Scriptures and the articles of our faith are true, but also to have a sure trust and confidence to be saved from everlasting damnation through Christ." Or, (as it is expressed a little after.) "A sure trust and confidence which a man hath in God, that by the merits of Christ his sins are forgiven, and he reconciled to the favour of God."

60. Indeed

60. Indeed the bishop of Rome saith, "If any man hold this, let him be an Anathema Maran-atha." But it is to be hoped, Papal Anathemas do not move you. You are a member of the church of England. Are you? Then the controversy is at an end. Then hear the church. Faith is a sure trust which a man hath in God, that his sins are forgiven. Or if you are not, whether you hear our church or no, at least, hear the scriptures. Hear believing Job, declaring his faith, *I know, that my Redeemer liveth.* Hear Thomas, (when having seen, he believed) crying out, *My Lord and my God.* Hear St. Paul clearly describing the nature of his faith, *the life I now live, I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me, and gave himself for me.* Here (to mention no more) all the believers who were with Paul when he wrote to the Colossians, bearing witness, *We give thanks unto the Father, who hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of his dear Son: in whom we have redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins, ch. i. ver. 12, 13, 14.*

61. But what need have we of distant witnesses? You have a witness in your own breast. For am I not speaking to one that loves God? How came you then to love him at first? Was it not, because you knew that he loved you? Did you, could you love God at all, till you tasted and saw that he was gracious? That he was merciful to you a sinner? What avails then controversy or strife of words? Out of thy own mouth! You own, you had no love to God, till you was sensible of his love to you. And whatever expressions any sinner who loves God uses, to denote God's love to him, you will always upon examination, find, that they directly or indirectly imply forgiveness. Pardon-ing love is still at the root of all. He who was offended is now reconciled. The new song which God puts in every mouth, is always to that effect, *O Lord, I will praise thee: though thou wast angry with me, thine anger is turned away. Behold, God is my salvation. I will trust and not be afraid; for the Lord Jehovah is my strength and my song: he is also become my salvation, Isa. xii. 1, 2.*



62. A confidence then in a pardoning God, is essential to true faith. The forgiveness of sins is one of the first of those unseen things, whereof faith is the evidence. And if you are sensible of this, will you quarrel with us concerning an indifferent circumstance of it? Will you think it an important objection that we assert, that this faith is usually given in a moment? First, let me intreat you to read over that authentic account of God's dealings with men, the Acts of the Apostles. In this treatise you will find, how he wrought from the beginning on those who received remission of sins by faith. And can you find one of these, (except perhaps St. Paul) who did not receive it in a moment? But abundance you find of those who did, besides Cornelius and the three thousand, and to this also agrees the experience of those who now receive the heavenly gift. Three or four exceptions only have I found in the course of several years, (perhaps you yourself may be added to that number, and one or two more whom you have known.) But all the rest of those, who from time to time among us have believed in the Lord Jesus Christ, were in a moment brought from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God.

63. And why should it seem a thing incredible to you, who have known the power of God unto salvation; (whether he hath wrought thus in your soul or no; *for there are diversities of operations but the same spirit*) that *the dead should hear the voice of the Son of God, and in that moment live?* Thus he useth to act, to shew that when he willeth, to do is present with him. *Let there be light, said God, and there was light. He spake the word, and it was done. Thus the heavens and the earth were created, and all the hosts of them.* And this manner of acting in the present case, highly suits both his power and love. There is therefore no hindrance on God's part; since *as his Majesty is, so is his mercy.* And whatever hindrance there is on the part of man, when God speaketh, it is not. Only *ask* then, O sinner, *and it shall be given thee*, even the faith that brings salvation: and that, without any merit or good work of thine; for *it is not of works, lest any man should*

*shou'd boast.* No ; it is of grace, of grace alone. For unto him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted to him for righteousness.

64. " But by talking thus you encourage sinners." I do encourage them—to repent : And do not you ? Do not you know, how many heap sin upon sin, purely for want of such encouragement ? Because they think, " they can never be forgiven ; there is no place for repentance left ? " Does not your heart also bleed for them ? What would you think too dear to part with ? What would you not do ? What would you not suffer, to bring one such sinner to repentance ? Could not your love *endure all things* for them ? " Yes — if you believed it would do them good ; if you had any hope, they would ever be better." Why do you not believe it would do them good ? Why have you not a hope that they will be better ? Plainly, because you do not love them enough : because you have not that charity, which not only endureth, but at the same time believeth and hopeth all things.

65. But that you may see the whole strength of this objection, I will shew you without any disguise or reserve, how I encourage the very chief of sinners, my usual language to them runs thus :

O ye that deny the Lord that bought you, yet hear the word of the Lord. You seek rest, but find none. Even in laughter your heart is in heaviness. How long spend ye your labour for that which is not bread, and your strength for that which satisfieth not ? You know your soul is not satisfied. It is still an aking void. Sometimes you find (in spite of your principles) a sense of guilt, an awakened conscience. That grisly phantom, religion (so you describe her) will now and then haunt you still. Righteousness looking down from heaven, is indeed to us no unpleasing sight. But how does it appear to you.

*Horribili super aspectu mortalibus astant ?*

How often are you in fear of the very things you deny ? How often in racking suspense ? " What if there be an hereafter ? A judgment to come ? An

unhappy eternity?" Do you not start at the thoughts? Can you be content to be always thus? Shall it be said of you also,

"Here lies a dicer, long in doubt  
If death could kill the soul, or not?  
Here ends his doubtfulness; at last  
Convinc'd. But, O the die is cast!"

Or, are you already convinced, there is no hereafter? What a poor state then are you in now? Taking a few more dull turns upon earth, and then dropping into nothing! What kind of spirit must you be of, if you can sustain yourself under the thought! Under the expectation of being in a few months swept away by the stream of time, and then for ever

"swallow'd up, and lost,  
In the wide womb of uncreated night!"

But neither indeed are you certain of this; nor of any thing else. "It may be so; it may not. A vast scene is behind. But clouds and darkness rest upon it." All is doubt and uncertainty. You are continually tossed to and fro, and have no firm ground for the sole of your foot. O let not the poor wisdom of man any longer exalt itself against the wisdom of God. You have fled from him long enough: at length suffer your eyes to be opened by him that made them. You want rest to your soul. Ask it of him, who giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not! You are now a mere riddle to yourself, and your condition full of darkness and perplexity. You are one among many restless inhabitants, of a miserable, disordered world, *walking in a vain shadow, and disquieting yourself in vain.* But the light of God will speedily disperse the anxiety of your vain conjectures. By adding heaven to earth, and eternity to time; it will open such a glorious view of things, as will lead you, even in the present world, to a peace which passeth all understanding.

66. O ye gross, vile, scandalous sinners, hear ye the word of the Lord, *Turn ye, turn ye from your evil ways;*



*ways : so iniquity shall not be your ruin. As I live, saith the Lord, I have no pleasure in the death of a sinner, but rather that he should turn and live. O make haste ; delay not the time. Come, and let us reason together. Though your sins be as scarlet, they shall be white as snow ; though they be red as crimson, they shall be as wool.—Who is this that cometh from Edom, with dyed garments, red in his apparel ? It is he on whom the Lord hath laid the iniquities of us all ! Behold, behold the Lamb of God, that taketh away thy sins ! See the only begotten Son of the Father, full of grace and truth ! He loveth thee. He gave himself for thee. Now, his bowels of compassion yearn over thee ! O believe in the Lord Jesus, and thou shalt be saved ! Go in peace, sin no more !*

67. Now cannot you join in all this ? Is it not the very language of your heart ? O when will you take knowledge, that *our* whole concern, our constant labour is, to bring all the world to the religion which you feel, to solid, inward, vital religion ! What power is it then that keeps us asunder ? *Is thine heart right, as my heart is with thy heart ? If it be, give me thy hand. Come with me and see, and rejoice in my zeal for the Lord.* No difference between us (if thou art a child of God) can be so considerable as our agreement is. If we differ in smaller things, we agree in that which is greatest of all. How is it possible then that you should be induced, to think or speak evil of us ? How could it ever come into your mind, to oppose us or weaken our hands ? How long shall we complain of the wounds which we receive in the house of our friends ? Surely the children of this world are still *wiser in their generation than the children of light.* Satan is not divided against himself : Why are they, who are on the Lord's side ? How is it that *wisdom is not justified of her own children ?*

68. Is it, because you have heard, “ That we only make religion a cloak for covetousness ? And because you have heard abundance of particulars alleged in support of that general charge ? ” It is probable, you may also have heard, “ How much we have gained by preaching already : ” and, to crown all, “ That we  
are

are only papists in disguise, who are undermining and destroying the church?"

69. "You have heard this." Well: and can you believe it? Have you then never heard the 5th chapter of St. Matthew? I would to God you could believe this! what is written there? How readeſt thou? *Blessed are ye when men shall revile you and persecute you, and say all manner of evil against you falsely for my name's sake. Rejoice and be exceeding glad; for great is your reward in heaven; for so persecuted they the prophets that were before you; namely, by reviling them, and saying all manner of evil of them falsely. Do not you know that this (as well as all other scriptures) must needs be fulfilled? If so, take knowledge, that this day also it is fulfilled in your ears. For our Lord's sake, and for the sake of his gospel which we preach, men do revile us and persecute us, and (blessed be God who giveth us to rejoice therein) say all manner of evil of us falsely. And how can it be otherwise? The disciple is not above his master. It is enough for the disciple, that he be as his master, and the servant as his Lord. If they have called the master of the house Beelzebub, how much more shall they call them of his household?*

70. This only we confess, that, "we preach inward salvation, now attainable by faith." And for preaching this, (for no other crime was then so much as pretended) we were forbid to preach any more in any of those churches, were, till then, we were gladly received. This is a notorious fact. Being thus hindered from preaching in the places we should first have chosen, we now declare the grace of God which bringeth salvation, in all places of his dominion: as well knowing that God dwelleth not in temples made with hands. This is the real, and it is the only real ground of complaint against us. And this we avow before all mankind, we do preach this salvation by faith. And not being suffered to preach it in the usual places, we declare it wherever a door is opened, either on a mountain or a plain, or by a river side, (for all which we conceive we have sufficient precedent) or in a prison, or, as it were, in the house of Justus, or the school of one Tyrannus. Nor dare we refrain. A dispensation  
of

of the gospel is committed to me; and "woe is me if I preach not the gospel."

71. Here we allow the fact, but deny the guilt. But in every other point alledged, we deny the fact, and call upon the world to prove it, if they can. More especially we call upon those who for many years saw our manner of life at Oxford. These well know, that after the most straitest sect of our religion, we lived Pharisees: and that the grand objection to us for all those years, was the being righteous overmuch: the reading, fasting, praying, denying ourselves; the going to church, to the Lord's table; the relieving the poor, visiting those that were sick and in prison; instructing the ignorant, and labouring to reclaim the wicked — more than was necessary for salvation. These were our open, flagrant crimes, from the year 1729 to the year 1737; touching which our Lord shall judge in that day.

72. But waving the things that are past: which of you now convinceth us of sin? Which of you (I here more especially appeal to my brethren the clergy) can personally convict us of any ungodliness or unholiness of conversation? Ye know in your own hearts, (all that are candid men, all that are not utterly blinded with prejudice) that we *labour to have a conscience void of offence toward God and toward man*. Brethren, I would to God that in this ye were even as we. But indeed (with grief I speak it) ye are not. There are among yourselves ungodly and unholy men; openly, undeniably such: drunkards, gluttons, returners of evil for evil, liars, swearers, profaners of the day of the Lord. Proof hereof is not wanting if ye require it. Were then is your zeal against these? A clergyman, so drunk he can scarce stand or speak, may, in the presence of a thousand people, \* set upon another clergyman of the same church, both with abusive words and open violence. And what follows? Why, the one is still allowed to dispense the sacred signs of the body and blood of Christ. But the other is not allowed to receive them. — Because he is a field preacher.

\* At Epworth, in Lincolnshire.



73. O ye pillars and fathers of the church, are these things well-pleasing to him, who hath made you overseers over that flock which he hath purchased with his own blood? O that ye would suffer me to boast myself a little! Is there not a cause? Have not ye compelled me? Which of your clergy are more unspotted in their lives, which more unwearied in their labours, than those whose names ye cast out as evil, whom ye count as the filth and off-scouring of the world? Which of them is more zealous to spend and be spent, for the lost sheep of the house of Israel? Or, who amongst them is more ready to be offered up for their flock upon the sacrifice and service of their faith?

74. Will ye say, (as the historian of Cataline) *Si sic pro patria!* If this were done in defence of the church, and not in order to undermine and destroy it! That is the very proposition I undertake to prove. That "we are now defending the church, even the church of England, in opposition to all those who either secretly undermine, or more openly attempt to destroy it."

75. That we are *Papists*, (we, who are daily and hourly preaching that very doctrine, which is so solemnly anathematized by the whole church of Rome) is such a charge, that I dare not waste my time in industriously confuting it. Let any man of common sense only look on the title pages of the sermons we have lately preached at Oxford, and he will need nothing more to shew him the weight of this senseless shameless accusation;—unless he can suppose the governors both of Christ-church and Lincoln college, nay, and all the university to be *Papists* too.

76. You yourself can easily acquit us of this: but not of the other part of the charge. You still think we are secretly undermining, if not openly destroying the church.

What do you mean by the church? A visible church (as our article defines it) is, *A company of faithful (or believing) people: cætus credentium.* This is the essence of a church: and the properties thereof are (as they are described in the words that follow) *That the pure word of God be preached therein, and the sacraments duly administered.*

*administered.* Now then (according to this authentic account) what is *The church of England*? What is it indeed, but the *faithful people*, the *true believers of England*? It is true, if these are scattered abroad they come under another consideration. But when they are visibly joined, by assembling together to hear the pure word of God preached, and to eat of one bread, and drink of one cup, they are then properly the visible church of England.

77. It were well if this were a little more considered by those, who so vehemently cry out, *The church, the church*, (as those of old, *The temple of the Lord! the temple of the Lord!*) not knowing what they speak, nor whereof they affirm. A provincial or national church, according to our article, is, the true believers of that province or nation. If these are dispersed up and down, they are only a part of the invisible church of Christ. But if they are visibly joined by assembling together to hear his word and partake of his supper, they are then a visible church, such as the church of England, France, or any other.

78. This being premised, I ask, How do we undermine or destroy the church? The provincial, visible church of England? The article mentions three things as essential to a visible church: 1st, Living faith, without which indeed there can be no church at all, neither visible nor invisible; 2dly, Preaching (and consequently hearing) the pure word of God, else the faith would languish and die; and 3dly, A due administration of the sacraments, the ordinary means whereby God increaseth faith. Now come close to the question: in which of these points do we undermine, or destroy the church?

Do we shut the door of faith? Do we lessen the number of believing people in England? Only remember what faith is, according to our homilies, (viz. "A sure trust and confidence in God, that through the merits of Christ my sins are forgiven, and I reconciled to the favour of God.") And we appeal to all mankind, do we destroy this faith, which is the life and soul of the church? Is there, in fact, less of this faith in England, than there was before we went forth!

I think

I think this is an assertion which the father of lies himself will scarce dare to utter or maintain.

With regard then to this first point it is undeniable, we neither undermine nor destroy the church. The second thing is, the preaching and hearing the pure word of God. And do we hinder this? Do we hinder any minister from preaching the pure word of God? If any preach not at all, or not the pure word of God, is the hindrance in us or in themselves? Or, do we lessen the number of those that hear the pure word of God? Are then the hearers thereof (whether read or preached) *fewer* than they were in times past? Are the usual places of public Worship *less frequented* by means of our preaching? Wheresoever our lot has been cast for any time, are the churches *emptier* than they were before? Surely, none that has any regard left either for truth or modesty, will say, that *in this point* we are enemies to, or destroyers of, the church.

The third thing requisite (if not to the *being*, at least) to the *well-being* of a church, is the due administration of the sacraments, particularly that of the Lord's supper. And are we, in *this* respect, underminers or destroyers of the church? Do we either by our example or advice, draw men away from the Lord's table? Where we have laboured most, are there the fewest communicants? How does the fact stand in London, Bristol, Newcastle? O that you would no longer shut your eyes against the broad light which encompasses you on every side!

79. I believe you are sensible by this time, not only how weak this objection is, but likewise how easy it would be, terribly to retort every branch of it upon most of those that make it: whether we speak of *true* living faith, of preaching the *pure* word of God, or of the *due* administration of the sacraments, both of baptism and the Lord's supper. But I spare you. It sufficeth that our God knoweth, and will make manifest in that day, whether it be by reason of *us* or *you*, that *men* *abhor the offering of the Lord*.

80. Others object, "That we do not observe the *laws of the Church*, and thereby undermine it." What laws? The rubricks or canons? In every parish where



where I have been curate yet, I have observed the rubrics with a scrupulous exactness, not for wrath, but for conscience sake. And this, so far as belongs to an unbeneficed minister, or to a private member of the church, I do now. I will just mention a few of them, and leave you to consider, which of us has observed or does observe them most.

1. Days of fasting or abstinence to be observed:

The forty days of Lent,

The Ember days at the four seasons,

The three Rogation days,

All Fridays in the Year, except Christmas Day.

2. "So many as intend to be partakers of the holy communion, shall signify their Names to the curate, at least some time the day before:

And if any of these be an open and notorious evil liver,—the curate shall advertise him, that in any wise he presume not to come to the Lord's table until he hath openly declared himself to have truly repented.

3. "Then (after the *micene* creed) the curate shall declare unto the people, what holidays or fasting days are in the week following to be observed.

4. "The minister shall first receive the communion of both kinds himself, and then proceed to deliver the same to the bishops, priests, and deacons, in like manner, if any be present, and after that, to the people.

5. "In cathedral and collegiate churches, and colleges, where there are many priests and deacons, they shall all receive the communion with the priest, every Sunday at the least.

6. "The children to be baptized must be ready at the font, immediately after the last lesson.

7. "The curates of every parish shall warn the people, that without great necessity, they procure not their children to be baptized at home in their houses.

8. "The curate of every parish shall diligently upon Sundays and holydays, after the second Lesson at evening prayer, openly in the church, instruct and examine so many children as he shall think convenient, in some part of the catechism.

D

9. "When-

9. "Whensoever the bishop shall give notice for children to be brought unto him for their confirmation, the curate of every parish shall either bring or send in writing, with his hand subscribed thereunto, the names of all such persons within his parish as he shall think fit to be presented to the bishop."

81. Now the question is not, whether these rubricks ought to be observed, (you take this for granted in making the objection) but whether in fact they have been observed, by you or me, most? Many can witness I have observed them punctually, yea, sometimes at the hazard of my life: and as many, I fear, that you have not observed them at all, and that several of them you never pretended to observe. And is it you that are accusing me, for not observing the rubricks of the church? What grimace is this! *O tell it not in Gath! Publish it not in the streets of Askelon!*

82. With regard to the canons, I would in the first place desire you to consider, two or three plain questions.

1st. Have you ever read them over?

2dly. How can these be called, "The canons of the church of England?" Seeing they were never legally established by the church? Never regularly confirmed in any full convocation?

3dly. By what right am I required to observe such canons as were never legally established?

And then I will join issue with you on one question more, viz. Whether you or I have observed them most?

To instance only in a few.

Can. 29. No person shall be admitted godfather or godmother to any child,—before the said person hath received the holy communion.

Can. 59. Every Parson, Vicar, or Curate, upon every Sunday and Holiday, before Evening Prayer, shall, for half an hour, or more, examine and instruct the youth and ignorant persons of his parish.

Can. 64. Every Parson, Vicar, or Curate, shall declare to the people every Sunday, whether there be any holidays or fasting-days the week following.

Can. 68.

Can. 68. No minister shall refuse or delay to christen any child that is brought to the church, to him upon Sundays or holidays to be christened, or to bury any corps that is brought to the church or church-yard.

(N. B. Inability to pay fees does not alter the case.)

Can. 75. No ecclesiastical persons shall spend their time idly, by day or by night, playing at dice, cards or tables.

Now let the clergyman who has observed only these five canons for one year last past, and who has read over all the canons in his congregation; (as the King's ratification straitly enjoins him to do once every year) let him, I say, cast the first stone at us, for not observing the Canons (so called) of the church of England.

83. "However we cannot be (it is said) friends to the church, because we do not *obey the Governors of it, and submit ourselves* (as at our ordination we promised to do) *to all their godly admonitions and injunctions.*"\* I answer, in every individual point of an indifferent nature, We do and will (by the grace of God) obey the governors of the church. But the *testifying the gospel of the grace of God*, is not a point of an indifferent nature. *The ministry which we have received of the Lord Jesus*, we are at all hazards to fulfil. It is *the burthen of the Lord* which is laid upon us here; and we are *to obey God rather than man*. Nor yet do we in any ways violate the promise which each of us made, when it was laid unto him, "Take thou authority to preach the word of God, in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost." We then promised to *submit* (mark the words) *to the godly admonitions and injunctions of our ordinary*. But we did

\* The author of a tract just published at Newcastle, (entitled, *The Notions of the Methodists fully disproved*, in a letter to the Rev. Mr. John Wesley) much insists upon this objection. I have read, and believe it quite needless to take any further notice of this performance: the writer being so utterly unacquainted with the merits of the cause, and shewing himself so perfectly a stranger both to my life, preaching and writing; and to the word of God, and to the articles and homilies of the church of England.



not, could not promise to obey *such* injunctions, as we know are *contrary to the word of God*.

84. "But why then (say some) do you leave the church?" *Leave the church!* What can you mean? Do we leave so much as the *church walls*? Your own eyes tell you, we do not. Do we leave the *ordinances of the church*? You daily see and know the contrary. Do we leave the *fundamental doctrine of the church*, namely, Salvation by Faith? It is our constant theme, in public, in private, in writing, in conversation. Do we leave the *practice of the church*, the standard whereof are the ten commandments? Which are so essentially in-wrought in her constitution, (as little as you may apprehend it) that whosoever breaks one of the least of these, is no member of the church of England. I believe you do not care to put the cause on this issue. Neither do you mean this, by *leaving the church*. In truth, I cannot conceive what you mean. I doubt you cannot conceive yourself. You have retailed a sentence from somebody else, which you no more understand than he. And no marvel; for it is a true observation,

"Nonsense is never to be understood."

85. Nearly related to this is that other objection, that we *divide the church*. Remember the church is, *The faithful people*, or true believers. Now how do we *divide these*? "Why, by our societies." Very good. Now the case is plain. "We *divide* them (you say) by *uniting them together*." Truly, a very uncommon way of *dividing*. "O, but we divide those who are thus united with each other, from the rest of the church." By no means. Many of them were before *joined to all their brethren* of the church of England (and many were not, until they knew us) by *assembling themselves together*, to hear the word of God, and to eat of one bread, and drink of one cup. And do they now *forsake that assembling* themselves together? You cannot, you dare not say it. You know they are more diligent therein than ever; it being one of the fixed rules of our societies, "That every member attend the ordinances of God," i. e. *he doth not divide from the church*.

church. And if any member of the church does thus divide from or leave it, he hath no more place among us.

86. I have considered this objection the more at large, because it is of most weight with sincere minds. And to all these, if they have fairly and impartially weighed the answer as well as the objection, I believe it clearly appears, that we are neither *undermining* nor *destroying*, neither *dividing* nor *leaving* the church. So far from it, that we have great heaviness, on her account, yea, continual sorrow in our hearts. And our prayer to God is, that he would repair the breaches of Sion, and build the walls of Jerusalem, that this our desolate church may flourish again, and be the praise of the whole earth.

87. But perhaps you have heard, that "we in truth regard no church at all: that gain is the true spring of all our actions: that I, in particular, am well paid for my work, having thirteen hundred pounds a year (as a Reverend Author accurately computes it) at the Foundery alone, over and above what I receive from Bristol, Kingwood, Newcastle, and other places: and that whosoever survives me, will see I have made good use of my time; for I shall not die a beggar."

88. I freely own, this is one of the best advised objections which has ever yet been made; because it not only puts us upon proving a negative, (which is seldom an easy task) but also one of such a kind as scarce admits of any demonstrative proof at all. But for such proof as the nature of the thing allows, I appeal to my manner of life which hath been from the beginning. Ye who have seen it (and not with a friendly eye) for these twelve or fourteen years last past, or for any part of that time, Have ye ever seen any thing like the love of gain therein? Did I not continually remember the words of the Lord Jesus, "*It is more blessed to give than to receive?*" Ye of Oxford, Do ye not know these things are so? What gain did I seek among you? Of whom did I take any thing? From whom did I covet silver, or gold, or apparel? To whom did I deny any thing which I had even to the hour that I departed from you?—Ye of Epworth and

Wroote, among whom I ministered for (nearly) the space of three years, what gain did I seek among you? Or of whom did I take or covet any thing?—Ye of Savannah and Frederica, among whom God afterwards proved me, and shewed me what was in my heart, what gain did I seek among you? Of whom did I take any thing? Or whose food or apparel did I covet (for silver or gold had ye none, no more than I myself for many months) even when I was in hunger and nakedness? Ye yourselves, and the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, know that I lie not.

89. "But (it is said) things are fairly altered now. Now I cannot complain of wanting any thing; having the yearly income of a bishop of London, over and above what I gain at other places." At what other places my friend? Inform yourself a little better, and you will find, that both at Newcastle, Bristol, and Kingswood, (the only places beside London, where any collection at all is made) the money collected is both received and expended by the stewards of those several societies, and never comes into my hands at all, neither first nor last. And you, or any who desire it, shall read over the accounts kept by any of those stewards, and see with your own eyes, that by all these societies I gain just as much as you do.

90. The case in London stands thus. In November 1739, two gentlemen, then unknown to me (Mr. Ball and Mr. Watkins) came and desired me once and again, to preach in a place called the Foundery near Moorfields. With much reluctance I at length complied. I was soon after pressed to take that place into my own hands. These who were most earnest therein, lent me the purchase-money, which was 115*l*. Mr. Watkins and Mr. Ball then delivered me the names of several subscribers, who offered to pay, some four, or six, some ten shillings a year towards the repayment of the purchase-money, and the putting the buildings into repair. This amounted one year to near 200*l*. the second to about 140, and so the last.

91. The united society begun a little after, whose weekly contribution (chiefly for the poor) is received and expended by the stewards, and comes not into my hands



hands at all. But there is also a quarterly subscription of many of the society, which is nearly equal to that above mentioned.

92. The uses to which these subscriptions have been hitherto applied, are, 1st, the payment of that 115l. 2dly. The repairing (I might almost say rebuilding) that vast, uncouth heap of ruins at the Foundery; 3dly. The building galleries both for men and women; 4thly. The enlarging the society room to near thrice its first bigness. All taxes and occasional expences are likewise defrayed out of this fund. And it has been hitherto so far from yielding any overplus, that it has never sufficed for these purposes yet. So far from it, that I am still in debt, on these accounts, near 300l. So much have I hitherto gained by preaching the gospel! Besides a debt of 150l. still remaining on account of the schools built at Bristol; and another of above 200l. on account of that now building at Newcastle. I desire any reasonable man would now sit down and lay these things together, and let him see, whether, allowing me a grain of common sense, (if not of common honesty) he can possibly conceive, that a view of *gain* would induce me to act in this manner.

93. You can never reconcile it with any degree of common sense, that a man who wants nothing, who has already all the necessaries, all the conveniencies, nay, and many of the superfluities of life, and these not only independent on any one, but less liable to contingencies than even a gentleman's freehold estate, that such an one should calmly and deliberately throw up his ease, most of his friends, his reputation, and that way of life which of all others is most agreeable both to his natural temper and education: that he should toil day and night, spend all his time and strength, knowingly destroy a firm constitution, and hasten into weakness, pain, diseases, death, — to gain a debt of six or seven hundred pounds!

94. But supposing the ballance on the other side, let me ask you one plain question. "For what gain (setting conscience aside) will you be obliged to act thus? To live exactly as I do? For what price will you preach (and that with all your might, not in an *easy* indolent

*indolent fashionable way*) eighteen or nineteen times every week? And this throughout the year? What shall I give you, to travel seven or eight hundred miles, in all weathers, every two or three months? For what salary will you abstain from all other diversions, than the doing good, and the praising God?" I am mistaken if you would not prefer strangling to such a life even with thousands of gold and silver.

95. And what is the comfort you have found out for me in these circumstances? Why, that "I shall not die a beggar." So now I am supposed to be heaping up riches, — "that I may leave them behind me." Leave them behind me! For whom? My wife and children? Who are they? They are yet unborn: Unless thou meanest the children of faith whom God hath given me. But my heavenly Father feedeth them. Indeed if I lay up riches at all, it must be *to leave behind me*: (seeing my fellowship is a provision for life.) But I cannot understand this. What comfort would it be to my soul, now launched into eternity, that I had *left behind me* gold as the dust, and silver as the sand of the sea? Will it follow me over the great gulph? Or can I go back to it? Thou that liftest up thy eyes in hell, what do thy riches profit thee now? Will all thou once hadst under the sun, gain thee a drop of water to cool thy tongue? O the comfort of *riches left behind* to one who is tormented in that flame! — You put me in mind of those celebrated lines (which I once exceedingly admired) addressed by way of consolation to the soul of a poor self-murderer:

"Yet shall thy grave with rising flowers be drest,  
And the green turf lie light upon thy breast!  
Here shall the year its earliest beauties show;  
Here the first roses of the spring shall blow:  
While angels, with their silver wings o'ershade  
The place, now sacred by thy relicks made."

96. I will now simply tell you my sense of these matters, whether you will hear, or whether you will forbear. Food and raiment I have; such food as I chuse to eat, and such raiment as I chuse to put on. I have a place where to lay my head. I have what is  
needful

needful for life and godliness. And I apprehend this is all the world can afford. The kings of the earth can give me no more. For, as to gold and silver, I count it dung and dross: I trample it under my feet. I (yet not I, but by the grace of God that is in me) esteem it just as the mire in the streets. I desire it not; I seek it not: I only fear, least any of it should cleave to me, and I should not be able to shake it off, before my spirit returns to God. It must indeed pass through my hands; but I will take care (God being my helper) that the mammon of unrighteousness shall only pass through; it shall not rest there. None of the accursed thing shall be found in my tents, when the Lord calleth me hence. And hear ye this, all you who have discovered the treasures which I am to leave behind me: If I leave behind me ten pounds (above my debts, and the little arrears of my fellowship) you and all mankind bear witness against me, "that I lived and died a thief and a robber."

97. Before I conclude, I cannot but intreat you who know God, to review the whole matter from the foundation. Call to mind what the state of religion was, in our nation, a few years since. In whom did you find the *holy tempers* that were in Christ? Bowels of mercies, lowliness, meekness, gentleness, contempt of the world, patience, temperance, long-suffering? A burning love to God, rejoicing evermore, and in every thing giving thanks; and a tender love to all mankind, covering, believing, hoping, enduring all things? Perhaps you did not know one such man in the world. But how many, that had *all unholy tempers*? What vanity and pride, what stubbornness and self-will, what anger, fretfulness, discontent, what suspicion and resentment, what inordinate affections, what irregular passions, what foolish and hurtful desires might you find, in those who are called *the best* of men? In those who made the strictest profession of religion? And how few did you know who went so far as the *profession* of religion, who had even the *form of godliness*? Did you not frequently bewail, wherever your lot was cast, the general want of even *outward religion*? How few were seen at the public worship of God?

How



How much fewer at the Lord's table? And was even this little flock zealous of good works, careful, as they had time, to do good to all men? On the other hand, did you not with grief observe, *outward irreligion* in every place? Where could you be for one week, without being an eye or an ear witness, of cursing, swearing, or prophaneness, of sabbath-breaking or drunkenness, of quarrelling or brawling, of revenge or obscenity? Were these things done in a corner? Did not gross iniquity of all kinds overspread our land as a flood? Yea, and daily increase, in spite of all the opposition which the children of God did or could make against it.

98. If you had been then told, that the jealous God would soon arise and maintain his own cause; that he would pour down his Spirit from on high, and renew the face of the earth; that he would shed abroad his love in the hearts of the outcasts of men, producing all holy and heavenly tempers, expelling anger, and pride, and evil desire, and all unholy and earthly tempers; causing outward religion, the work of faith, the patience of hope, the labour of love, to flourish and abound; and wherever it spread, abolishing outward-irreligion, destroying all the works of the devil: if you had been told, that this living knowledge of the Lord would in a short space overspread our land; yea, and daily encrease, in spite of all the opposition which the devil and his children did or could make against it: Would you not vehemently have desired to see that day, that you might bless God and rejoice therein?

99. Behold the day of the Lord is come. He is again visiting and redeeming his people. Having eyes, see ye not? Having ears, do ye not hear? Neither understand with your hearts? At this hour the Lord is rolling away our reproach. Already his standard is set up. His spirit is poured forth on the outcasts of men, and his love shed abroad in their hearts. Love of all mankind, meekness, gentleness, humbleness of mind, holy and heavenly affections, do take place of hate, anger, pride, revenge, and vile or vain affections. Hence wherever the power of the Lord spreads, springs  
woll
outward

outward religion in all its forms. The houses of God are filled ; the table of the Lord is thronged on every side. And those who thus shew their love of God, shew they love their neighbour also, by being careful to maintain good works, by doing all manner of good (as they have time) to all men. They are likewise careful to abstain from all evil. Cursing, sabbath-breaking, drunkenness, with all other (however fashionable) works of the devil, are not once named among them. All this is plain, demonstrable fact. For this also is not done in a corner. Now, do you acknowledge the day of your visitation ? Do you bless God and rejoice therein ?

100. What hinders ? Is it this, that men say all manner of evil of those whom God is pleased to use as instruments in his work ? O ye fools, did ye suppose the devil was dead ? Or that he would not fight for his kingdom ? And what weapons shall he fight with if not with lies ? Is he not a liar, and the father of it ? Suffer ye then thus far. Let the devil and his children say all manner of evil of us. And let them go on deceiving each other, and being deceived. But ye need not be deceived also.—Or if you are, if you will believe all they say : be it so, that we are weak, silly, wicked men ; without sense, without learning, without even a desire or design of doing good : yet I insist upon the fact. Christ is preached, and sinners are converted to God. This none but a madman can deny. We are ready to prove it by a cloud of witnesses. Neither therefore can the inference be denied, that God is now visiting his people. O that all men may know in this their day, the things that make for their peace !

101. Upon the whole, to men of the world I would still recommend the known advice of Gamaliel : *Refrain from these men, and let them alone ; for if this work be of men, it will come to nought ; but if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it, lest haply ye be found even to fight against God.* But unto you whom God hath chosen out of the world, I say ye are our brethren, and of our Father's house, it behoveth you, in whatsoever manner ye are able, to *strengthen our hands in God.*

And

And this ye are all able to do ; to wish us good luck in the name of the Lord, and, to pray continually, that none of *these things* may move us, and that *we may not count our lives dear unto ourselves, so that we may finish our course with joy, and the ministry which we have received of the Lord Jesus !*

# Primitive





## Primitive Christianity.

- 1 **H**APPY the souls who first believ'd,  
To Jesus, and each other cleav'd,  
Join'd by the unction from above,  
In mystic fellowship of love.
- 2 Meek, simple followers of the Lamb  
They liv'd, and spake, and thought the same,  
Brake the Commemorative bread,  
And drank the Spirit of their Head.
- 3 On God they cast their every care,  
Wrestling with God in mighty prayer,  
They claim'd the grace, thro' Jesus given :  
By prayer, they shut, and open'd heaven.
- 4 To Jesus they perform'd their vows,  
A little church in every house ;  
They joyfully conspir'd to raise  
Their ceaseless sacrifice of praise.
- 5 Propriety was there unknown,  
None call'd what he possess'd his own ;  
Where all the common blessings share,  
No selfish happiness was there.
- 6 With grace abundantly endu'd,  
A pure, believing multitude ;  
They all were of one heart and soul,  
And only love inspir'd the whole.
- 7 O what an age of golden days !  
O what a choice, peculiar race !  
Wash'd in the Lamb's all cleansing blood,  
Anointed kings, and priests to God !

- 8 Where shall I wander now to find  
The successors they left behind?  
The faithful, whom I seek in vain,  
Are minish'd from the sons of men.
- 9 Ye different sects, who all declare,  
Lo! here is Christ, or Christ is there!  
Your stronger proofs divinely give,  
And shew me where the Christians live.
- 10 Your claim, alas! ye cannot prove,  
Ye want the genuine mark of love:  
Thou only, Lord, thine own canst shew,  
For sure Thou hast a church below.
- 11 The gates of hell cannot prevail,  
The church on earth can never fail:  
Ah! join me to thy secret ones,  
Ah! gather all thy living stones.
- 12 Scatter'd o'er all the earth they lie,  
'Till Thou collect them with thine eye,  
Draw by the musick of thy name,  
And charm into a beauteous frame.
- 13 For this the pleading Spirit groans,  
And cries in all thy banish'd ones:  
Greatest of gifts, thy love impart,  
And make us of one mind and heart.
- 14 Join every soul that looks to Thee,  
In bonds of perfect charity:  
Now, Lord, the glorious fulness give,  
And all in all for ever live.

P A R T II.

- 1 JESUS, from whom all blessings flow,  
Great Builder of thy church below,  
If now thy Spirit moves my breast,  
Hear, and fulfil thy own request.

- 2 The few that truly call Thee Lord,  
And wait thy sanctifying word,  
And Thee their utmost Saviour own,  
Unite, and perfect them in one.
- 3 Gather them in on every side,  
And in thy tabernacle hide ;  
Give them a resting place to find,  
A covert from the storm and wind.
- 4 O find them out some calm recess,  
Some unfrequented wilderness !  
Thou, Lord, the secret place prepare,  
And hide, and feed *the woman* there.
- 5 Thither collect thy little flock,  
Under the shadow of their rock :  
The holy seed, the royal race,  
The standing monuments of thy grace ?
- 6 O let them all thy mind express,  
Stand forth thy chosen witnesses !  
Thy power unto salvation shew,  
And perfect holiness below :
- 7 The fulness of thy grace receive,  
And simply to thy glory live ;  
Strongly reflect the light divine,  
And in a land of darkness shine.
- 8 In them let all mankind behold,  
How Christians liv'd in days of old ;  
(Mighty their envious foes to move,  
A proverb of reproach—and love.)
- 9 O make them of one soul and heart,  
The all conforming mind impart ;  
Spirit of peace and unity,  
The sinless mind that was in Thee.



- 10 Call them into thy wond'rous light,  
Worthy to walk with Thee in white;  
Make up thy jewels, Lord, and shew  
The glorious, spotless church below.
- 11 From every sinful wrinkle free,  
Redeem'd from all iniquity:  
The fellowship of saints make known;  
And O my God, might I be one!
- 12 O might my lot be cast with these,  
The least of Jesu's witnesses!  
O that my Lord would count me meet  
To wash his dear disciples feet!
- 13 This only thing do I require,  
Thou know'st 'tis all my heart's desire,  
Freely what I receive to give,  
The servant of thy church to live.
- 14 After my lowly Lord to go,  
And wait upon the saints below,  
Enjoy his grace to angels given,  
And serve the royal heirs of heaven.
- 15 Lord, if I now thy drawings feel,  
And ask according to thy will,  
Confirm the prayer, the seal impart,  
And speak the answer to my heart.
- 16 Tell me (or Thou shalt never go)  
"Thy prayer is heard, it shall be so."—  
The word hath pass'd thy lips—and I  
Shall with thy people live and die.

A

## FARTHER APPEAL

TO MEN OF

## REASON AND RELIGION.

## P A R T I.

**I**N a former Treatise I declared, in the plainest manner I could, both my Principles and Practice; and answered some of the most important, as well as the most common objections to each. But I have not yet delivered my own soul. I believe it is still incumbent upon me to answer other objections, particularly such as have been urged by those who are esteemed religious or reasonable men.

These partly relate to the Doctrines I teach, partly to my manner of teaching them, and partly to the effects which are supposed to follow from teaching these doctrines in this manner.

I. 1. I will briefly mention what those doctrines are, before I consider the objections against them. Now all I teach respects either the nature and condition of Justification, the nature and condition of Salvation, the nature of justifying and saving Faith, or the Author of Faith and Salvation.

2. First, The nature of Justification. It sometimes means,\* our acquittal at the last day. But this is also

\* Matt. xii. 37.

F

gether

gether out of the present question : that Justification whereof our Articles and Homilies speak, meaning present forgiveness, pardon of sins, and consequently acceptance with God : who therein \* *declares his righteousness* or mercy, by or for the remission of the sins that are past, saying, *I will be merciful to thy unrighteousness, and thine iniquities I will remember no more.*

I believe, † the condition of this, is Faith : I mean, not only, that without Faith we cannot be justified : but also, that as soon as any one has true Faith, in that moment he is justified.

‡ Good works follow this faith, but cannot go before it : much less can sanctification, which implies, a continued course of good works, springing from holiness of Heart. But it is allowed, that entire § sanctification goes before our justification at the last day.

It is allowed also, that || Repentance, and ¶ fruits meet for repentance, go before Faith. Repentance absolutely must go before faith : fruits meet for it, if there be opportunity. By repentance, I mean conviction of sin, producing real desires and sincere resolutions of amendment : and by *fruits meet for repentance*, \*\* forgiving our brother, †† ceasing from evil, doing good, ‡‡ using the ordinances of God, and in general §§ obeying him according to the measure of grace which we have received. But these, I cannot as yet, term *good works* ; because they do not spring from faith and the love of God.

3. By salvation I mean, not barely, according to the vulgar notion : deliverance from hell, or going to heaven : but a present deliverance from sin, a restoration of the soul to its primitive health, its original purity ; a recovery of the divine nature ; the renewal of our souls after the image of God, in righteousness and true holiness, in justice, mercy, and truth. This implies all holy and heavenly tempers, and by consequence all holiness of conversation.

\* Rom. iii. 25. † Rom. iv. 5, &c. ‡ Luke vi. 43.  
§ Heb. xii. 14. || Mark i. 15. ¶ Matt. iii. 8.  
\*\* Matt. vi. 14, 15. †† Luke iii. 4, 9, &c. ‡‡ Matt.  
vii. 7. §§ Matt. xxv. 29.



Now, if by salvation we mean a present salvation from sin, we cannot say, holiness is the condition of it. For it is the thing itself. Salvation, in this sense, and holiness are synonymous terms. We must therefore say, *We are saved by Faith*. Faith is the sole condition of this Salvation. For without Faith we cannot be thus saved. But whosoever believeth, is saved already.

Without Faith we cannot be thus saved. For we cannot rightly serve God, unless we love him. And we cannot love him, unless we know him; neither can we know God, unless by faith. Therefore *Salvation by Faith*, is only in other words, the love of God by the knowledge of God: or, the recovery of the image of God, by a true spiritual acquaintance with him.

4. Faith, in general, is, a divine, supernatural *ἐλεγχος*,\* of things not seen, not discoverable by our bodily senses, as being either past, future or spiritual. Justifying Faith implies, not only a divine *ἐλεγχος*,\* that God was in Christ, *reconciling the world unto himself*, but a sure trust and confidence, that Christ died for my sins, that he loved me and gave himself for me. And the moment a penitent sinner believes this, God pardons and absolves him.

And as soon as his pardon or justification is witness to him by the Holy Ghost, he is saved. He loves God and all mankind. He has *the mind that was in Christ*, and power to *walk as he also walked*. From that time (unless he make shipwreck of the faith) salvation gradually increases in his soul. For *so is the kingdom of God, as if a man should cast seed into the ground—And it springeth up, first the blade, then the ear, after that the full corn in the ear*.

5. The first sowing of this seed, I cannot conceive to be other than instantaneous: whether I consider experience, or the word of God, or the very nature of the thing.—However I contend not for a circumstance, but the substance; if you can attain it another way, do. Only see that you do attain it; for if you fall short, you perish everlastingly.

\* *Evidence or Conviction.*

This beginning of that vast, inward change, is usually termed, *The New Birth*. Baptism is the outward sign of this inward grace, which is supposed by our Church, to be given with and through that sign to all infants, and to those of riper years, if they repent and believe the gospel. But how extremely idle are the common disputes on this head? I tell a sinner, "You must be born again." "No, say you, he was born again in baptism. Therefore he cannot be born again now." Alas! What trifling is this? What if he was then a child of God? He is now manifestly a child of the devil. For the works of his father he doth. Therefore do not play upon words. He must go through an entire change of heart. In one not yet baptized, you yourself would call that change, *The New Birth*. In him, call it what you will; but remember mean time, that if either he or you die without it, your baptism will be so far from profiting you, that it will greatly increase your damnation.

6. The Author of faith and salvation is God alone. It is he that works in us both to will and to do. He is the sole giver of every good gift, and the sole author of every good work. There is no more of power than of merit in man; but as all merit is in the Son of God, in what he has done and suffered for us, so all power is in the Spirit of God. And therefore every man, in order to believe unto salvation, must receive the Holy Ghost. This is essentially necessary to every christian, not in order to his working miracles, but in order to faith, peace, joy, and love, the ordinary fruits of the Spirit.

Although no man on earth can explain the *particular manner*, wherein the Spirit of God works on the soul, yet whosoever has these fruits, cannot but know and feel that God has wrought them in his heart.

Sometimes, he acts more particularly on the understanding, opening or *enlightening* it, (as the Scripture speaks) and *revealing*, unveiling, discovering to us *the deep things of God*.

Sometimes he acts on the wills and affections of men: withdrawing them from evil, inclining them to good, inspiring,

inspiring, (breathing, as it were) good thoughts into them: so it has frequently been exprest, by an easy, natural metaphor, strictly analogous to  $\pi\upsilon\mu\alpha$ , *Spiritus*, and the words used in most modern tongues also, to denote the Third Person in the Ever-blessed Trinity. But however it be exprest, it is certain, all true Faith, and the whole work of Salvation, every good thought, word and work, is altogether by the operation of the Spirit of God.

II. 1. I come now to consider the principal objections, which have lately been made against these Doctrines.

I know nothing material which has been objected, as to the nature of Justification; but many persons seem to be very confused in their thoughts concerning it, and speak as if they had never heard of any Justification, antecedent to that of the last day. To clear up this, there needs only a closer inspection of our Articles and Homilies; wherein Justification is always taken, for the present remission of our sins.

But many are the objections which have been warmly urged, against the condition of Justification, Faith alone: particularly in two Treatises, the former entitled, *The Notions of the Methodists fully disproved*: the second, *The Notions of the Methodists farther disproved*. In both of which it is vehemently affirmed, 1. That this is not a scriptural Doctrine. 2. That it is not the Doctrine of the Church of England.

It will not be needful to name the former of these any more; seeing there is neither one text produced therein, to prove this Doctrine unscriptural, nor one sentence from the Articles or Homilies, to prove it contrary to the Doctrine of the Church. But so much of the latter as relates to the merits of the *cause*, I will endeavour to consider calmly. As to what is *personal*, I leave it as it is. *God be merciful to me, a sinner!*

2. To prove this Doctrine unscriptural, that "Faith alone is the condition of Justification," you alledge, that "Sanctification, according to Scripture, must go before it;" to evince which, you quote the following



texts, which I leave as I find them: \* *Go, disciple all nations—teaching them to observe all things, whatsoever I have commanded them.* † *He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved.* ‡ *Preach repentance and remission of sins.* § *Repent and be baptized every one of you, for the remission of sins.* || *Repent and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out.* ¶ *By one offering, he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified.* You add, “St. Paul taught \*\* *Repentance toward God, and Faith toward our Lord Jesus Christ; and calls †† Repentance from dead works, and Faith toward God, first principles.*”

You subjoin, “*But ye are washed, says he, but ye are sanctified, but ye are justified.* By *washed* is meant their baptism; and by their baptism is meant, first their Sanctification, and then their Justification.” This is a flat begging the question; you take for granted, the very point which you ought to prove. “St. Peter also, you say, affirms, that *baptism does save us* or justify us.” Again, you beg the question: you take for granted what I utterly deny, viz. that *save* and *justify* are here synonymous terms. Till this is proved, you can draw no inference at all; for you have no foundation whereon to build.

I conceive these and all the Scriptures which can be quoted to prove Sanctification antecedent to Justification, (if they do not relate to our final Justification) prove only (what I have never denied) that repentance, or conviction of sin, and fruits meet for repentance, precede that Faith whereby we are justified: but by no means, that the love of God, or any branch of true holiness, must or can precede Faith.

3. It is objected, secondly, That Justification by Faith alone, is not the Doctrine of the Church of England.

“You believe, says the Writer above-mentioned, that no good work can be previous to Justification, nor consequently a condition of it. But God be praised,

\* Matt. xxxiii. 19, 20. † Mark xvi. 16. ‡ Luke xxiv. 47. § Acts ii. 38. || Chap. iii. 19. ¶ Heb. x. 14. \*\* Acts xx. 21. †† Heb. vi. 1.

our Church has no where delivered such abominable Doctrine." Page 14.

"The Clergy contend for Inward Holiness, as previous to the first Justification.—This is the Doctrine they universally inculcate, and which you cannot oppose without contradicting the Doctrine of our Church." Page 26.

"All your strongest persuasives to the love of God, will not blanch over the deformity of that Doctrine, that men may be justified---by faith alone---unless you publicly recant this horrid Doctrine, your faith is vain." Page 27.

"If you will vouchsafe to purge out this venomous part of your principles, in which the *wide, essential, fundamental, irreconcilable difference*, as you very justly term it, mainly consists, then there will be found so far no disagreement between you and the Clergy of the Church of England." *ibid.*

4. In order to be clearly and fully satisfied, what the Doctrine of the Church of England is (as it stands opposite to the Doctrine of the Antinomians, on the one hand, and to that of Justification by works on the other) I will simply set down what occurs on this head, either in her Liturgy, Articles or Homilies.

"Spare thou them, O God, which *confess their faults*: restore thou them that are *penitent*, according to thy promises declared unto mankind in Christ Jesu, our Lord."

"He pardoneth and absolveth all them that *truly repent* and *unfeignedly believe* his holy gospel."

"Almighty God, who dost forgive the sins of them that are *penitent*, create and make in us new and contrite hearts; that we *worthily lamenting our sins*, and *acknowledging our wretchedness*, may obtain of thee perfect remission and forgiveness, through Jesus Christ our Lord." Collect for Ash-Wednesday.

"Almighty God---hath promised forgiveness of sins to all them that with *hearty repentance* and *true faith* turn unto him." Communion-Office.

"Our Lord Jesus Christ hath left power to absolve all sinners who *truly repent* and *believe* in him." Visitation of the sick,

"Give

" Give him unfeigned *repentance* and stedfast *faith*, that his sins may be blotted out." *ibid.*

" He is a merciful receiver of all true, penitent sinners, and is ready to pardon us, if we come unto him with faithful repentance." Commination Office.

Infants indeed, our Church supposes to be justified in baptism, although they cannot then either believe or repent. But she expressly requires both Repentance and Faith, in those who come to be baptized when they are of riper years.

As earnestly therefore as our Church inculcates, Justification by Faith alone, she nevertheless supposes Repentance to be previous to Faith, and *fruits meet for repentance*: yea, and Universal Holiness to be previous to final Justification, as evidently appears from the following words:

" Let us beseech him---that the rest of our life may be pure and holy, so that at the last we may come to his eternal joy." Absolution.

" May we seriously apply our hearts to that holy and heavenly wisdom here, which may in the end bring us to life everlasting." Visitation of the sick.

" Raise us from the death of sin unto the life of righteousness,---that at the last day we may be found acceptable in thy sight." Burial Office.

" If we from henceforth walk in his ways,---seeking always his glory, Christ will set us on his right hand." Commination Office.

5. We come next to the Articles of our Church: the former part of the ninth runs thus:

*Of Original or Birth-Sin.*

" Original sin---is the fault and corruption of the nature of every man---whereby man is very far gone from Original Righteousness, and is of his own nature inclined to evil, so that the flesh lusteth always contrary to the spirit: and therefore in every person born into this world, it deserveth God's wrath and damnation."



A R T. X. *Of Free-Will.*

“ The condition of man after the fall of Adam is such, that he cannot turn and prepare himself by his own natural strength and good works to faith and calling upon God. Wherefore we have no power to do good works, pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God by Christ preventing us, that we may have a good will, and working with us when we have that good will.”

A R T. XI. *Of the Justification of Man.*

“ We are accounted righteous before God, only for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ by faith, and not for our own works or deservings. Wherefore that we are justified by Faith only, is a most wholesome Doctrine, and very full of comfort, as more largely is expressed in the Homily of Justification.”

I believe this Article relates to the *meritorious cause* of Justification, rather than to the condition of it. On this therefore I do not build any thing concerning it, but on those that follow.

A R T. XII. *Of Good Works.*

“ Albeit that good works, which are the fruits of Faith, and follow after Justification, cannot put away our sins---yet are they pleasing and acceptable to God in Christ, and do spring out necessarily of a true and lively Faith : insomuch that by them a lively Faith may be as evidently known, as a tree may be known by the fruit.”

We are taught here, 1. That good works in general, follow after Justification. 2. That they spring out of a true and lively Faith, that faith whereby we are justified. 3. That true, justifying Faith may be as evidently known by them, as a tree discerned by the fruit.

Does it not follow, That the supposing any good work to go before Justification, is full as absurd as the supposing an apple or any other fruit to grow before the tree ?

But

But let us hear the Church, speaking yet more plainly.

A R T. XIII. *Of Works done before Justification.*

“ Works done before the grace of Christ, and the Inspiration of his Spirit, (i. e. before Justification, as the title expresses it) are not pleasant to God, forasmuch as they spring not of Faith in Jesus Christ—yea rather, for that they are not done as God hath willed and commanded them to be done, we doubt not they have the nature of sin.”

Now, if all works done before Justification, have the nature of sin, (both because they spring not of faith in Christ, and because they are not done as God hath willed and commanded them to be done) what becomes of Sanctification previous to Justification? It is utterly excluded: seeing whatever is previous to Justification, is not good or holy, but evil and sinful.

Although therefore our Church does frequently assert, that we ought to repent and bring forth fruits meet for repentance, if ever we would attain to that faith, whereby alone we are justified; yet she never asserts (and here the hinge of the question turns) that these are good works, so long as they are previous to Justification. Nay she expressly asserts the direct contrary, viz. That they have all the nature of sin. So that this “ Horrid, scandalous, wicked, abominable, venomous, blasphemous doctrine,” is nevertheless the doctrine of the Church of England.

6. It remains, to consider what occurs in the Homilies, first with regard to the meritorious cause of our Justification, agreeable to the 11th, and then with regard to the condition of it, agreeable to the 12th and 13th Articles.

“ These things must go together in our Justification; upon God’s part, his great mercy and grace; upon Christ’s part, the satisfaction of God’s justice; and upon our part, true and lively faith in the mercies of Jesus Christ.” *Homily on Salvation, Part I.*

“ So that the grace of God doth not shut out the justice (or righteousness) of God in our justification; but

but only shutteth out the righteousness of man—as to deserving our justification.”

“ And therefore St. Paul declareth nothing on the behalf of man, concerning his Justification, but only a true faith.”

“ And yet that faith doth not shut out repentance, hope, love, to be joined with faith (that is, afterwards; see below) in every man that is justified—Neither doth faith shut out the righteousness of our good works, necessarily to be done afterwards. But it excludeth them so, that we may not do them to this intent, to be made just (or, to be justified) by doing them.”

“ That we are *justified by faith alone*, is spoken, to take away clearly all *merit* of our works, and wholly to ascribe the *merit and deserving* of our Justification unto Christ only.” Ibid. Part II.

“ The true meaning of this saying, We be *justified by faith only*, is this, We be justified by the *merits* of Christ only, and not of our own works.” Ibid. Part III.

7. Thus far touching the meritorious cause of our Justification; referred to in the 11th Article. The 12th and 13th are a summary of what now follows, with regard to the condition of it.

“ Of (justifying) true faith, three things are specially to be noted, 1. That it bringeth forth good works. 2. That without it can no good works be done. 3. What good works it doth bring forth.” *Sermon on Faith.* Part I.

“ Without Faith can no good work be done, acceptable and pleasant unto God. For as a branch cannot bear fruit of itself, faith our Saviour Christ, except it abide in the vine, so cannot you except you abide in me. Faith giveth life to the soul; and they be as much dead to God that lack faith, as they be to the world, whose bodies lack souls. Without faith all that is done of us, is but dead before God. Even as a picture is but a dead representation of the thing itself, so be the works of all unfaithful (unbelieving) persons before God. They be but shadows of lively and good things, and not good things indeed. For true faith doth give life

to



to the works, and without faith no work is good before God." Ibid. Part III.

"We must set no good works before faith, nor think that before faith a man may do any good works. For such works are as the course of a horse that runneth out of the way, which taketh great labour, but to no purpose." Ibid.

"Without faith we have no virtues, but only the shadows of them. All the life of them that lack the true faith is sin." Ibid.

"As men first have life, and after be nourished, so must our faith go before, and after be nourished with good works. And life may be without nourishment, but nourishment cannot be without life." *Homily of Works annexed to Faith.* Part I.

"I can shew a man, that by faith without works lived and came to heaven. But without faith never man had life. The Thief on the cross only believed, and the most merciful God justified him. Truth it is, if he had lived and not regarded faith and the works thereof, he should have lost his salvation again. But this I say, faith by itself saved him. But works by themselves never justified any man."

"Good works go not before, in him which shall afterwards be justified. But good works do follow after, when a man is first justified." *Homily on Fasting.* Part I.

8. From the whole tenor then of her Liturgy, Articles and Homilies, the Doctrine of the Church of England, appears to be this:

1. That no good work properly so called, can go before Justification:

2. That no degree of true sanctification can be previous to it.

3. That as the *meritorious cause* of Justification is, the life and death of Christ; so the condition of it is faith, Faith alone; and

4. That both inward and outward holiness, are consequent on this faith, and are the ordinary, stated condition, of final justification.

9. And

9. And what more can you desire, who have hitherto opposed *Justification by Faith alone*, merely upon a principle of conscience; because you was zealous for holiness and good works? Do I not effectually secure these from contempt, at the same time that I defend the doctrines of the Church? I not only allow, but vehemently contend, that none shall ever enter into glory, who is not holy on earth, as well in heart, as in all manner of conversation. I cry aloud, *Let all that have believed, be careful to maintain good works: and, Let every one that nameth the name of Christ, depart from all iniquity.* I exhort even those who are conscious they do not believe, *Cease to do evil, learn to do well: the kingdom of heaven is at hand: therefore repent, and bring forth fruits meet for repentance.* Are not these directions the very same in substance, which you yourself would give to persons so circumstanced? What means then the endless *strife of words*? Or, what doth your arguing reprove?

10. Many of those who are perhaps as zealous of good works, as you, think I have allowed you too much.---Nay, my brethren, but how can we help allowing it, if we allow the scriptures to be from God? For is it not written, and do not yourselves believe, *without holiness no man shall see the Lord*? And how then, without fighting about words, can we deny, that holiness is a condition of final acceptance? And, as to the first acceptance of pardon, does not all experience as well as scripture prove, that no man ever yet truly believed the gospel, who did not first repent? That none was ever yet truly convinced of righteousness, who was not first convinced of sin? Repentance therefore in this sense, we cannot deny to be necessarily previous to faith. Is it not equally undeniable, that the running back into known, wilful sin, (suppose it were drunkenness or uncleanness) stifles that repentance or conviction? And can that repentance come to any good issue in his soul, who resolves not to forgive his brother? Or who obstinately refrains from what God convinces him is right, whether it be prayer or hearing his word? Would you scruple yourself to tell one of these, "Why if you will thus drink away all conviction,

tion, how should you ever truly know your want of Christ? Or consequently, believe in him?---If you will not forgive your brother his trespasses, neither will your heavenly Father forgive you your trespasses.---If you will not ask, how can you expect to receive?---If you will not hear, how can Faith come by hearing? It is plain, you grieve the Spirit of God; you will not have him to reign over you. For *unto him that hath, shall be given: but from him that hath not, i. e. uses it not, shall be taken away even that which he hath.*" Would you scruple on a proper occasion to say this? You could not scruple it, if you believe the Bible. But in saying this, you allow all which I have said, viz. That previous to justifying Faith, there must be Repentance, and if opportunity permit, Fruits meet for Repentance.

11. And yet I allow you this, that although both Repentance and the Fruits thereof are in some sense necessary before Justification, yet neither the one nor the other is necessary in the same sense or in the same degree with Faith. Not in the same degree. For in whatever moment a man believes (in the christian sense of the word) he is justified, his sins are blotted out, *his Faith is counted to him for Righteousness.* But it is not so, at whatever moment he repents, or brings forth any or all the Fruits of Repentance. Faith alone therefore justifies; which Repentance alone does not; much less any outward work. And consequently, none of these are necessary to Justification, in the same degree with Faith.

Nor in the same sense. For none of these has so direct, immediate a relation to Justification as Faith. This is proximately necessary thereto; Repentance, remotely, as it is necessary to the increase or continuance of Faith. And even in this sense, these are only necessary, on supposition---if there be time and opportunity for them; for in many instances there is not; but God cuts short his work, and Faith prevents the Fruits of Repentance. So that the general proposition is not overthrown, but clearly established by these concessions; and we conclude still, both on the authority of Scripture and the Church,



Church, that Faith alone is the proximate condition of Justification.

III. 1. I was once inclined to believe that none would openly object, against what I had any where said of the *Nature of Salvation*. How greatly then was I surpris'd some months ago, when I was shewn a kind of Circular Letter, which one of those whom the Holy Ghost hath made Overseers of his Church, I was informed had sent to all the Clergy of his Diocese!

Part of it ran (nearly, if not exactly) thus:

"There is great indiscretion in preaching up a sort of Religion, as the True and Only Christianity, which in their own account of it, consists in an Enthusiastic Ardor, to be understood or attained by very few, and not to be practis'd without breaking in upon the common duties of life."

O my Lord, what manner of words are these! Supposing candor and love out of the question, are they words of truth? I dare stake my life upon it, there is not one true clause in all this paragraph.

The propositions contained therein, are these:

1. That the Religion I preach consists in an Enthusiastic Ardor:
2. That it can be attained by very few:
3. That it can be understood by very few:
4. That it cannot be practis'd without breaking in upon the common duties of life.
5. And that all this may be proved by my own account of it.

I earnestly intreat your Grace to review my own account of it, as it stands in any of my former writings: or to consider the short account which is given in this. And if you can thence make good any one of those propositions, I do hereby promise before God and the world, that I will never preach more.

At present I do not well understand what your Grace means by "An Enthusiastic Ardor." Surely you do not mean, the love of God! No, not though a poor, pardoned sinner should carry it so far, as to love the Lord his God, with all his heart, and with all his soul, and with all his strength! But this alone is the Ardor which I preach up, as the foundation of the True and Only Christianity. I pray God so to fill your whole heart therewith, that you may praise him for ever and ever.

But why should your Grace believe, that the love of God, can be attained by very few? Or, that it can be understood by very few? All who attain it, understand it well. And did not he who is loving to every man design, that every man should attain true love? O that all would know in this their day, the things that make for their peace!

And cannot the love both of God and our neighbour be practised, without breaking in upon the common duties of life? Nay, can any of the common duties of life, be rightly practised without them? I apprehend, not. I apprehend I am then laying the true, the only foundation for all those duties, when I preach, *Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and thy neighbour as thyself.*

2. With this Letter was sent (I believe to every Clergyman in the Diocese) the Pamphlet entitled, *Observations on the Conduct and Behaviour of a certain Sect, usually distinguished by the name of Methodists.* It has been generally supposed to be wrote by a person, who is every way my superior. Perhaps one reason why he did not inscribe his name was, that his greatness might not make me afraid: and that I might have liberty to stand, as it were, on even ground, while I answer for myself.

In considering, therefore, such parts of these Observations, as naturally fall in my way, I will take that method which, I believe, that Author desires, using no ceremony at all; but speaking as to an Equal, that it may the more easily be discerned, where the Truth lies.

The

The first Query relating to Doctrine, is this :

“ Whether Notions in Religion may not be heightened to such extremes, as to lead some into a disregard of Religion itself, through despair of attaining such exalted heights ? And whether others who have imbibed those Notions, may not be led by them, into a disregard and disesteem of the common duties and offices of life ? To such a degree, at least, as is inconsistent with that attention to them, and that diligence in them, which Providence has made necessary to the well-being of private families and public societies, and which Christianity does not only require in all stations, and in all conditions, but declares at the same time, that the performance even of the lowest offices in life, as unto God, (whose Providence has placed people in their several stations) is truly a serving of Christ, and will not fail of its reward in the next world ? ”

You have interwoven so many particulars in this general Question, that I must divide and answer them one by one.

Q. 1. Whether Notions in Religion may not be heightened to such extremes as to lead some into a disregard of Religion itself ?

A. They may. But that I have so heightened them, lies upon you to prove.

Q. 2. Whether others may not be led into a disregard of Religion, through despair of attaining such exalted heights ?

A. What heights ? The loving God with all our heart ? I believe, this is the most exalted height in man or angel. But I have not heard, that any have been led into a disregard of Religion, through despair of attaining this.

Q. 3. Whether others who have imbibed these Notions, may not be led by them, into a disregard and disesteem, of the common duties and offices of life ?

A. My Notions are, “ True Religion is the loving God with all our heart, and our neighbour as ourselves ; and in that love abstaining from all evil, and doing all possible good to all men.” Now, it is not possible in the nature of things, that any should be led by these



Notions, into either a disregard or disesteem of the common duties and offices of life.

Q. 4. But may they not be led by them into such a degree at least, of disregard for the common duties of life, as is inconsistent with that attention to them, and diligence in them, which Providence has made necessary?

A. No. Quite the reverse. They lead men to discharge all those duties with the strictest diligence and closest attention.

Q. 5. Does not Christianity require this attention and diligence in all stations and in all conditions?

A. Yes.

Q. 6. Does it not declare, that the performance even of the lowest offices of life, as unto God, is truly a serving of Christ? And will not fail of its reward in the next world?

A. It does. But whom are you confuting? Not me. For this is the Doctrine I preach continually.

3. Query the second. "Whether the enemy of Christianity may not find his account, in carrying Christianity, which was designed for a rule to all stations, and all conditions, to such heights as make it fairly practicable by a very few, in comparison, or rather by none?"

I answer, 1. The height to which we carry Christianity (as was but now observed) is this, *thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and thy neighbour as thyself.* 2. The Enemy of Christianity cannot find his account, in our carrying it to this height. 3. You will not say on reflection, that Christianity, even in this height, is practicable by very few, or rather by none: you yourself will confess, this is a rule (as God designed it should) for all stations, and all conditions.

Query the third. "Whether, in particular, the carrying the Doctrine of Justification by Faith alone to such a height, as not to allow that a careful and sincere observance of moral duties is so much as a condition of our acceptance with God, and of our being justified in his sight: whether this, I say, does not naturally lead people to a disregard of those duties, and a low esteem

esteem of them ; or rather to think them no part of the Christian Religion ? ”

I trust Justification by Faith alone, has been so explained above, as to secure, not only a high esteem, but also a careful and sincere observance of all moral duties.

4. Query the fourth. “ Whether a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid by good men in a serious and composed way, does not better answer the true ends of devotion, and is not a better evidence of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit, than those sudden agonies, roarings and screamings, tremblings, droppings down, ravings and madnesses, into which their hearers have been cast ? ”

I must answer this Query likewise, part by part.

Q. 1. Whether a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid in a serious and composed way, by good (i. e. well-meaning) men, does not answer the true ends of Devotion ?

A. I suppose by Devotion you mean public worship ; by the true ends of it, the love of God and man : and by a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid in a serious and composed way, the going as often as we have opportunity to our Parish Church, and to the Sacrament there administered. If so, the question is, “ Whether this attendance on those offices, does not produce the love of God and man ? ” I answer, sometimes it does ; and sometimes it does not. I myself thus attended them for many years ; and yet am conscious to myself, that during that whole time, I had no more of the love of God than a stone. And I know many hundreds, perhaps thousands of serious persons, who are ready to testify the same thing.

Q. 2. But is not this a better evidence of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit, than those sudden agonies ?

A. All these persons, as well as I, can testify also, that this is no evidence at all of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit. For some years I attended these public offices, because I would not be punished for non-attendance. And many of these attended them, because their parents did before them, or because they would not lose their character. Many more, because they confounded the

Notions, into either a disregard or disesteem of the common duties and offices of life.

Q. 4. But may they not be led by them into such a degree at least, of disregard for the common duties of life, as is inconsistent with that attention to them, and diligence in them, which Providence has made necessary?

A. No. Quite the reverse. They lead men to discharge all those duties with the strictest diligence and closest attention.

Q. 5. Does not Christianity require this attention and diligence in all stations and in all conditions?

A. Yes.

Q. 6. Does it not declare, that the performance even of the lowest offices of life, as unto God, is truly a serving of Christ? And will not fail of its reward in the next world?

A. It does. But whom are you confuting? Not me. For this is the Doctrine I preach continually.

3. Query the second. "Whether the enemy of Christianity may not find his account, in carrying Christianity, which was designed for a rule to all stations, and all conditions, to such heights as make it fairly practicable by a very few, in comparison, or rather by none?"

I answer, 1. The height to which we carry Christianity (as was but now observed) is this, *thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and thy neighbour as thyself.* 2. The Enemy of Christianity cannot find his account, in our carrying it to this height. 3. You will not say on reflection, that Christianity, even in this height, is practicable by very few, or rather by none: you yourself will confess, this is a rule (as God designed it should) for all stations, and all conditions.

Query the third. "Whether, in particular, the carrying the Doctrine of Justification by Faith alone to such a height, as not to allow that a careful and sincere observance of moral duties is so much as a condition of our acceptance with God, and of our being justified in his sight: whether this, I say, does not naturally lead people to a disregard of those duties, and a low esteem



esteem of them ; or rather to think them no part of the Christian Religion ? ”

I trust Justification by Faith alone, has been so explained above, as to secure, not only a high esteem, but also a careful and sincere observance of all moral duties.

4. Query the fourth. “ Whether a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid by good men in a serious and composed way, does not better answer the true ends of devotion, and is not a better evidence of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit, than those sudden agonies, roarings and screamings, tremblings, droppings down, ravings and madnesses, into which their hearers have been cast ? ”

I must answer this Query likewise, part by part.

Q. 1. Whether a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid in a serious and composed way, by good (i. e. well-meaning) men, does not answer the true ends of Devotion ?

A. I suppose by Devotion you mean public worship ; by the true ends of it, the love of God and man : and by a due and regular attendance on the public offices of Religion, paid in a serious and composed way, the going as often as we have opportunity to our Parish Church, and to the Sacrament there administered. If so, the question is, “ Whether this attendance on those offices, does not produce the love of God and man ? ” I answer, sometimes it does ; and sometimes it does not. I myself thus attended them for many years ; and yet am conscious to myself, that during that whole time, I had no more of the love of God than a stone. And I know many hundreds, perhaps thousands of serious persons, who are ready to testify the same thing.

Q. 2. But is not this a better evidence of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit, than those sudden agonies ?

A. All these persons, as well as I, can testify also, that this is no evidence at all of the co-operation of the Holy Spirit. For some years I attended these public offices, because I would not be punished for non-attendance. And many of these attended them, because their parents did before them, or because they would not lose their character. Many more, because they confounded the  
the

the means with the end, and fancied this *opus operatum* would bring them to heaven. How many thousands are now under this strong delusion? Beware, you bring not their blood on your own head?

Q. 3. However, does not this attendance better answer those ends, than those roarings, screamings, &c?

I suppose you mean, "Better than an attendance on that preaching, which has often been accompanied with these."

I answer. 1. There is no manner of need to set the one in opposition to the other: seeing we continually exhort all who attend on our preaching, to attend the offices of the Church. And they do pay a more regular attendance there, than ever they did before. 2. Their attending the Church did not, in fact, answer those ends at all, till they attended this preaching also. 3. It is the preaching remission of sins through Jesus Christ, which alone answers the true ends of Devotion. And this will always be accompanied with the co-operation of the Holy Spirit; though not always with sudden agonies, roarings, screamings, tremblings, or droppings down. Indeed, if God is pleased at any time to permit any of these, I cannot hinder it. Neither can this hinder the work of his Spirit in the soul: which may be carried on either with or without them. But, 4. I cannot apprehend it to be any reasonable proof, that "this is not the work of God," that a convinced sinner should fall into an extreme agony, both of body and soul, (Journal 3. p. 26.) That another should roar for the disquietness of her heart (p. 40.) that others should scream or cry with a loud and bitter cry, "What must we do to be saved?" (p. 50.) that others should exceedingly tremble and quake (p. 58.) And others, in a deep sense of the majesty of God, should fall prostrate upon the ground. (p. 59.)

Indeed by picking out one single word from a sentence, and then putting together what you had gleaned in sixty or seventy pages, you have drawn a terrible groupe, for them who look no farther than those two lines in the Observations. But the bare addition of half a line to each word, just as it stands in the place

from

from which you quoted it, reconciles all both to Scripture and Reason, and the spectre-form vanishes away.

You have taken into your account, ravings and madneses too. As instances of the former, you refer to the case of John Haydon, p. 44. and of Thomas Maxfield, p. 50. I wish you would calmly consider, his reasoning on that head, who is not prejudiced in my favour. "What influence sudden and sharp awakenings may have upon the body, I pretend not to explain. But I make no question Satan, so far as he gets power, may exert himself on such occasions, partly to hinder the good work in the persons who are thus touched with the sharp arrows of conviction, and partly to disparage the work of God, as if it tended to lead people to distraction."

For instances of madness you may refer to page 88. 90, 91, 92, 93. The words in page 88, are these:

"I could not but be under some concern, with regard to one or two persons, who were tormented in an unaccountable manner, and seemed to be indeed lunatic as well as sore-vexed.—Soon after I was sent for to one of these, who was so strangely torn of the Devil, that I almost wondered her relations did not say much religion hath made thee mad. We prayed God to bruise Satan under her feet. Immediately we had the petition we asked of him. She cried out vehemently, "He is gone, he is gone," and was filled with the spirit of Love, and of a sound mind. I have seen her many times since strong in the Lord. When I asked abruptly, "What do you desire now?" She answered, "Heaven." I asked, "What is in your heart?" She replied, "God." I asked, "But how is your heart when any thing provokes you?" She said, "By the grace of God, I am not provoked at any thing. All the things of this world pass by me as shadows." Are these the words of one that is beside herself? Let any man of reason judge!

Your next instance, p. 60, stand thus:

"About noon I came to Usk, where I preached to a small company of poor people, on, "The Son of Man is come, to save that which is lost." One grey-headed man wept and trembled exceedingly: and  
another



another who was there (I have since heard) as well as two or three who were at the *Devauden* are gone quite *distracted*; that is, (my express words are that immediately follow, specifying what it was which *some accounted distraction*) "They mourn and refuse to be comforted, till they have *Redemption through his blood*."

If you think the case mentioned, p. 92, 93, to be another instance of madness, I contend not. It was because I did not understand that uncommon case, that I prefaced it with this reflection. "The fact I nakedly relate, and leave every man to his own judgment upon it." Only be pleased to observe, that this madness, if such it was, is no more chargeable upon me than upon you. For the subject of it had no relation to, or commerce with me, nor had I ever seen her before that hour.

5. Query the fifth. "Whether those exalted strains in religion, and an imagination of being already in a state of perfection, are not apt to lead men to spiritual pride, and to a contempt of their fellow christians; while they consider them as only going on in what they call the *low and imperfect way*, (i. e. as growing in grace and goodness only by degrees) even though it appeared by the lives of those who are considered by them as in that low and imperfect way, that they are persons who are gradually working out their salvation, by their own honest endeavours, and through the ordinary assistance of God's grace; with an humble reliance upon the merits of Christ for pardon of their sins, and the acceptance of their sincere, though imperfect services?"

I must divide this Query too, but first permit me to ask, What do you mean by those exalted strains in religion? I have said again and again, I know of no more exalted strain, than "I will love thee, O Lord, my God:" especially, according to the propriety of David's expression אֲרַחֵם יְהוָה. *Ex intimis visceribus diligam te, Domine*. This premised, let us go on step by step.

Q. 1. Whether the preaching of "loving God from our inmost bowels," is not apt to lead men to spiritual pride, and to contempt of their fellow Christians?

A. No:

A. No: But so far as it takes place, it will humble them to the dust.

Q. 2. Whether an imagination of being already in a state of perfection, is not apt to lead men into this spiritual pride?

A. 1. If it be a false imagination, it is spiritual pride.  
2. But true Christian Perfection is no other than humble love.

Q. 3. Do not men who imagine they have attained this, despise others, as only going on in what they account the low and imperfect way, that is, as growing in grace and goodness, by degrees?

A. 1. Men who only imagine they have attained this, may probably despise those that are going on in any way. 2. But the growing in grace and goodness by degrees, is no mark of a *low* and *imperfect* way. Those who are fathers in Christ, grow in grace, by degrees as well as the new-born babes.

Q. 4. Do they not despise those who are working out their salvation, with an humble reliance upon the merits of Christ for the pardon of their sins, and the acceptance of their sincere though imperfect services?

A. 1. They who really love God, despise no man. But 2. They grieve to hear many talk of thus relying on Christ, who though perhaps they are grave, honest, moral men, yet by their own words appear not to love God at all; whose souls cleave to the dust, who love the world; who have no part of the mind that was in Christ.

6. Query the sixth. "Whether the same exalted strains and notions, do not tend to weaken the natural and civil relations among men, by leading the inferiors into whose heads those notions are infused to a disesteem of their superiors; while they consider them as in a much lower dispensation than themselves; though those superiors are otherwise sober and good men, and regular attendants on the ordinances of Religion?"

I have mentioned before, what those exalted notions are: these do not tend to weaken either the natural or civil relations among men; or to lead inferiors to a disesteem of their superiors, even where those superiors are neither good nor sober men.

Query

Query the seventh. "Whether a gradual improvement in grace and goodness is not a better foundation of comfort, and of an assurance of a gospel new-birth, than that which is founded on the doctrine of a sudden and instantaneous change; which, if there be any such thing, is not easily distinguished from fancy and imagination; the workings whereof we may well suppose to be more strong and powerful, while the person considers himself in the state of one who is admitted as a candidate for such a change, and is taught in due time to expect it?"

Let us go one step at a time.

Q. 1. Whether a gradual improvement in grace and goodness, is not a good foundation of comfort?

A. Doubtless it is, if by grace and goodness be meant the knowledge and love of God through Christ?

Q. 2. Whether it be not a good foundation of an assurance of a Gospel New-Birth?

A. If we daily grow in this knowledge and love, it is a good proof that we are born of the Spirit. But this does in no wise supersede the previous witness of God's Spirit with ours, that we are the children of God. And this is properly the foundation of the assurance of faith.

Q. 3. Whether this improvement is not a better foundation of comfort, and of an assurance of a gospel New-Birth, than that which is founded on the doctrine of a sudden and instantaneous change?

A. A better foundation than *that* — *That!* What? To what substantive does this refer? According to the Rules of Grammar, (for all the other substantives are in the Genitive Case, and consequently to be considered as only parts of that which governs them) you must mean, "A better foundation than that foundation which is founded on this doctrine." As soon as I understand the question, I will endeavour to answer it.

Q. 4. Can that sudden and instantaneous change be easily distinguished from fancy and imagination?

A. Just as easily as light from darkness: seeing it brings forth with it a peace that passeth all understanding, a joy unspeakable and full of glory, the love of God



God and all mankind filling the heart, and power over all sin.

Q. 5. May we not well suppose the workings of imagination to be more strong and powerful in one who is taught to expect such a change?

A. Perhaps we may—But still the tree is known by its fruits. And such fruits as those above-mentioned, imagination was never yet strong enough to produce, nor any power, save that of the Almighty.

7. There is only one clause in the eighth Query, which falls under our present enquiry.

“ They make it their principal employ, wherever they go, to instil into people a few *favourite tenets* of their own; and this with such diligence and zeal as if the whole of christianity depended upon them, and all efforts toward the true christian life, without a belief of those tenets, were vain and ineffectual.”

I plead guilty to this charge. I do make it my principal, nay, my whole employ, and that wherever I go, to instil into the people, a few favourite tenets, (Only be it observed, they are not my own, but his that sent me.) And it is undoubtedly true, that this I do, (though deeply conscious of my want, both of zeal and diligence) as if the whole of christianity depended upon them, and all efforts without them were void and vain.

I frequently sum them all up in one. “ In Christ Jesus, (i. e. according to his gospel) neither circumcision availeth any thing nor uncircumcision, but faith which worketh by love.” But many times I instil them one by one, under these, or the like expressions, “ Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy mind, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength: thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself;” as thy own soul: as Christ loved us. “ God is Love: and he that dwelleth in love, dwelleth in God, and God in him. Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love is the fulfilling of the law. While we have time let us do good unto all men; especially unto them that are of the household of faith. Whatsoever ye would that men should do unto you, even so do unto them.”

H

These

These are my favourite tenets, and have been for many years. O that I could instil them into every soul throughout the land! Ought they not to be instilled with such diligence and zeal, as if the whole of christianity depended upon them? For, who can deny, that all efforts toward a christian life, without more than a bare belief, without a thorough experience and practice of these, are utterly vain and ineffectual?

8. Part of your ninth Query is to the same effect:

“A few young heads set up their own Schemes, as the great standard of christianity; and indulge their own notions to such a degree, as to perplex, unhinge, terrify and distract the minds of multitudes of people, who have lived from their infancy under a Gospel-Ministry, and in the regular exercise of a Gospel-worship. And all this, by persuading them, that they neither are, nor can be true christians, but by adhering to their doctrines.”

What do you mean by their own schemes? Their own notions? Their doctrines? Are they not yours too? Are they not the schemes, the notions, the doctrines of Jesus Christ? The great, fundamental truths of his gospel? Can you deny one of them, without denying the Bible?—It is hard for you to kick against the pricks!

“They persuade (you say) multitudes of people, that they cannot be true christians, but by adhering to their doctrines.” Why, who says they can? Whosoever he be, I will prove him to be an infidel. Do you say, that any man can be a true christian, without loving God and his neighbour? Surely you have not so learned Christ! It is your doctrine, as well as mine, and St. Paul’s, “Though I speak with the tongue of men and angels, though I have all knowledge, and all faith; though I give all my goods to feed the poor, yea, my body to be burned, and have not love, I am nothing.”

Whatever public worship, therefore, people may have attended, or whatever Ministry they have lived under from their infancy, they must at all hazards, be convinced

convinced of this, or they perish for ever : yea, though that conviction at first unhinge them ever so much ; though it should, in a manner distract them for a season. For it is better that they should be perplexed and terrified now, than they should sleep on and awake in hell.

9. In the 10th, 12th, and 13th Queries I am not concerned. But you include me also, when you say in the 11th, " They absolutely deny, that recreations of any kind, considered as such, are or can be innocent."

I cannot find any such assertion of mine, either in the place you refer to, or any other. But what kinds of recreation are innocent, it is easy to determine by that plain rule, " Whether ye eat or drink, or whatever ye do, do all to the glory of God."

I am now to take my leave of you for the present. But first I would earnestly intreat you to acquaint yourself what our doctrines are, before you make any farther observations upon them. Surely, touching the nature of salvation we agree, that " pure religion and undefiled is this, to visit the fatherless and widow in their affliction," to do all possible good from a principle of Love to God and man : and to keep ourselves unspotted from the world, inwardly and outwardly to abstain from all evil.

10. With regard to the condition of salvation, it may be remembered, that I allow, not only faith, but likewise holiness or universal obedience, to be the ordinary condition of final salvation : and that when I say, faith alone is the condition of present salvation, what I would assert is this ; 1. That without faith no man can be saved from his sins, can be either inwardly or outwardly holy. And 2. That at what time-soever faith is given, holiness commences in the soul. For that instant, the love of God, (which is the source of holiness) is shed abroad in the heart.

But it is objected by the Author of *" The Notions of the Methodists disproved,"* " St. James says, *Can faith save him ?*" I answer, Such a faith as is without works



cannot bring a man to heaven. But this is quite beside the present question.

You object, 2. "St. Paul says, That *faith made perfect by love*, St. James, That *faith made perfect by works*, is the condition of salvation." You mean final salvation. I say so too: but this also is beside the question.

You object, 3. That the *Belief of the Gospel*, is called the *obedience of faith*, Rom. i. 5. And 4. That what Isaiah terms Believing, St. Paul terms Obeying. Suppose I grant you both the one and the other, what will you infer?

You object, 5. That in one Scripture our Lord is stiled "The Saviour of them that believe:" and in another, "The Author of eternal salvation, to all them that obey him." 6., That to the Galatians St. Paul writes, "Neither circumcision availeth any thing, nor uncircumcision, but faith which worketh by love;" and to the Corinthians, "circumcision is nothing, and uncircumcision is nothing, but the keeping the commandments of God." And hence you conclude, "There are several texts of Scripture, wherein unbelief and disobedience are equivalently used." Very true: but can you conclude from thence, that we are not *Saved by faith alone*?

11. You proceed to answer some texts which I had quoted. The first is Ephesians ii. 8. "By grace ye are saved through faith." "But (say you) faith does not mean here, that grace especially so called, but includes also obedience." But how do you prove this? That circumstance you had forgot: and so run off with a comment upon the context: to which I have no other objection, than that it is nothing at all to the question.

Indeed some time after you add, "It is plain then that good works are always, in St. Paul's judgment, joined with faith." (So undoubtedly they are, that is, as an effect is always joined with its cause) "And therefore we are not saved by faith alone." I cannot possibly allow the consequence.

You afterwards cite two more texts, and add, "You see mere faith cannot be a condition of justification."

Your

You are out of your way. We are no more talking now of justification than of final salvation.

In considering Acts xvi. 31. "Believe in the Lord Jesus and thou shalt be saved." You say again, "Here the word *believe* does not signify faith only.—Faith necessarily produces charity and repentance; therefore, these are expressed by the word *believe*," i. e. Faith necessarily produces holiness. Therefore holiness is a condition of holiness. I want farther proof. That Paul and Silas spake unto him the word of the Lord; and that his faith did in the same hour work by love, I take to be no proof at all.

You then undertake to shew, that confessing our sins is a condition of justification, and that a confidence in the love of God, is not a condition. Some of your words are, "This, good Sir, give me leave to say, is the greatest nonsense and contradiction possible. It is impossible you can understand this jargon yourself, and therefore you labour in vain to make it intelligible to others. You soar aloft on eagle's wings, and leave the poor people to gape and stare after you."

This is very pretty, and very lively. But it is nothing to the purpose. For we are not now speaking of justification: neither have I said one word of "The condition of justification." in the whole Tract to which you here refer.

"In the next place (say you) if we are saved (finally you mean) only by a confidence in the love of God"—Here I must stop you again; you are now running beside the question, on the other hand. The sole position which I here advance is this: True believers are saved from inward and outward sin by faith. By faith alone the love of God and all mankind is shed abroad in their hearts, bringing with it the mind that was in Christ, and producing all holiness of conversation.

IV. 1. I am now to consider, What has been lately objected, with regard to the nature of saving faith.

The Author last mentioned "cannot understand how those texts of St. John are at all to the purpose," 1. John. iii. 1. "Behold what manner of love the Father

hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God." And chap. iv. 19. "We love him, because he first loved us." I answer 1. These texts were not produced in the Appeal, by way of proof, but of illustration only. But 2. I apprehend they may be produced as a proof, both that christian faith implies a confidence in the love of God, and that such a confidence has a direct tendency to salvation, to holiness both of heart and life.

"Behold what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God!" Are not these words an expression of christian faith? As direct an one as can well be conceived? And I appeal to every man, whether they do not express the strongest confidence of the love of God? Your own comment puts this beyond dispute. "Let us consider attentively and with grateful hearts, the great love and mercy of God, in calling us to be his sons, and bestowing on us the privileges belonging to such." Do you not perceive, that you have given up the cause? You have yourself taught us, that these words imply "A sense of the great love and mercy of God, in bestowing upon us the privileges belonging to his sons."

The Apostle adds, "Beloved, now are we the sons of God; and it doth not yet appear what we shall be: but we know that when he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is."

I suppose no one will say, either that these words are not expressive of christian faith; or, that they do not imply the strongest confidence in the love of God. It follows, "And every man that hath this hope in him, purifieth himself even as he is pure."

Hence it appears, That this faith is a saving faith, that there is the closest connection between this faith and holiness. This text therefore is directly to the purpose, in respect of both the propositions to be proved.

The other is, "We love him, because he first loved us." And here also, for fear I should fail in the proof, you have drawn it up ready to my hands.

"God sent his only Son---to redeem us from sin, by purchasing for us grace and salvation. By which grace

we



we—through faith and repentance have our sins pardoned—And therefore we are bound to return the tribute of our love and gratitude, and to obey him faithfully, as long as we live.”

Now, though we have our sins pardoned, if we do not know they are pardoned, this cannot bind us either to love or obedience. But if we do know it, and by that very knowledge, or confidence in the pardoning love of God, are both bound and enabled to love and obey him, this is the whole of what I contend for.

2. You afterwards object against some other texts which I had cited, to illustrate the nature of saving faith. My words were, “Hear believing Job, declaring his faith, *I know that my Redeemer liveth*. I here affirm two things, 1. That Job was then a believer. 2. That he declared his faith in these words. And all I affirm, you allow. Your own words are, “God was pleased to bestow upon him a strong assurance of his favour—to inspire him with a prophecy of the resurrection, and that he should have a share in it.”

I went on, “Hear Thomas, when having seen he believed, crying out, My Lord, and my God.” Hereon you comment thus, “The meaning of which is that St. Thomas makes a confession both of his faith and repentance.” I agree with you. But you add, “In St. Thomas’s confession there is not implied an assurance of pardon.” You cannot agree with yourself in this; but immediately subjoin, “If it did imply such an assurance, he might well have it, since he had an immediate revelation of it from God himself.”

Yet a little before you endeavoured to prove that one who was not a whit behind the very chief Apostles had not such an assurance: where, in order to shew that faith does not imply this, you said, “St. Paul methinks has fully determined this point (1 Cor. iv. 4.) “I know nothing by myself, says he, yet am I not hereby justified.”—“And if an Apostle so illuminated, does not think himself justified”—Then I grant, he has fully determined the point. But before you absolutely fix upon that conclusion, be pleased to remember your own comment that follows, on those other words of St.

Paul,

Paul, "The life I now live, I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me and gave himself for me." Your words are, "And no question a person endowed with such extraordinary gifts, might arrive at a very eminent degree of assurance."---So he did arrive at a very eminent degree of assurance, though he did not think himself justified!

I can scarce think you have read over that chapter to the Colossians: else surely you would not assert, that those words on which the stress lies, (viz. "Who hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of his dear Son: in whom we have redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins,)" do not relate to Paul and Timothy who wrote the Epistle, but to the Colossians, to whom they wrote." I need be at no pains to answer this; for presently after your own words are, "He hath made us, meaning the Colossians as well as himself, meet to be Inheritors."—

3. You may easily observe, that I quoted the Council of Trent by memory, not having the book then by me. I own, and thank you for correcting my mistake: but in correcting one, you make another. For the decrees of the sixth session were not "published on the 13th of January:" but the session itself began on that day.

I cannot help reciting your words, although they are not exactly to the present question.

"The words of the 12th Canon of the Council of Trent are,

"If any man shall say that justifying Faith is nothing else, but a confidence in the Divine Mercy, remitting sins for Christ's sake, and that this confidence is that alone by which we are justified, let him be accursed." You add,

"This, Sir, I am sure is True Doctrine, and perfectly agreeable to the Doctrine of our Church. And so you are not only anathematized by the Council of Trent, but also condemned by our own Church."

"Our Church holds no such scandalous and disgraceful opinion."—According to our Church, no man can have "the True Faith, who has not a loving heart."—Therefore Faith is not a confidence that any man's  
sins.

sins are actually forgiven, and he reconciled to God." (What have the premises to do with the conclusion!)

4. To decide this, Let our Church speak for herself. Whether she does not suppose and teach, that every particular Believer knows that his sins are forgiven, and he himself is reconciled to God.

First then, Our Church supposes and teaches every particular Believer, to say concerning himself, "In my baptism I was made a member of Christ, a child of God, and an inheritor of the kingdom of heaven. And I thank God who hath called me to that state of salvation. And I pray God that I may continue in the same, to my life's end."

Now does this person know what he says to be true? If not, it is the grossest hypocrisy. But if he does, then he knows, that he in particular is reconciled to God.

The next words I shall quote may be a comment on these: may God write them in our hearts!

"A true christian man is not afraid to die, who is the very Member of Christ, the Temple of the Holy Ghost, the Son of God, and the very inheritor of the everlasting kingdom of heaven. But plainly contrary, he not only puts away the fear of death, but wishes, desires and longs heartily for it. *Sermon against the fear of Death.* I. Part.

Can this be, unless he has a sure confidence that he, in particular, is reconciled to God?

"Men commonly fear Death, first because of leaving their worldly goods and pleasures. 2. For Fear of the Pains of Death; and, 3. For Fear of perpetual Damnation. But none of these causes trouble good men, because they stay themselves by true faith, perfect charity, and sure hope of endless joy and bliss everlasting." Ibid. II. Part.

"All these therefore have great cause to be full of joy, and not to fear Death nor everlasting Damnation. For Death cannot deprive them of Jesus Christ, Death cannot take him from us, nor us from him. Death not only cannot harm us, but also shall profit us and join us to God more perfectly. And thereof a christian heart may be surely certified. It is God, saith St. Paul, which



*which hath given us an earnest of his Spirit.* As long as we be in the body, we are in a strange country. But we have a desire rather to be at home with God." Ibid.

He that runneth may read in all these words, the confidence which our Church supposes, every particular Believer to have, that he himself is reconciled to God.

To proceed, "The only Instrument of Salvation required on our parts is Faith, that is, a sure trust and confidence, that God both hath and will forgive our sins, that he hath accepted us again into his favour, for the merits of Christ's death and passion." Second Sermon on the Passion.

"But here, we must take heed that we do not halt with God, through an unconstant wavering Faith. Peter coming to Christ upon the water, because he fainted in Faith was in danger of drowning. So we, if we begin to wave or doubt, it is to be feared lest we should sink as Peter did: not into the water, but into the bottomless pit of hell-fire. Therefore I say unto you, that we must apprehend the merits of Christ's death by Faith, and that with a strong and stedfast Faith: nothing doubting, but that Christ by his own oblation hath taken away our sins, and hath restored us again to God's favour." Ibid.

5. If it be still said, That the Church speaks only of men in general, but not of the confidence of this or that particular person: even this last, poor subterfuge, is utterly cut off by the following words:

"Thou, O man, hast received the body of Christ which was once broken, and his blood which was shed for the remission of thy sin. Thou hast received his body, to have within thee the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, for to endow thee with grace, and to comfort thee with their presence. Thou hast received his body, to endow thee with everlasting righteousness, and to assure thee of everlasting bliss." Sermon on the Resurrection.

I shall add but one passage more, from the first part of the Sermon on the Sacrament.

"Have a sure and constant Faith, not only that the death of Christ is available for all the world, but that  
he

he hath made a full and sufficient sacrifice for thee, a perfect cleansing of thy sins, so that thou mayest say with the Apostle, he loved thee and gave himself for thee. For this is, to make Christ thine own, and to apply his merits unto thyself."

Let every reasonable man now judge for himself, which is the sense of our Church as to the nature of saving Faith. Does it not abundantly appear, that the Church of England supposes every particular Believer, to have a sure confidence, that his sins are forgiven, and he himself reconciled to God? Yea, and how can the absolute necessity of this Faith, this unwavering confidence, be more strongly or peremptorily asserted, than it is in those words: "If we begin to waver or doubt, it is to be feared, lest we sink as Peter did: not into the water; but into the bottomless pit of hell-fire?"

6. I would willingly dismiss this Writer here. I had said in the Earnest Appeal (what I am daily more and more confirmed in) that this Faith is usually given in a moment. This you greatly dislike. Your argument against it, if put into form, will run thus:

"They who first apprehended the meaning of the words delivered, then gave their assent to them, then had confidence in the promises to which they assented, and lastly, loved God, did not receive Faith in a moment."

But the Believers mentioned in the Acts, first apprehended the meaning of the words, then gave their assent, then had confidence in the promises, and lastly, loved God: therefore

"The Believers mentioned in the Acts, did not receive Faith in a moment."

I deny the major. They might first apprehend, then assent, then confide, then love, and yet receive Faith in a moment: in that moment, wherein their general confidence became particular, so that each could say, "My Lord, and my God."

One paragraph more I will be at the pains to transcribe. "You insinuate, that the Sacraments are only requisite to the well-being of a visible Church: whereas the Church declares, that the due administration of them, is an essential property thereof. I suppose  
you

you hinted this to gratify your loving disciples the Quakers."

This is flat and plain. Here is a fact positively averred; and a reason also assigned for it. Now, do you take yourself to be a man of candor, I had almost said, of common honesty? My very words in the place referred to, are, "A visible Church is a company of faithful people. This is the essence of it. And the properties thereof are, that the pure Word of God be preached therein, and the Sacraments duly administered."

7. Before I take my leave, I cannot but recommend to you that advice of a wise and good Man,

"Be calm in arguing; for fierceness makes  
Error a fault, and truth discourtesy."

I am grieved at your extreme warmth: you are in a thorough ill-humour from the very beginning of your book to the end. This cannot hurt me: but it may yourself. And it does not at all help your Cause. If you denounce against me all the curses from Genesis to the Revelation, they will not amount to one argument. I am willing (so far as I know myself) to be reprov'd either by you or any other. But whatever you do, let it be done in love, in patience, in meekness of wisdom.

V. 1. With regard to the Author of Faith and Salvation, abundance of objections have been made: it being a current opinion, that "Christians are not now to receive the Holy Ghost."

Accordingly, whenever we speak of the Spirit of God, of his operations in the souls of men, of his revealing unto us the things of God, or inspiring us with good desires or tempers; whenever we mention the feeling his mighty power, working in us, according to his good pleasure: the general answer we have to expect is, "This is all rank Enthusiasm." So it was with the Apostles and first Christians. But only Enthusiasts pretend to this now.

Thus all the Scriptures, abundance of which might be produced, are set aside at one stroke. And whoever cites them, as belonging to all Christians, is set down for an Enthusiast.

The first Tract I have seen, wrote expressly on this head, is remarkably entitled, "The



“ The Operations of the Holy Spirit imperceptible, and how men may know, when they are under the guidance and influence of the Spirit.”

You begin, “ As we have some among us who pretend, to a more than ordinary guidance by the Spirit—(indeed I do not : I pretend to no other guidance, than is ordinarily given to all Christians) it may not be improper to discourse, on the operations of God’s Holy Spirit.”—

“ To this end, be thou pleased, O gracious Fountain of Truth, to assist me with thy heavenly direction in speaking of thee.”

Alas, Sir, what need have you to speak any more ? You have already granted all I desire, viz. That “ we may all now enjoy, and know that we do enjoy, the heavenly direction of God’s Spirit.”

However, you go on, and observe that the extraordinary gifts of the Holy Ghost, were granted to the first Christians only, but his ordinary graces to all Christians in all ages : both which you then attempt to enumerate : only suspending your discourse a little, when “ some conceited Enthusiasts ” come in your way.

2. You next enquire, “ After what manner these graces are raised in our souls ? ” And answer, “ How to distinguish these heavenly motions, from the natural operations of our minds, we have no light to discover : the Scriptures—declaring that the operations of the Holy Spirit, are not subject to any sensible feelings or perceptions. For what communication can there be between feelings which are properties peculiar to matter, and the suggestion of the Spirit ?—All reasonable Christians believe, that he works his graces in us in an imperceptible manner ; and that there is no sensible difference between his and the natural operations of our minds.”

I conceive this to be the strength of your Cause. To support that conclusion, that the operations of the Spirit are imperceptible, you here alledge, 1. “ That all reasonable Christians believe this.” So you say. But I want proof. 2. “ That there can be no communications (I fear, you mistook the word) between the  
I suggestions

suggestions of the Spirit, and feelings which are properties peculiar to matter." How! Are the feelings now in question, properties peculiar to matter? The feeling of peace, joy, love? Or any feelings at all? I can no more understand the philosophy than the divinity of this. 3. "That the Scriptures declare, the operations of the Spirit are not subject to any sensible feelings." You are here disproving, as you suppose, a proposition of mine. But are you sure you understand it? By feeling, I mean, being inwardly conscious of. By the operations of the Spirit, I do not mean the manner in which he operates, but the graces which he operates in a Christian. Now be pleased to produce those Scriptures which declare, "That a Christian cannot feel or perceive these operations."

3. Are you not convinced, Sir, that you have laid to my charge things which I know not? I do not gravely tell you (as much an Enthusiast as you over and over affirm me to be) "That I sensibly feel (in your sense) the motions of the Holy Spirit." Much less do I "make this, any more than convulsions, agonies, howlings, roarings, and violent contortions of the body," either "Certain signs of men's being in a state of salvation," or "necessary in order thereto." You might with equal justice and truth inform the world, and the Worshipful the Magistrates of Newcastle, that I make seeing the wind or feeling the light, necessary to salvation.

Neither do I "confound the extraordinary with the ordinary operations of the Spirit." And as to your last enquiry, "What is the best proof of our being led by the Spirit?" I have no exception to that just and scriptural answer, which you yourself have given, "A thorough change and renovation of mind and heart, and the leading a new and holy life."

4. "That I confound the extraordinary with the ordinary operations of the Spirit, and therefore am an Enthusiast," is also strongly urged in a Charge delivered to his Clergy, and lately published by the Lord Bishop of Litchfield and Coventry.

An extract of the former part of this, I subjoin, in his Lordship's words.

"I can-

“ I cannot think it improper to obviate the contagion, of those enthusiastical pretensions, that have lately betrayed whole multitudes, either into presumption or melancholy. Enthusiasm indeed, when detected, is apt to create infidelity ; and Infidelity is so shocking a thing, that many rather run into the other extreme, and take refuge in Enthusiasm. But Infidelity and Enthusiasm seem now to act in concert against our established Religion. As Infidelity has been sufficiently opposed, I shall now lay before you the weakness of those enthusiastical pretensions.” Page 1, 2.

Now to confute effectually, and strike at the root of those enthusiastical pretensions,

“ First, I shall shew, that it is necessary to lay down some method for distinguishing real from pretended Inspiration.” Page 3. 5.

“ Many expressions occur in the New Testament concerning the operations of the Holy Spirit. But men of an enthusiastical temper, have confounded passages of a quite different nature, and have jumbled together those that relate to the extraordinary operations of the Spirit, with those that relate to his ordinary influences. It is therefore necessary to use some method for separating those passages, relating to the operations of the Spirit, that have been so misapplied to the service of Enthusiastical Pretenders.” Page 5, 6, 7.

“ I proceed therefore to shew,

“ Secondly, That a distinction is to be made between those passages of Scripture about the blessed Spirit that peculiarly belong to the Primitive Church, and those that relate to Christians in all ages.” Page 7.

“ The exigencies of the apostolical age required the miraculous gifts of the Spirit. But these soon ceased. When therefore we meet in the Scripture with an account of those extraordinary gifts, and likewise with an account of his ordinary operations, we must distinguish the one from the other. And that not only for our own satisfaction, but as a means to stop the growth of Enthusiasm.” Page 8, 9, 10.

“ And such a distinction ought to be made, by the best methods of interpreting the Scriptures : which most certainly are an attentive consideration of the occasion



and scope of those passages, in concurrence with the general sense of the Primitive Church." Page 11.

" I propose, thirdly, to specify some of the chief passages of Scripture that are misapplied by modern Enthusiasts, and to shew that they are to be interpreted chiefly, if not only, of the apostolical Church; and that they very little, if at all relate, to the present state of Christians." Page 12.

" I begin, says your Lordship, with the original promise of the Spirit, as made by our Lord a little before he left the world."

I must take the liberty to stop your Lordship on the threshold. I deny that this is the original promise of the Spirit. I expect his assistance, in virtue of many promises, some hundred years prior to this.

If you say, " However this is the original or first promise of the Spirit, in the New Testament." No, my Lord; those words were spoken long before: *he shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost, and with fire.*

Will you reply? " Well, but this is the original promise made by our Lord." I answer, not so neither. For it was before this, Jesus himself stood and cried, " If any man thirst, let him come unto me and drink, he that believeth on me, as the Scripture hath said, out of his belly shall flow rivers of living water. And this he spake of the Spirit, which they should receive who believed on him," (*ὃ ἔμελλον λαμβάνειν οἱ πιστεύοντες εἰς αὐτόν*). If I mistake not, this may more justly be termed our Lord's original promise of the Spirit. And who will assert, that this is to be interpreted chiefly, if not only, of the apostolical Church?

5. Your Lordship proceeds: " It occurs in the 14th and 16th chapters of St. John's Gospel; in which he uses these words." — In what verses, my Lord? \* Why is not this specified? Unless to furnish your Lordship with an opportunity of doing the very thing whereof you before complained, of " confounding passages of a quite contrary nature, and jumbling together

\* I take it for granted, that the citation of texts in the margin, which is totally wrong, is a blunder of the Printer's.

those

those that relate to the extraordinary operations of the Spirit, with those that relate to his ordinary influences? ”

You cite the words thus ; *When the Spirit of Truth is come he will guide you into all Truth and he will shew you things to come.* (These are nearly the words that occur, chap. xvi. ver. 13.)

“ And again, *The Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance whatsoever I have said unto you.* These words occur in the 14th chapter, at the 26th verse.”

But, my Lord, I want the original promise still ; the original (I mean) of those made in this very discourse. Indeed your margin tells us, where it is, (chap. xiv. ver. 16.) but the words appear not. Taken together with the context they run thus :

*If ye love me, keep my commandments.*

*And I will pray the Father, and he will give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever :*

*Even the Spirit of Truth, whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth him, Chap. xiv. ver. 15, 16, 17.*

My Lord, suffer me to enquire, why you slipt over this text ? Was it not (I appeal to the Searcher of your heart !) because you was conscious to yourself, that it would necessarily drive you to that unhappy dilemma, either to assert that for ever, *eis τὸν αἰῶνα*, meant only sixty or seventy years ; or to allow that the text must be interpreted of the ordinary operations of the Spirit, in all future ages of the Church.

And indeed that the promise in this text belongs to all Christians, evidently appears, not only from your Lordship’s own concession, and from the text itself, (for who can deny, that this Comforter or Paraclete is now given to all them that believe ?) but also from the preceding, as well as following, words. The preceding are, “ *If ye love me, keep my commandments. And I will pray the Father.* ” — None surely can doubt, but these belong to all Christians in all ages. The following words are, *Even the Spirit of Truth, whom the world cannot receive.* True ; the world cannot ; but all Christians can, and will receive him for ever.

6. The second promise of the Comforter, made in this chapter, together with its context, stands thus :

“ Judas saith unto him, (not Iscariot) Lord, how is it that thou wilt manifest thyself unto us, and not unto the world ? ” ver. 22.

“ Jesus answered and said unto him, if any man love me, he will keep my word. And my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him,” ver. 23.

“ He that loveth me not, keepeth not my word : and the word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father’s which sent me,” ver. 24.

“ These things have I spoken unto you, being yet with you,” ver. 25.

“ But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he will teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you,” ver. 26.

Now, how does your Lordship prove that this promise belongs only to the Primitive Church ? Why, 1. You say, “ It is very clear from the bare recital of the words.” I apprehend not. But this is the very question, which is not to be begged, but proved. 2. You say, *The Spirit’s bringing all things to their remembrance, whatsoever he had said unto them*, cannot possibly be applied to any other persons but the Apostles.” “ Cannot be applied ! ” This is a flat begging the question again, which I cannot give up without better reasons. 3. “ The gifts of prophecy and of being *guided into all truth, and taught all things*, can be applied only to the Apostles, and those of that age who were immediately inspired.” Here your Lordship, in order the more plausibly to beg the question again, “ jumbles together the extraordinary with the ordinary operations of the Spirit.” The gift of prophecy, we know, is one of his extraordinary operations ; but there is not a word of it in this text : nor, therefore ought it to be “ confounded with his ordinary operations,” such as the being guided into all truth, (all that is necessary to salvation) and taught all (necessary) things, in a due use of the means he hath ordained. ver. 26.

In



In the same manner, namely, in a serious and constant use of proper means, I believe the assistance of the Holy Ghost is given to all Christians, to bring all things needful to their remembrance, whatsoever Christ hath spoken to them in his word. So that I see no occasion to grant, without some kind of proof, (especially considering the occasion of this, and the scope of the preceding verses) that even "this promise cannot possibly be applied to any other persons but the Apostles."

7. In the same Discourse of our Lord we have a third promise of the Comforter. The whole clause runs thus :

"If I go not away, the Comforter will not come unto you ; but if I go, I will send him unto you, chap. xvi. ver. 7.

"And when he is come, he will reprove (or convince) the world of sin, and of righteousness, and of judgment, ver. 8.

"Of sin, because they believe not on me :

"Of righteousness, because I go to my Father, and ye see me no more ;

"Of judgment, because the Prince of this World is judged, ver. 9, 10, 11.

"I have yet many things to say unto you ; but ye cannot bear them now : (ver. 12.) But when he shall come, the Spirit of Truth, he will guide you into all truth—And he will shew you things to come, ver. 13.

There is only one sentence here which has not already been considered, *He will shew you things to come.*

And this, it is granted, relates to the gift of prophecy, one of the extraordinary operations of the Spirit.

The general conclusion which your Lordship draws, is express in these words. "Consequently all pretensions to the Spirit, in the proper sense of the words of this promise (i. e. of these several texts of St. John) are vain and insignificant, as they are claimed by modern Enthusiasts." And in the end of the same paragraph you add, "None but the ordinary operations of the Spirit are to be now expected, since those that are of a miraculous (or extraordinary) kind are not pretended to, even by modern Enthusiasts."

My

My Lord, this is surprizing. I read it over and over, before I could credit my own eyes. I verily believe this one clause, with unprejudiced persons, will be an answer to the whole book. You have been vehemently crying out all along against those Enthusiastical Pretenders; nay, the very design of your book, as you openly declare, was "to stop the growth of their enthusiasm: who have had assurance (as you positively affirm, page 6,) to claim to themselves the extraordinary operations of the Holy Spirit." And here you as positively affirm, that those extraordinary operations "are not pretended to by them at all!"

8. Yet your Lordship proceeds, "The next passage of Scripture I shall mention as peculiarly belonging to the primitive times, though misapplied to the present state of Christians by modern Enthusiasts, is what relates to the testimony of the Spirit, and praying by the Spirit, in the 8th chapter of the Epistle to the Romans." Page 16.

I believe it incumbent upon me thoroughly to weigh the force of your Lordship's reasoning on this head. You begin, "After St. Paul had treated of that spiritual principle in Christians, which enables them to mortify the deeds of the body—he says, "If any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his." This makes the distinction of a true Christian, particularly in opposition to the Jews." I apprehend it is just here, that your Lordship turns out of the way, when you say, "Particularly in opposition to the Jews." Such a particular opposition I cannot allow, till some stronger proof is produced, than St. Paul's occasionally mentioning six verses before, "the imperfection of the Jewish law."

Yet your Lordship's mind is so full of this, that after repeating the 14th and 15th verses ("as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the Sons of God: for ye have not received the Spirit of Bondage again to fear: but ye have received the Spirit of Adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father!") you add, "In the former part of this verse, the Apostle shews again the imperfection of the Jewish law." This also calls for proof: otherwise, it will not be allowed, that he here speaks.

speaks of the Jewish law at all : not, though we grant that “ the Jews were subject to the fear of death, and lived, in consequence of it, in a state of bondage.” For are not all Unbelievers, as well as the Jews, more or less, in the same fear and bondage?

Your Lordship goes on, “ In the latter part of the verse he shews the superiority of the Christian law to that of the Jews.” Page 18. Where is the proof, my Lord? How does it appear, that he is speaking either of the Christian or Jewish law, in those words, “ Ye have received the Spirit of Adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father?” However, you infer, “ Christians then are the adopted Sons of God, in contradistinction to the Jews, as the former had the gifts of the Holy Ghost, which none of the latter had at that time : and the body of the Jews never had.” No, nor the body of the Christians neither. So that if this be a proof against the Jews, it is the very same against the Christians.

I must observe farther on the preceding words, 1. That your Lordship begins here, to take the word Christians in a new and peculiar sense, for the whole body of the then Christian Church : 2. That it is a bad inference, “ as, or because they had the gifts of the Holy Ghost, therefore they were the Sons of God.” On the one hand, if they were the children of God, it was not, because they had those gifts. On the other, a man may have all those gifts, and yet be a child of the devil.

9. I conceive, not only that your Lordship has proved nothing hitherto, not one point that has any relation to the question ; but that, strictly speaking, you have not attempted to prove any thing, having taken for granted whatever came in your way. In the same manner you proceed, “ The Apostle goes on, “ The Spirit itself beareth witness with our Spirit, that we are the children of God.” This passage, as it is connected with the preceding one, relates to the general adoption of Christians, or their becoming the sons of God instead of the Jews.”—“ This passage relates”—How is that proved? By its connexion with the preceding? In nowise, unless it be good arguing to prove

*ignotum*



*ignotum per ignotius.* It has not yet been proved, that the preceding passage itself has any relation to this matter.

Your Lordship adds, "But what was the ground of this preference that was given to christians? It was plainly the (miraculous) gifts of the spirit, which they had, and which the Jews had not." This preference given to christians, was just before express by their becoming the Sons of God instead of the Jews. Were the gifts of the spirit then the ground of this preference? The ground of their becoming the Sons of God? What an assertion is this! And how little is it mended, though I allow, that "these miraculous gifts of the spirit, were a testimony that God acknowledged the christians to be his people and not the Jews: (since the christians who worked miracles, did it not by the works of the law, but by the hearing of faith?")

Your Lordship concludes, "From these passages of St. Paul, compared together, it clearly follows, that the forementioned testimony of the Spirit, was the public testimony of miraculous gifts—and, consequently, the witness of the Spirit that we are the children of God, cannot possibly be applied, to the private testimony of the Spirit given to our own consciences, as is pretended by modern Enthusiasts." Page 20.

If your conclusion, my Lord, will stand without the premises it may: but that it has no manner of connection with them, I trust it does partly, and will more fully appear, when we view the whole passage to which you refer. And I believe that passage, with very little comment, will prove, in direct opposition to that conclusion, that the testimony of the Spirit there mentioned, is not the public testimony of miraculous gifts, but must be applied to the private testimony of the Spirit, given to our own consciences.

10. St. Paul begins the eighth chapter of his Epistle to the Romans, with the great privilege of every christian believer, (whether Jew or Gentile before) "There is now no condemnation for them that are in Christ Jesus," engrafted into him by faith, "who walk not after the flesh, but after the spirit." For now every one of them may truly say, The law (or power) of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus (given unto me for his sake) hath

hath made me free from the law (or power) of sin and death. For that which the law could not do, in that it was weak through the flesh, God sending his own Son, in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin," did; when he "condemned," (crucified, put to death, destroyed) "sin in the flesh: That the righteousness of the law might be fulfilled in us, who walk not after the flesh, but after the spirit. For they that are after the flesh, mind the things of the flesh; but they that are after the spirit, the things of the spirit." Verse 1—5.

Is it not evident, that the Apostle is here describing a true christian, a holy believer? In opposition, not particularly to a Jew, much less to the Jewish law; but to every unholy man, to all, whether Jews or Gentiles, who walk after the flesh? He goes on,

"For, to be carnally-minded is death; but to be spiritually-minded is life and peace. Because the carnal mind is enmity against God; for it is not subject to the law of God; neither indeed can be. So then they that are in the flesh, cannot please God. Verse 6, 7, 8.

The opposition between a holy and unholy man, is still glaring and undeniable. But can any man discern, the least glimmering of opposition, between the Christian and the Jewish law?

The Apostle goes on, "But ye are not in the flesh, but in the spirit, if so be that the Spirit of God dwell in you. Now if any man have not the spirit of Christ, he is none of his. But if Christ be in you, the body is dead, because of (or with regard to) sin, but the spirit is life because of righteousness. But if the spirit of him that raised up Jesus from the dead dwell in you, He that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by his spirit which dwelleth in you. Therefore, brethren, we are debtors, not to the flesh, to live after the flesh. For if ye live after the flesh ye shall die; but if ye through the spirit do mortify the deeds of the body, ye shall live. For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the Sons of God." Verse 9—14.

Is there one word here, is there any the least intimation of miraculous gifts, or of the Jewish law?

It

It follows, "For ye have not received the spirit of bondage again, to fear (such as all sinners have, when they are first stirred up to seek God; and begin to serve him from a slavish fear of punishment) But ye have received the spirit of adoption (of free love) whereby we cry, Abba, Father. The Spirit itself (which God hath sent forth into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father,) beareth witness with our Spirit, that we are the children of God." Verse 15, 16.

I am now willing to leave it, without farther comment, to the judgment of every impartial reader, whether it does not appear from the whole scope and tenor of the text and context taken together, that this passage does not refer to the Jewish law, and to the public testimony of miracles: neither of which can be dragged in, without putting the utmost force on the natural meaning of the words. And if so, it will follow, that this witness of the Spirit is the private testimony given to our own consciences: which, consequently, all sober Christians may claim, without any danger of enthusiasm.

11. "But I go on (says your Lordship, page 21,) to the consideration of the other passages in the same chapter, relating to our praying by the Spirit, namely at verse 26 and 27, which runs thus, "Likewise the spirit also helpeth our infirmities: for we know not what we should pray for as we ought; but the spirit itself maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered. And he that searcheth the hearts, knoweth what is the mind of the spirit, because he maketh intercession for the saints, according to the will of God."

Here is a circumstance highly needful to be observed, before we enter upon this question. Your Lordship undertakes to fix the meaning of an expression used by St. Paul, in the fourteenth chapter of his first epistle to the Corinthians. And in order thereto, you laboriously explain part of the eighth chapter to the Romans. My Lord, how is this? Will it be said, "Why this is often alledged to prove the wrong sense of that Scripture?" I conceive, this will not salve the matter at all. Your Lordship had before laid down a particular method,



method, as the only sure one whereby to distinguish what Scriptures belong to all christians, and what do not. This method is, the considering the occasion and scope of those passages, by comparing the text and context together. You then propose, by the use of this method, to shew, that several texts have been misapplied by Enthusiasts. One of these is the 15th verse of the 14th chapter of the first epistle to the Corinthians. And to shew, that Enthusiasts have misapplied this, you comment on the eighth chapter to the Romans!

However, let us weigh the comment itself. The material part of it begins thus: (p. 22.) "Now he adds another proof of the truth of Christianity: likewise the spirit helpeth our infirmities (or our distresses, for *ἀδυναμία* signifies both." I doubt that: I require authority for it.) "And then he mentions, in what instances he does so, viz. In prayers to God about afflictions——" (In nothing else, my Lord? Did he help their infirmities, in no other instance than this?) "We know not, says he, what we should pray for as we ought. That is, whether it be best for us to bear afflictions, or to be delivered from them. But the spirit, or the gift of the Spirit, instructs us how to pray in a manner agreeable to the will of God." The Spirit, or the gift of the Spirit! What marvellous reasoning is this? If these "are often put for each other," what then? How is that evinced to be the case here?

12. "The Apostle goes on, *The Spirit itself* (p. 23.) *maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered: that is, the spiritual or inspired person prayed in that capacity for the whole assembly.*" "That is!" Nay, that is again the very point to be proved, else we get not one step farther.

"The Apostle goes on thus, verse 27. *And he that* (p. 24.) *searcheth the hearts, knoweth what is the mind of the spirit* (that is, of the spiritual or inspired person) *because he maketh intercession for the saints according to the Will of God.*" That is, God knows the intention of the spiritual person, who has the gift of prayer, which he uses for the benefit of the whole assembly; he, I say, leaves it entirely to God, whether it be best

K

that

that they should suffer afflictions, or be delivered from them." Page 25.

My Lord, this is more astonishing than all the rest! I was expecting all along in reading the preceding pages (and so I suppose, was every thinking reader) when your Lordship would mention, that the person miraculously inspired for that intent, and praying *κατὰ Θεόν* either for the support or deliverance of the people, should have the very petition which he asked of him. Whereas you intended no such thing! But shut up the whole with that lame and impotent conclusion, "He leaves it to God, whether it be best they should suffer afflictions, or be delivered from them."

Had he then that miraculous gift of God, that he might do what any common christian might have done without it? Why, any person in the congregation might have prayed thus: nay, could not pray otherwise, if he had the ordinary grace of God: "Leaving it to God, whether he should suffer afflictions still, or be delivered from them." Was it only in the Apostolical age, that "the Spirit instructed christians thus to pray?" Cannot a man pray thus, either for himself or others, unless he have the miraculous gift of prayer!—So, according to your Lordship's judgment, "To pray in such a manner, as in the event to leave the continuance of our sufferings, or our deliverance from them, with a due submission, to the good pleasure of God," is one of those "extraordinary operations of the Spirit," which none now pretend to but "modern Enthusiasts!"

I beseech your Lordship to consider. Can you coolly maintain, that "the praying with a due submission to the Will of God," even in heavy affliction, is a miraculous gift? An extraordinary operation of the Holy Ghost? Is this peculiar to the primitive times? Is it what none but Enthusiasts now pretend to? If not, then your Lordship's own account of praying by the Spirit indisputably proves, that this is one of the ordinary privileges of all christians, to the end of the world.

13. "I go on (your Lordship adds) to another passage of Scripture, that has been entirely (p. 27.) misapplied by modern Enthusiasts. 1 Cor. ii. 4, 5. "And  
my

my speech and my preaching were not with enticing words of man's wisdom, but in demonstration of the Spirit and of power; that your faith should not stand in the wisdom of man, but in the power of God."

Page 29.

"It is only necessary to evince, that by the demonstration of the Spirit and of power is meant the demonstration of the truth of Christianity, that arises from the prophecies of the Old Testament and the miracles of Christ and his Apostles." Yes, it is necessary farther to evince, that these words have no other meaning. But first, How will you evince, that they bear this? In order thereto, your Lordship argues thus:

"The former seems to be the demonstration of the Spirit, with regard to the prophetic testimonies of him. —And the demonstration of power, must signify the power of God, exerted in miracles." (p. 30.) "Must;" Why so? That *δυναμις* often signifies miraculous power, is allowed—But what follows? That it must mean so in this place? That still remains to be proved.

Indeed your Lordship says, this "appears from the following verse, in which is assigned the reason for using this method of proving Christianity to be true, viz. *That your faith should not stand in the wisdom of man, but in the power of God.* By the power of God therefore must necessarily be understood, the miracles performed by Christ and his Apostles." By the illative particle, therefore, this proposition should be an inference from some other: but what other I cannot yet discern. So that, for the present I can only look upon it, as a fresh instance of begging the question.

"He goes on in the 7th, 10th and following verses, to explain this demonstration of the Spirit and of power." But he does not say one syllable therein, either of the ancient prophecies, or of miracles. Nor will it be easily proved, that he speaks either of one or the other, from the beginning of the chapter to the end.

After transcribing the 13th verse, "which things also we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth, comparing spiritual things with spiritual," your Lordship adds, "From which last passage it appears, that the



words which the Holy Ghost is said to teach, must be the prophetic revelations of the Old Testament, which were discovered to the Apostles by the same Spirit." I cannot apprehend, how this appears. I cannot as yet see any connection at all between the premises and the conclusion.

Upon the whole, I desire any calm and serious man, to read over this whole chapter ; and then he will easily judge, what is the natural meaning of the words in question : and whether (although it be allowed, that they were peculiarly fulfilled in the Apostles, yet) they do not manifestly belong, in a lower sense, to every true Minister of Christ ? For what can be more undeniable than this, that our preaching also is vain, unless it be attended with the power of that Spirit, who alone pierceth the heart ? And that your hearing is vain, unless the same power be present to heal your soul, and to give you a Faith which standeth not in the wisdom of man, but in the power of God ?

14. " Another passage that (your Lordship thinks) has been misapplied by Enthusiasts, but was really peculiar to the times of the Apostles, is 1 John ii. 20, and 27, (p. 35.) " Ye have an Unction from the Holy One, and ye know all things. — But the Anointing which ye have received of him abideth in you : and ye need not that any man teach you, but as the same Anointing teacheth you of all things, and is truth, and is no lie. And even as it hath taught you, ye shall abide in him." " Here the Apostle arms the true Christians against Seducers, by an argument drawn from the Unction, from the Holy One, that was in or rather among them : that is, from the immediate inspiration of some of their Teachers." Page 37.

Here it rests upon your Lordship, to prove (as well as affirm) 1. That it should be translated among : 2. That this Unction from the Holy One means, " The inspiration of some of their Teachers."

The latter your Lordship attempts to prove thus :

" The Inspired Teachers of old were set apart for that office, by an extraordinary effusion of the Holy Ghost : therefore (p. 38.)

The

"The Unction from the Holy One here, means such an effusion." I deny the consequence; so the question is still to be proved.

Your Lordship's second argument is drawn from the 26th verse of the 14th chapter of St. John's gospel.

Proposed in form, it will stand thus:

"If those words, he shall teach you all things, relate only to a miraculous gift of the Holy Ghost, then these words, the same Anointing teacheth you of all things, relate to the same miraculous gift:

But those words relate only to a miraculous gift:

Therefore, these relate to the same."

I conceive, it will not be very easy to make good the consequence in the first proposition. But I deny the minor also: the contradictory whereto, I trust, has appeared to be true.

I grant indeed, that these words were more eminently fulfilled, in the age of the Apostles. But this is altogether consistent with their belonging in a lower sense, to all Christians, in all ages: seeing they have all need of an Unction from the Holy One, a supernatural assistance from the Holy Ghost, that they may know in the due use of all proper means, all things needful for their souls health. Therefore it is no enthusiasm, to teach that the Unction from the Holy One, belongs to all Christians in all ages.

15. There is one topic of your Lordship's yet untouched; that is, authority: one you have very frequently made use of, and wherein, probably, the generality of readers suppose your Lordship's great strength lies. And indeed when your Lordship first mentioned, (p. 11.) "The general sense of the primitive Church," I presumed you would have produced so numerous authorities, that I should not easily be able to consult them all. But I soon found my mistake; your Lordship naming only Chrysostom, Jerom, Origen, and Athanasius.

However, though these four can no more be termed the Primitive Church, than the Church Universal, yet I consent to abide by their suffrage. Nay, I will go a step farther still. If any two of these affirm, that those seven texts belong only to the Apostolical age, and not

to the Christians of succeeding times, I will give up the whole cause.

But let it be observed: if they should affirm, that these primarily belong to the Christians of the apostolical age, that does not prove the point, because they may in a secondary sense belong to others notwithstanding: nor does any of them speak home to the question, unless he maintain in express terms, that these texts refer only to the miraculous gifts of the Spirit, and not at all to the state of ordinary Christians.

16. Concerning those three texts, John xiv. ver. 16, and 26; and John xvi. ver. 13, "I could easily add (says your Lordship,\*) the authorities of Chrysostom and the other Commentators." St. Chrysostom's authority I will consider now, and that of the others, when they are produced.

It is granted, that he interprets not only John xvi. 13, but also both the passages in the 14th chapter, as primarily belonging to the Apostles. Yet part of his comment on the 26th verse, is as follows.

"Such is that grace (of the Comforter) that if it finds sadness, it takes it away; if evil desire, it consumes it. It casts out fear, and suffers him that receives it to be man no longer, but translates him, as it were, into heaven. Hence none of them counted any thing his own, but continued in prayer, with gladness and singleness of heart. For this chiefly is there need of the Holy Ghost. For the fruit of the Spirit is joy, peace, faith, meekness. Indeed spiritual men often grieve; but that grief is sweeter than joy. For whatever is of the Spirit, is the greatest gain, as whatever is of the world, is the greatest loss. Let us therefore in keeping the commandments" (according to our Lord's exhortation, ver. 15.) "secure the unconquerable assistance of the Spirit, and we shall be nothing inferior to angels."

St. Chrysostom here, after he had shewn, that the promise of the Comforter primarily belonged to the Apostles: (and who ever questioned it?) undeniably teaches, that in a secondary sense, it belongs to all Christians: to all spiritual men, all who keep the commandments. I appeal therefore to all mankind, whether his



authority touching the promise of our Lord in these texts, does not overthrow the proposition it was cited to prove?

Although your Lordship names no other author here, yet page 42, you say, "The assigned sense of these passages was confirmed by the authority of Origen." It is needful therefore to add, what occurs in his works, with regard to the present question.

He occasionally mentions this promise of our Lord, in four several places. But it is in one only that he speaks pertinently to the point in hand, (vol. II. p. 403. edit. bened.) where his words are these:

"When the Spirit of Truth is come, he will guide you into all truth, and he will teach you all things." "The sum of all good things consists in this, that a man be found worthy to receive the grace of the Holy Ghost. Otherwise, nothing will be accounted perfect in him, who hath not the Holy Spirit."

Do these words confirm that "Sense of those passages which your Lordship had assigned?" Rather do they not utterly overturn it? And prove, (as above) that although this promise of our Lord, primarily belongs to the Apostles, yet in the secondary sense, it belongs (according to Origen's judgment) to all Christians in all ages?

17. The fourth text mentioned as belonging to the first Christians only, is Rom. viii. 15, 16, and p. 26, it is said, "This interpretation is confirmed by the authority of the most eminent Fathers." The Reader is particularly referred to Origen and *Jerom in locum*. But here seems to be a mistake of the name. *Jerom in locum* should mean, *Jerom upon the place*, upon Rom. viii. 15, 16. But I cannot perceive, that there is one word upon that place, in all St. Jerom's Works.

Nor indeed has Origen commented upon it any more than Jerom. But he occasionally mentions it in these words:

"He is a babe who is fed with milk---but if he seeks the things that are above---without doubt he will be of the number of those, *who receive not the Spirit of Bondage again unto fear, but the Spirit of Adoption*, through whom they cry, *Abba, Father.*" Vol. I. p. 79.

Again,

Again, "The fulness of time is come---when they who are willing, receive the Adoption, as Paul teaches in these words, "Ye have not received the Spirit of Bondage again unto Fear; but ye have received the Spirit of Adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father!" And it is written in the gospel according to St. John, "To as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the Sons of God, even to them that believe in his name." Vol. I. p. 231, 232.

Yet again, "Every one that is born of God, and doth not commit sin, by his very actions saith, Our Father which art in heaven, "the Spirit itself bearing witness with their Spirit, that they are the Children of God." Ibid.

According to Origen therefore, this testimony of the Spirit, is not any publick testimony by miracles, peculiar to the first times, but an inward testimony, belonging in common to all that are born of God. And consequently the authority of Origen does not "confirm that interpretation" neither; but absolutely destroy it.

18. The last authority your Lordship appeals to on this text is, "That of the great John Chrysostom, who reckons the testimony of the Spirit of Adoption by which we cry Abba, Father! among the miraculous gifts of the Spirit." "I rather chuse (your Lordship adds, p. 26.) to refer you to the words of St. Chrysostom, than to transcribe them here, as having almost translated them in the present account of the testimony of the Spirit."

However, I believe it will not be labour lost, to transcribe a few of those words.

It is in his comment on the 14th verse, that he first mentions, St. Paul's comparison between a Jew and a Christian. How fairly your Lordship has represented this, let every Reader judge.

"As many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the Sons of God."—"Whereas the same title had been given of old to the Jews also,---he shews in the sequel, how great a difference there is, between that honour and this. For though, says he, the titles are the same, yet the things are not. And he plainly proves it, by comparing both what they had received, and what they  
looked

looked for. And first he shews what they had received, viz. *A Spirit of Bondage*. Therefore he adds, "Ye have not received the Spirit of Bondage again unto Fear: but ye have received the Spirit of Adoption." What means the Spirit of Fear? — Observe their whole life, and you will know clearly. For punishments were at their heels, and much fear was on every side, and before their face——But with us it is not so. For our mind and conscience are cleansed, so that we do all things well, not for fear of present punishment, but through our love of God, and an habit of virtue. They therefore, though they were called sons, yet were as slaves; but we, being made free, have received the Adoption, and look not for a land of milk and honey, but for heaven."

"He brings also another proof, that *we have the Spirit of Adoption*, by which, says he, *we cry, Abba, Father*. — This is the first word we utter, μετὰ τὰς θαυμασὰς ὤδυνας ἐκείνας, καὶ τὸν ξένον καὶ παραδοξὸν λοχυμμάτων νόμον: after those amazing throes (or birth pangs) and that strange and wonderful manner of bringing forth."

"He brings yet another proof of the superiority of those who had this Spirit of Adoption. "The Spirit <sup>itself</sup> beareth witness with our Spirit that we are the Children of God." I prove this, says he, not only from the voice itself, but also from the cause whence that voice proceeds. For the Spirit suggests the words while we thus speak, which he hath elsewhere expressed more plainly, "God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying, *Abba, Father!*" But what is, *The Spirit bearing witness with our Spirit?* He means, "the Paraclete by the gift given unto us." (But that this was an extraordinary gift, we have no intimation at all, neither before nor after) "And when the Spirit beareth witness, what doubt is left? If a man or an angel spake, some might doubt. But when the Most High beareth witness to us, who can doubt any longer?"

Now let any reasonable man judge how far your Lordship has "translated the words of St. Chrysostom? And whether he reckons the testimony of the Spirit among the miraculous gifts of the Holy Ghost?" Or

among



among those ordinary gifts of the Spirit of Christ, which if a man have not, he is none of his?

19. The fifth text your Lordship quotes, as describing a miraculous gift of the Spirit, is 1 Cor. xiv. 15 : to prove which, you comment on the 8th chapter to the Romans, particularly the 26th verse: and here again it is said, "That the interpretation assigned, is confirmed by several of the most eminent Fathers, more especially the great John Chrysostom as well as by Origen and Jerom upon the place."

I cannot find St. Jerom to have writ one line upon the place. And it is obvious, that St. Chrysostom supposes, the whole context from the 17th to the 25th verse, to relate to all Christians in all ages. How this can be said to "confirm the interpretation assigned," I cannot conjecture. Nay, it is remarkable that he expounds the former part of the 26th verse, as describing the ordinary privilege of all Christians. Thus far, therefore, he does not confirm, but overthrow "the interpretation before assigned." But in the middle of the verse he breaks off, and expounds the latter part, as describing one of the miraculous gifts.

Yet I must do the justice to this venerable man to observe, he does not suppose that miraculous gift was given, only that the inspired might do what any ordinary Christian might have done without it. (This interpretation even of the latter part of the verse, he does in no wise confirm.) But that he might ask in every particular circumstance, the determinate thing which it was the will of God to give.

20. The third Father by whom it is said this interpretation is confirmed, is Origen. The first passage of his which relates to Romans viii. 26. runs thus: Vol. I. p. 199.)

"Paul perceiving how far he was, after all these things, from knowing to pray for what he ought as he ought, says, *We know not what we should pray for as we ought.* But he adds, whence what is wanting may be had by one who indeed does not know, but labours to be found worthy of having the defect supplied. For he says, "Likewise the Spirit also helpeth our infirmities. For we know not what we should pray for as we

as we ought. But the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered. And he that searcheth the hearts knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit; because he maketh intercession for the saints, according to the will of God." The Spirit which crieth Abba, Father, in the hearts of the saints, knoweth well our groanings in this tabernacle, making intercession for us to God, with groanings which cannot be uttered. To the same effect it that Scripture, 1 Cor. xiv. 15, "I will pray with the spirit, I will pray with the understanding also." For our understanding (or mind *ὁ νῦν*) cannot pray, if the spirit do not pray before it, and the understanding, as it were, listen to it."

Again (Vol. II. p. 146.) "I would know, how the saints cry to God without a voice. The Apostle shews, "God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father!" And he adds, "The Spirit itself maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered." And again, "He that searcheth the hearts, knoweth what is the mind of the spirit, because he maketh intercession for the saints, according to the Will of God." Thus therefore, the Spirit making intercession for us with God, the cry of the saints is heard without a voice."

Once more in his Homily on Joshua, (Vol. II. p. 149.)

"Jesus our Lord, doth not forsake us; but although when we would pray, we know not what to pray for as we ought, yet the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered. Now the Lord is that Spirit: the Spirit assists our prayers, and offers them to God with groanings which we cannot express in words."

I believe all rational men will observe from hence, that Origen is so far from confirming, that he quite overturns your Lordship's interpretation of the 16th as well as the 26th verse of this chapter: seeing, in his judgment, both that testimony of the Spirit, and this prayer belong to all christians in all ages.

21. The sixth Scripture which your Lordship has undertaken to shew, "relates only to the Apostolical times,"

among those ordinary gifts of the Spirit of Christ, which if a man have not, he is none of his?

19. The fifth text your Lordship quotes, as describing a miraculous gift of the Spirit, is 1 Cor. xiv. 15 : to prove which, you comment on the 8th chapter to the Romans, particularly the 26th verse: and here again it is said, "That the interpretation assigned, is confirmed by several of the most eminent Fathers, more especially the great John Chrysostom as well as by Origen and Jerom upon the place."

I cannot find St. Jerom to have writ one line upon the place. And it is obvious, that St. Chrysostom supposes, the whole context from the 17th to the 25th verse, to relate to all Christians in all ages. How this can be said to "confirm the interpretation assigned," I cannot conjecture. Nay, it is remarkable that he expounds the former part of the 26th verse, as describing the ordinary privilege of all Christians. Thus far, therefore, he does not confirm, but overthrow "the interpretation before assigned." But in the middle of the verse he breaks off, and expounds the latter part, as describing one of the miraculous gifts.

Yet I must do the justice to this venerable man to observe, he does not suppose that miraculous gift was given, only that the inspired might do what any ordinary Christian might have done without it. (This interpretation even of the latter part of the verse, he does in no wise confirm.) But that he might ask in every particular circumstance, the determinate thing which it was the will of God to give.

20. The third Father by whom it is said this interpretation is confirmed, is Origen. The first passage of his which relates to Romans viii. 26. runs thus: Vol. I. p. 199.)

"Paul perceiving how far he was, after all these things, from knowing to pray for what he ought as he ought, says, *We know not what we should pray for as we ought.* But he adds, whence what is wanting may be had by one who indeed does not know, but labours to be found worthy of having the defect supplied. For he says, "Likewise the Spirit also helpeth our infirmities. For we know not what we should pray for as

we



as we ought. But the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered. And he that searcheth the hearts knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit; because he maketh intercession for the saints, according to the will of God." The Spirit which crieth Abba, Father, in the hearts of the saints, knoweth well our groanings in this tabernacle, making intercession for us to God, with groanings which cannot be uttered. To the same effect it that Scripture, 1 Cor. xiv. 15, "I will pray with the spirit, I will pray with the understanding also." For our understanding (or mind  $\delta \nu \epsilon \varsigma$ ) cannot pray, if the spirit do not pray before it, and the understanding, as it were, listen to it."

Again (Vol. II. p. 146.) "I would know, how the saints cry to God without a voice. The Apostle shews, "God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father!" And he adds, "The Spirit itself maketh intercession for us, with groanings which cannot be uttered." And again, "He that searcheth the hearts, knoweth what is the mind of the spirit, because he maketh intercession for the saints, according to the Will of God." Thus therefore, the Spirit making intercession for us with God, the cry of the saints is heard without a voice."

Once more in his Homily on Joshua, (Vol. II. p. 149.)

"Jesus our Lord, doth not forsake us; but although when we would pray, we know not what to pray for as we ought, yet the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered. Now the Lord is that Spirit: the Spirit assists our prayers, and offers them to God with groanings which we cannot express in words."

I believe all rational men will observe from hence, that Origen is so far from confirming, that he quite overturns your Lordship's interpretation of the 16th as well as the 26th verse of this chapter: seeing, in his judgment, both that testimony of the Spirit, and this prayer belong to all christians in all ages.

21. The sixth Scripture which your Lordship has undertaken to shew, "relates only to the Apostolical times,"

times," is 1 Cor. ii. 4, 5. And "this interpretation also, (it is said) is confirmed by the authority of Chrysostom, Origen, and other ancient Writers." (p. 33.) With those other "Ancient Writers" I have no concern yet. St. Chrysostom so far confirms this interpretation, as to explain that whole phrase, the demonstration of the Spirit and of power, of "the power of the Spirit shewn by miracles." But he says not one word, of any "Proof of the Christian Religion, arising from the types and prophecies of the Old Testament."

Origen has these words (Vol. I. p. 321.)

"Our word has a certain peculiar demonstration, more divine than the Grecian, Logical demonstration. This the Apostle terms, The demonstration of the Spirit and of power: of the Spirit, because of the prophecies, sufficient to convince any one, especially of the things that relate to Christ; of power, because of the miraculous powers, some footsteps of which still remain."

Hence we may doubtless infer. That Origen judged this text to relate, in its primary sense to the Apostles: but can we thence infer, that he did not judge it to belong, in a lower sense, to all true Ministers of Christ?

Let us hear him speaking for himself in the same Treatise (p. 377.)

"And my speech and my preaching were not with enticing words of man's wisdom, but in demonstration of the Spirit and of power: that your faith should not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God. Those who hear the word preached with power, are themselves filled with power," (N. B. Not the power of working miracles) which they demonstrate both in their disposition, and in their life, and in their striving for the truth unto death. But some, although they profess to believe, have not this power of God in them, but are empty thereof."

(Did Origen then believe, that the power mentioned in this text, belonged only to the Apostolical age?)

"See the force of the word, conquering believers by a persuasiveness attended with the power of God! I speak this to shew the meaning of him that said, "And my speech and my preaching were not with the enticing words

words of man's wisdom, but in demonstration of the Spirit and of power; that your faith should not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God." This divine saying means, That what is spoken is not sufficient of itself (although it be true and most worthy to be believed) to pierce a man's soul, if there be not also a certain power from God given to the speaker, and grace bloom upon what is spoken, and this grace cannot be but from God."

After observing, That this is the very passage which your Lordship mentions at the close of the other (but does not cite it) I desire every unprejudiced person to judge, whether Origen does not clearly determine, that the power, spoken of in this text, is in some measure given to all true Ministers in all ages?

22. The last Scripture which your Lordship affirms "to be peculiar to the times of the Apostles," is that in the first epistle of St. John, concerning the Unction of the Holy One.

To confirm this interpretation, we are referred to the authority of Origen and Chrysostom, on the parallel passages in St. John's gospel, p. 42.

But it has appeared, That both these Fathers suppose those passages to belong to all christians. And consequently their authority (if these are parallel passages) stands full against this interpretation.

Your Lordship subjoins, "I shall here only add that of the great Athanasius, who (in his epistle to Serapion) interprets, the Unction from the Holy One, not merely of divine grace, but of the extraordinary gifts of the Holy Spirit."

Nay, it is enough, if he interprets it at all of ordinary grace, such as is common to all christians.

And this your Lordship allows he does. But I cannot allow that he interprets it of any thing else, I cannot perceive, that he interprets it at all, "of the extraordinary gifts of the Holy Spirit."

His words are, "The Holy Spirit is called, and is, the Unction and the Seal. For John writes, "The anointing which ye have received of him, abideth in you; and ye need not that any man should teach you, but as this anointing, [his Spirit,] teacheth you of all things." Again, it is written in the prophet Isaiah,



"The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he hath anointed me." And Paul writes thus; "In whom also ye were sealed." And again, "Grieve not the Holy Spirit of God, whereby ye are sealed unto the day of redemption." This anointing is the breath of the Son, so that he who hath the Spirit may say, "We are the sweet smelling favour of Christ." Because we are partakers of the Holy Spirit, we have the Son: and having the Son, we have the Spirit, crying in our hearts, Abba, Father."

And so, in his Oration against the Arians.

"He sendeth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father. His Son in us, invoking the Father, makes him to be called our Father. Certainly God cannot be called their Father, who have not the Son in their hearts."

Is it not easy to be observed here, 1. That Athanasius makes that testimony of the Spirit, common to all the children of God: 2. That he joins the anointing of the Holy One, with that seal of the Spirit wherewith all that persevere are sealed to the day of redemption: and 3. That he does not, throughout this passage, speak of the extraordinary gifts at all?

Therefore, upon the whole, the sense of the Primitive Church, so far as it can be gathered from the authors above cited, is, That "although some of these Scriptures primarily refer, to those extraordinary gifts of the Spirit, which were given to the Apostles and a few other persons in the Apostolical age: yet they refer also, in a secondary sense, to those ordinary operations of the Holy Spirit, which all the children of God do and will experience, even to the end of the world."

23. What I mean by the ordinary operations of the Holy Ghost, I sum up in the words of a modern Writer:

"Sanctification being opposed to our corruption, and answering fully to the latitude thereof, whatsoever of holiness and perfection is wanting in our nature, must be supplied by the Spirit of God. Wherefore by nature we are totally void of knowing the Will of God; this Spirit searcheth all things, yea, even the deep things of God, and revealeth them to the sons of men,

so

so that thereby the darkness of their understanding is expelled, and they are enlightened with the knowledge of God. The same spirit which revealeth the object of faith, generally to the universal Church, doth also illuminate the understanding of such as believe, that they may receive the truth. For faith is the gift of God, not only in the object, but also in the act. And this gift is a gift of the Holy Ghost working within us—And as the increase of perfection, so the original of faith is from the Spirit of God, by an internal illumination of the soul.”

“ The second part of the office of the Holy Ghost is, the renewing of man in all the parts and faculties of his soul. For our natural corruption consisting in an averſation of our wills, and a depravation of our affections, an inclination of them to the Will of God, is wrought within us by the Spirit of God.”

“ The third part of this office is, To lead, direct and govern us in our actions and converſations. If we live in the Spirit, quickened by his renovation, we muſt alſo walk in the Spirit, following his direction, led by his manuduction. We are alſo animated and acted by the Spirit of God, who giveth both to will and to do : and \* as many as are thus led by the Spirit of God, are the Sons of God. Moreover, that this direction may prove more effectual, we are guided in our prayers by the ſame Spirit : according to the promiſe, “ I will pour upon the houſe of David, and upon the inhabitants of Jeruſalem the Spirit of grace and ſupplication,” (Zech. xii. 10.) Whereas then “ this is the confidence which we have in him, that if we aſk any thing according to his will, he heareth us : ” and whereas “ we know not what we ſhould pray for as we ought, † the Spirit itſelf maketh interceſſion for us with groanings which cannot be uttered ; ” and ‡ “ he that ſearcheth the hearts, knoweth what is the mind of the Spirit, becauſe he maketh interceſſion for the ſaints according to the Will of God.” From which interceſſion (made for all true chriſtians) “ he hath the § name of the Paraclete given him by Chriſt, who ſaid, *I will pray the Father,*

\* Rom. viii. 14. † Ver. 26. ‡ Ver. 27. § John xiv. 16. 26. L 2

*Father, and he will give you another Paraclete. For if any man sin, we have a Paraclete with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous, saith St. John: who maketh intercession for us, saith St. Paul, (Rom. viii. 34.) And we have \* another Paraclete, saith our Saviour: † which also maketh intercession for us, saith St. Paul. A Paraclete then, in the notion of the Scriptures, is an Intercessor."*

"It is also the office of the Holy Ghost, to assure us of the adoption of Sons, to create in us a sense of the paternal love of God towards us, to give us an earnest of our everlasting inheritance. "The love of God is shed abroad in our hearts, by the Holy Ghost which is given unto us. For as many as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the Sons of God. And because we are Sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying Abba, Father. ‡ For we have not received the Spirit of bondage again to fear, but we have received the Spirit of Adoption, whereby we cry Abba, Father: the Spirit itself bearing witness with our Spirit, that we are the children of God."

"As therefore we are born again by the Spirit, and receive from him our regeneration, so we are also by the same Spirit assured of our Adoption. Because being Sons we are also heirs, heirs of God and joint heirs with Christ, by the same Spirit we have the pledge, or rather the earnest of our inheritance. For he which establisheth us in Christ, and hath anointed us is God; who hath also sealed us, and hath given us the earnest of his Spirit in our hearts: so that we are sealed with that Holy Spirit of promise, which is the earnest of our inheritance. The Spirit of God, as given unto us in this life, is to be looked upon as an earnest, being part of that reward which is promised, and upon performance of the covenant which God hath made with us, certainly to be received."

Your Lordship observed, that "the interpretation of those passages, which relate to the *unction from the Holy One*, depends on the sense of those other passages of holy Scripture, particularly, those in St. John's Gospel."

\* John xiv. 16. † Rom. viii. 27. ‡ Ver. 15, 16.



Now if so, then these words fix the sense of six out of the seven texts in question : and every one of them, in the judgment of this writer, describes the *ordinary gifts* bestowed on all Christians.

It now rests with your Lordship, to take your choice ; either to condemn or acquit both. Either your Lordship must condemn Bishop Pearson for an Enthusiast, (a man no ways inferior to Bishop Chrysostom :) or you must acquit me : for I have his express authority on my side, concerning every text which I affirm to belong to all Christians.

24. But I have greater authority than his, and such as I reverence, only less than that of the Oracles of God. I mean that of our own Church. I shall close this head, by setting down what occurs in her authentic records, concerning either our *receiving the Holy Ghost*, or his ordinary operations in all true Christians.

In her daily service, she teaches us all to beseech God, " to grant us his Holy Spirit, that those things may please him which we do at this present, and that the rest of our life may be pure and holy : " to pray for our Sovereign Lord the King, that God would replenish him with the Grace of his Holy Spirit ; for all the Royal Family, that they may be endued with his Holy Spirit, and enriched with his Heavenly Grace : for all the Clergy and people, that he would send down upon them the healthful Spirit of his Grace ; for the Catholick Church, that it may be guided and governed by his good Spirit : and for all therein who at any time make their common supplications unto him, that the fellowship or communion of the Holy Ghost may be with them all evermore.

Her collects are full of petitions to the same effect :  
 \* " Grant that we may daily be renewed by the Holy Spirit.---† Grant that in all our sufferings here, for the testimony of thy truth, we may by faith behold the glory that shall be revealed, and being filled with the Holy Ghost, may love and bless our persecutors. ‡ Send thy Holy Ghost and pour into our hearts that most excel-

\* Collect for Christmas Day.      † St. Stephen's Day.  
 ‡ Quinquagesima Sunday.

lent gift of charity. \* O Lord, from whom all good things do come, grant to us thy humble servants, that by thy *holy inspiration* we may think those things that are good, and by thy merciful guidance may perform the same. † We beseech thee leave us not comfortless, but send to us the Holy Ghost to comfort us. ‡ Grant us by the same spirit to have a right judgment in all things, and evermore to rejoice in his holy comfort. (N. B. The Church here teaches all Christians to claim *the Comforter*, in virtue of the promise made, John xiv.) § Grant us, Lord we beseech thee, the Spirit to think and do always such things as be rightful. || O God, forasmuch as without thee, we are not able to please thee, mercifully grant that thy Holy Spirit may in all things direct and rule our hearts. ¶ Cleanse the thoughts of our hearts by the inspiration of thy Holy Spirit, that we may perfectly love thee, and worthily magnify thy Holy Name."

"Give thy Holy Spirit to this infant (or this person) that he may be born again.---Give thy Holy Spirit to these persons (N. B. already baptized) that they may continue thy servants."

"Almighty God, who hast vouchsafed to regenerate these persons by water and the Holy Ghost---Strengthen them with the Holy Ghost the Comforter, and daily increase in them the manifold gifts of thy grace."

*Office of Confirmation.*

From these passages it may sufficiently appear, for what purposes every Christian, according to the doctrine of the Church of England, does now receive the *Holy Ghost*. But this will be still more clear from those that follow: wherein the reader may likewise observe, a plain, rational sense of God's revealing himself to us, of the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, and of a Believer's feeling in himself the mighty working of the Spirit of Christ.

25. "God gave them of old, grace to be his children, as he doth us now. But now, by the coming of

\* Fifth Sunday after Easter. † Sunday after Ascension Day.  
‡ Whitsunday. § Ninth Sunday after Trinity. || Nineteenth  
Sunday after Trinity. ¶ Communion Office.

our Saviour Christ, *we have received more abundantly the Spirit of God in our hearts.*" *Homily on Faith.*  
Part II.

"He died to destroy the rule of the Devil in us, and he rose again to send down his Holy Spirit, to rule in our hearts." *Homily on the Resurrection.*

We have the Holy Spirit in our hearts, as a seal and pledge of our everlasting inheritance. *Ibid.*

"The Holy Ghost sat upon each of them, like as it had been cloven tongues of fire: to teach, that it is he that giveth eloquence and utterance in preaching the gospel; which engendereth a burning zeal towards God's word, and giveth all men a tongue, yea, a fiery tongue. (N. B. Whatever occurs in any of the Journals, of God's "giving me utterance," or "enabling me to speak with power," cannot therefore be quoted as Enthusiasm, without wounding the Church through my side) "So that if any man be a dumb Christian, not professing his faith openly, he giveth men occasion to doubt, lest he have not the grace of the Holy Ghost within him." *Homily on Whitsunday.* Part I.

"It is the office of the Holy Ghost to sanctify; which the more it is hid from our understanding," (i. e. the particular manner of his working) "the more it ought to move all men, to wonder at the secret and mighty workings of God's holy Spirit which is within us. For it is the Holy Ghost that doth quicken the minds of men, stirring up godly motions in their hearts. Neither doth he think it sufficient inwardly to work the new birth of man, unless he doth also dwell and abide in him." "Know ye not, saith St. Paul, that ye are the Temple of God, and that his Spirit dwelleth in you? Know ye not, that your bodies are the Temples of the Holy Ghost which is within you?" Again he saith, "Ye are not in the flesh but in the Spirit;" for why? "The Spirit of God dwelleth in you." To this, agreeth St. John, \* "The anointing which ye have received [he meaneth the Holy Ghost] abideth in you." And St. Peter saith the same, "The Spirit of glory and of God resteth upon you." O what comfort is this to the heart of a true Christian, to think that the Holy Ghost dwelleth in him! "If God be

\* 1 John ii. 27.

with



with us, as the Apostle saith, who can be against us ? " He giveth patience and joyfulness of heart, in temptation and affliction, and is therefore worthily called \* *the Comforter*. He doth instruct the hearts of the simple, in the knowledge of God and his word ; therefore he is justly termed † *The Spirit of Truth*. And where the Holy Ghost doth instruct and teach, there is no delay at all in learning." Ibid.

(From this passage I learn, 1. That every true Christian now receives the Holy Ghost, as the *Paraclete* or *Comforter* promised by our Lord, John xiv. 13. Secondly, that every Christian receives him as the *Spirit of truth* (promised John xvi.) to teach all things : And, 3. That the anointing, mentioned in the first Epistle of St. John, abides in every Christian.)

26. " In reading of God's word, he profiteth most, that is most inspired with the Holy Ghost." *Homily on reading the Scripture*. Part I.

" Human and worldly wisdom is not needful to the understanding of Scripture, but the revelation of the Holy Ghost, who inspireth the true meaning unto them that with humility and diligence search for it." Ibid. Part II.

" Make him know and feel, that there is no other name under heaven given unto men, whereby we can be saved."

" If we feel our conscience at peace with God, through remission of our sins,—all is of God." *Homily on Rogation Week*. Part II.

" If you feel such a faith in you, rejoice in it, and let it be daily increasing by well-working." *Homily on Faith*. Part III.

" The faithful may feel wrought tranquility of conscience, the increase of faith and hope, with many other graces of God." *Homily on the Sacrament*. Part I.

" Godly men feel inwardly God's Holy Spirit, inflaming their hearts with love. *Homily on certain places of Scripture*. Part I.

" God give us grace, to know these things, and to feel them in our hearts ! this knowledge and feeling is

\* John xiv. 16. † John xvi. 13.

not of ourselves. Let us therefore meekly call upon the bountiful Spirit, the Holy Ghost, to inspire us with his presence, that we may be able to hear the goodness of God to our salvation. For without his lively inspiration, can we not so much as speak the name of the Mediator. "No man can say that Jesus is the Lord, but by the Holy Ghost." Much less should we be able to believe and know these great mysteries, that be opened to us by Christ. "But we have received, saith St. Paul, not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God;" for this purpose, "that we may know the things which are freely given to us of God." In the power of the Holy Ghost resteth all ability to know God and to please him. It is he that purifieth the mind by his secret working. He enlighteneth the heart, to conceive worthy thoughts of almighty God. He sitteth in the tongue of man, to stir him to speak his honour. He only ministereth spiritual strength to the powers of the soul and body. And if we have any gift, whereby we may profit our neighbour, all is wrought by this one and the self-same Spirit." *Homily for Rogation Week. Part III.*

27. Every proposition which I have any where advanced, concerning those operations of the Holy Ghost, which I believe are common to all Christians in all ages, is here clearly maintained by our own Church.

Under a full sense of this, I could not well understand for many years, how it was, that on the mentioning any of these great truths, even among men of education, the cry, immediately arose, "an Enthusiast, an Enthusiast!" but I now plainly perceive, this is only an old fallacy in a new shape. To object Enthusiasm to any person or doctrine, is but a decent method of begging the question. It generally spares the objector the trouble of reasoning, and is a shorter and easier way of carrying his cause.

For instance, I assert that "till a man receives the Holy Ghost, he is without God in the world; that he cannot know the things of God, unless God reveal them unto him by his Spirit: no, nor have even one holy, or heavenly temper, without the inspiration of the Holy One." Now should one who is conscious to himself, that

that he has experienced none of these things, attempt to confute these propositions, either from scripture or antiquity, it might prove a difficult task. What then shall he do? Why, cry out, "Enthusiasm! Enthusiasm!" and the work is done.

But what does he mean by Enthusiasm? perhaps nothing at all: few have any distinct idea of its meaning. Perhaps, "something very bad," or, "something I never experienced and do not understand." Shall I tell you then, what that "terrible something" is? I believe, thinking men mean by Enthusiasm, a sort of religious madness; a false imagination of being inspired by God: and by an Enthusiast, one that fancies himself under the influence of the Holy Ghost, when in fact he is not.

Let him prove me guilty of this, who can. I will tell you once more the whole of my belief on these heads. And if any man will shew me (by arguments, not hard names) what is wrong, I will thank God and him.

28. Every good gift is from God, and is given to man by the Holy Ghost. By nature there is in us no good thing. And there can be none, but so far, as it is wrought in us by that good Spirit. Have we any true knowledge of what is good? this is not the result of our natural understanding. "The natural man discerneth not the things of the Spirit of God:" so that we never can discern them, until God "reveals them unto us by his Spirit." *Reveals*, that is, unveils, uncovers, gives us to know what we did not know before. Have we love? "It is shed abroad in our hearts, by the Holy Ghost which is given unto us." He inspires, breathes, infuses into our soul, what of ourselves we could not have. Does our spirit rejoice in God our Saviour? It is *Joy in (or by) the Holy Ghost*. Have we true inward peace? It is *the peace of God*, wrought in us by the same Spirit. Faith, peace, joy, love, are all his fruits. And as we are figuratively said, to see the light of faith, so by a like figure of speech we are said to feel, this peace and joy and love: that is, we have an inward experience of them, which we cannot find any fitter word to express.

The



The reasons why in speaking of these things I use those terms, (inspiration particularly) are, 1. Because they are scriptural. 2. Because they are used by our Church. 3. Because I know none better. The word "*influence of the Holy Ghost*," which I suppose you use, is both a far stronger and a less natural term than inspiration. It is far stronger; even as far as "flowing into the soul" is a stronger expression than "breathing upon it." And less natural; as breathing bears a near relation to spirit; to which flowing in, has only a distant relation.

"But you thought I had meant immediate inspiration." So I do, or I mean nothing at all. Not indeed such inspiration as is *sine mediis*. But all inspiration, though by means, is immediate. Suppose, for instance, you are employed in private prayer, and God pours his love into your heart. God then acts immediately on your soul: and the love of him which you then experience, is as immediately breathed into you by the Holy Ghost, as if you had lived 1700 years ago. Change the term. Say, "God then assists you to love him?" Well, and is not this immediate assistance? Say, "His Spirit concurs with yours." You gain no ground. It is immediate concurrence or none at all. God, a Spirit, acts upon your spirit. Make it out any otherwise if you can.

I cannot conceive, how that harmless word, immediate, came to be such a bugbear in the world: "why, I thought you meant such inspiration as the Apostles had; and such receiving the Holy Ghost as that was at the day of Pentecost." I do, in part: indeed I do not mean, that Christians now receive the Holy Ghost, in order to work miracles: but they do doubtless now receive, yea, *are filled with the Holy Ghost*, in order to be filled with the fruits of that blessed Spirit. And he inspires into all true believers now, a degree of the same peace and joy and love, which the Apostles felt in themselves on that day, when they were first *filled with the Holy Ghost*.

29. I have now considered the most material objections I know, which have been lately made against the great doctrines I teach. I have produced, so far as in

me

me lay, the strength of those objections, and then answered them, I hope, in the spirit of meekness. And now I trust it appears, that these doctrines are no other than the doctrines of Jesus Christ: that they are all evidently contained in the word of God, by which alone I desire to stand or fall; and that they are fundamentally the same with the doctrines of the Church of England, of which I do, and ever did profess myself a Member.

But there remains one objection, which though relating to the head of doctrine, yet is independent on all that went before, And that is, "You cannot agree in your doctrines among yourselves. One holds one thing and one another. Mr. Whitfield anathematizes Mr. Wesley; and Mr. Wesley anathematizes Mr. Whitfield. And yet each pretends to be led by the Holy Ghost, by the infallible Spirit of God! Every reasonable man must conclude from hence, that neither one nor the other is led by that Spirit."

I need not say, how continually this has been urged, both in common conversation and from the press: (I am grieved to add, and from the pulpit too; for if the argument were good, it would overturn the Bible.) Nor, how great stress has been continually laid upon it: whoever proposes it, proposes it as demonstration, and generally claps his wings, as being quite assured, it will admit of no answer.

And indeed I am in doubt, whether it does admit (I am sure, it does not require) any other answer, than that coarse one of the countryman to the Romish Champion, "Bellarmine, thou liest." For every proposition contained herein, is grossly, shamelessly false. 1. "You cannot agree in your doctrines among yourselves"—Who told you so? All our fundamental doctrines, I have recited above. And in every one of these we do, and have agreed for several years. In these, we hold one and the same thing. In smaller points, each of us thinks, and lets think. 2. "Mr. Whitefield anathematizes Mr. Wesley." Another shameless untruth. Let any one read what Mr. Whitefield wrote, even in the heat of controversy, and he will be convinced of the contrary. 3. "And Mr. Wesley

Wesley anathematizes Mr. Whitefield." This is equally false and scandalous. I reverence Mr. Whitefield, both as a child of God, and a true Minister of Jesus Christ. 4. "And yet each pretends to be led by the Holy Ghost, by the infallible Spirit of God." Not in our private opinions: nor does either of us pretend to be any farther led by the Spirit of God, than every christian must pretend to be, unless he will deny the Bible. For only as many as are led by the Spirit of God, are the Sons of God. Therefore, if you do not pretend to be led by him too, yea, if it be not so in fact, you are none of his.

And now, what is become of your demonstration? Leave it to the carmen and porters its just proprietors: to the zealous apple-women that cry after me in the street, "This is he that rails at the *Whole Dutyful of Man*." But let every one that pretends to learning or reason, be ashamed to mention it any more.

30. The first inference, easily deduced from what has been said, is, "That we are not false Prophets." In one sense of the word, we are no Prophets at all; for we do not foretel things to come. But in another (wherein every Minister is a Prophet) we are. For we do speak in the name of God. Now a false Prophet (in this sense of the word) is one, who declares as the Will of God, what is not so. But we declare (as has been shewn at large) nothing else as the Will of God, but what is evidently contained in his written word, as explained by our own church. Therefore, unless you can prove the Bible to be a false book, you cannot possibly prove us to be false Prophets.

The text which is generally cited on this occasion, is Matthew vii. ver. 15. But how unhappily chosen! In the preceding chapters, our Lord had been describing that righteousness which exceeds the righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees, and without which we cannot enter into the kingdom of heaven: even the life of God in the soul; holiness of heart, producing all holiness of conversation. In this, he closes that rule which sums up the whole with those solemn words, "Enter ye in at the strait gate:" (such indeed is that of universal holiness) "For wide is the gate, and broad is the



way that leadeth to destruction." The gate of hell is wide as the whole earth; the way of unholiness is broad as the great deep. "And many there be which go in thereat;" yea, and excuse themselves in so doing. "Because straight is the gate and narrow the way that leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it." It follows, "Beware of false Prophets;" of those who speak as from God, what God hath not spoken; those who shew you any other way to life, than that which I have now shewn. So that the false Prophets, here spoken of, are those who point out any other way to heaven than this; who teach men to find a wider gate, a broader way, than that described in the foregoing chapters. But it has been abundantly shewn, that we do not. Therefore (whatever we are beside) we are not false Prophets.

Neither are we (as has been frequently and vehemently affirmed) deceivers of the people. If we teach the truth as it is in Jesus, if we speak as the oracles of God, it follows, that we do not deceive those that hear, though they should believe whatever we speak. Let God be true and every man a liar: every man that contradicts his truth. But he will be justified in his saying, and clear when he is judged.

One thing more I infer, "That we are not Enthusiasts." This accusation has been considered at large: and the main arguments hitherto brought to support it, have been weighed in the balance and found wanting: particularly this, "That none but Enthusiasts suppose either that \* promise of the Comforter, or the † witness of the Spirit, or ‡ that unutterable prayer, or § the Unction from the Holy One, to belong, in common, to all christians." O my Lord, how deeply have you condemned the generation of God's children! Whom have you represented as rank, dreaming Enthusiasts? As either deluded or designing men? Not only Bishop Pearson, a man hitherto accounted both sound in heart, and of good understanding; but likewise Archbishop Cranmer, Bishop Ridley, Bishop Latimer, Bishop

\* John xiv. 16. 26. xvi. 13. † Rom. viii. 15, 16.

‡ Rom. viii. 26, 27. § 1 John ii. 20. 27.

Hooper ; and all the venerable compilers of our Liturgy and Homilies : all the members of both the Houses of Convocation, by whom they were revised and approved : yea, King Edward, and all his Lords and Commons together, by whose authority they were established ! And, with these modern Enthusiasts, Origen, Chrysostom and Athanasius are comprehended in the same censure !

I grant, a Deist might rank both us and them, in the number of religious madmen : nay, ought so to do, on his supposition, that the gospel is but a Cunningly-devised Fable. And on this ground, some of them have done so in fact. One of them was asking me, some years since, " What ! are you one of the Knight-Errants ? How, I pray, got Quixotism into your head ? You want nothing : you have a good provision for life ; and are in a way of preferment ; and must you leave all, to fight windmills : to convert savages in America ? " I could only reply, " Sir, if the Bible is a lie, I am as very a madman as you can conceive. But if it be true, I am in my senses. I am neither madman nor Enthusiast." " For there is no man who hath left father or mother, or wife, or house, or land for the gospel's sake ; but he shall receive an hundred fold, in this world, with persecution, and in the world to come, eternal life."

Nominal, outside christians too, men of form may pass the same judgment. For we give up all our pretensions to what they account happiness, for what they (with the Deists) believe to be a mere dream. We expect, therefore, to pass for Enthusiasts with these also. But wisdom is justified of all her children.

32. I cannot conclude this head without one obvious remark. Suppose we really were Enthusiasts : suppose our doctrines were false and unsupported either by Reason, Scripture or Authority : then, why hath not some one, who is a wise man, and endued with knowledge among you, attempted, at least, to shew us our fault in love and meekness of wisdom ? Brethren, if ye have bitter zeal in your hearts, your wisdom descendeth not from above. The wisdom that is from above, is pure, peaceable, gentle, easy to be intreated,

full of mercy or pity. Does this spirit appear in one single Tract, of all those which have been published against us? Is there one Writer that has reprov'd us in love? Bring it to a single point. Love hopeth all things. If you had loved us in any degree, you would have hop'd, that God would some time give us the knowledge of his truth. But where shall we find even this slender instance of love? Has not every one who has wrote at all (I do not remember so much as one exception) treated us as incorrigible? Brethren, how is this? Why do ye labour to teach us an evil lesson against yourselves? O may God never suffer others to deal with you, as ye have dealt with us!

VI. 1. Before I enter upon the consideration of those objections, which have been made to the manner of our preaching, I believe it may be satisfactory to some readers if I relate how I began to preach in this manner.

I was ordain'd Deacon in 1725, and Priest, in the year following. But it was many years after this, before I was convinc'd of the great truths above recited. During all that time I was utterly ignorant of the nature and condition of justification. Sometimes I confounded it with sanctification (particularly when I was in Georgia.) At other times I had some confused notion about the forgiveness of sins: but then I took it for granted, the time of this must be, either the hour of death, or the day of judgment.

I was equally ignorant of the nature of saving faith; apprehending it to mean no more, than a "Firm assent to all the propositions contained in the Old and New Testament."

2. As soon as, by the great blessing of God, I had a clearer view of these things, I began to declare them to others also. I believ'd, and therefore I spake. Wherever I was now desir'd to preach, salvation by faith was my only theme. My constant subjects were, "Believe in the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved. Him hath God exalted to be a Prince and a Saviour, to give repentance and remission of sins." These I explain'd and enforced with all my might,  
both



both in every Church where I was asked to preach, and occasionally in the Religious Societies of London and Westminster; to some or other of which I was continually pressed to go, by the Stewards or other Members of them.

Things were in this posture, when I was told, "I must preach no more in this, and this, and another Church;" the reason was usually added without reserve, "Because you preach such doctrine." So much the more those who could not hear me there, flocked together when I was at any of the Societies; where I spoke more or less, though with much inconvenience, to as many as the room I was in would contain.

3. But after a time, finding those rooms could not contain a tenth part of the people that were earnest to hear, I determined to do the same thing in England, which I had often done in a warmer climate: namely, when the house would not contain the congregation to preach in open air. This I accordingly did, first at Bristol, where the Society-rooms were exceeding small, and at Kingwood, where we had no room at all; afterwards in or near London.

And I cannot say, I have ever seen a more awful sight, than when on Rose-Green, or the top of Han-nam-Mount, some thousands of people were calmly joined together in solemn waiting upon God, while

"They stood and under open air ador'd

The God who made both air, earth, heaven  
and sky."

And whether they were listening to his word, with attention still as night; or were lifting up their voice in praise, as the sound of many waters; many a time have I been constrained to say in my heart, "How dreadful is this place!" this also is no other than *the House of God! this is the Gate of Heaven!*

Be pleased to observe. 1. That I was forbidden, as by a general consent, to preach in any Church (though not by any judicial sentence) "for preaching such doctrine." This was the open, avowed cause: there was at that time no other, either real or pretended; 2. That I had no desire or design to preach in the open air, till long after this prohibition; 3. That when I did, as it

was no matter of choice, so neither of premeditation. There was no scheme at all previously formed, which was to be supported thereby; nor had I any other end in view than this, to save as many souls as I could. 4.

*Field-preaching* was therefore a sudden expedient, a thing submitted to, rather than chosen; and therefore submitted to, because I thought preaching even thus, better than not preaching at all: First, in regard to my own soul, because, a dispensation of the gospel being committed to me, I did not dare, not to preach the gospel; Secondly, in regard to the souls of others, whom I every where saw, *seeking death in the error of their life.*

4. But the Author of the *Observations* and of the *Case of the Methodists* briefly stated, more particularly in the point of *field-preaching*, thinks *field-preaching* worse than not preaching at all, "because it is illegal."

Your Argument, in form, runs thus:

"That preaching which is contrary to the laws of the land, is worse than not preaching at all:

But *field preaching* is contrary to the laws of the land.

Therefore, it is worse than not preaching at all."

The first proposition is not self-evident, nor indeed universally true. For the preaching of all the primitive Christians was contrary to the whole tenor of the Roman laws; the worship of their devil gods being established by the strongest laws then in being. Nor is it ever true, but on supposition, that the preaching in question is an indifferent thing.

But waving this, I deny the second proposition; I deny that *field-preaching* is contrary to the laws of our land.

To prove which, you begin thus, "It does not appear, that any of the Preachers among the Methodists, have qualified themselves and the places of their assembling, according to the Act of Toleration."

I answer, 1. That act grants toleration to those who dissent from the established Church. But we do not dissent from it. Therefore we cannot make use of that act. 2. That act exempts Dissenters from penalties consequent on their breach of preceding laws. But

we are not conscious of breaking any law at all. Therefore we need not make use of it.

In the next section you say, "They have broken through all these provisions, in open defiance of government: and have met not only in houses, but in the fields, notwithstanding the statute (22. Car. II. c. 1.) which forbids this by name."

I answer, 1. We do nothing in defiance of government. We reverence Magistrates, as the ministers of God. 2. Although we have met in the fields, yet we do not conceive that statute at all affects us: not only because that act points wholly at Dissenters; whereas we are members of the established Church; but also because (they are your own words) it was evidently intended to suppress and prevent sedition: whereas no sedition, nor any the least approach thereto, can with any colour be laid to our charge.

In your 3d section you affirm, "That the act of Toleration itself cannot secure us in field-preaching, from the penalties of former laws." We have no desire it should; as not apprehending ourselves to be condemned by any former law whatever. Nor does what you add, "That the act of Toleration forbids any assembly of persons dissenting from the Church of England, to meet with the doors locked," affect us at all; because we do not dissent from it.

5. In the case of the Methodists briefly stated, your first observation is, "The act of Toleration leaves them liable to the penalties of several statutes made against unlawful assemblies."

I suppose then these several statutes specify what those unlawful assemblies are; and whether unlawful, as being condemned by previous laws, or made unlawful by those statutes.

And it still remains to be proved, that our assemblies are unlawful, in one or other of these senses.

You next observe, that, "the dissenters of all denominations, qualify themselves according to the act of Toleration; otherwise, they are liable to the penalties of all the laws recited in this act."

I answer, as before, all this strikes wide. It relates wholly to "persons dissenting from the Church."

But



But we are not the men. We do not dissent from the Church. Whoever affirms it, we put him to the proof.

You go on, "One of those laws so recited (viz. 22. Car. II. c. 1.) is that which forbids field-preaching by name; and was evidently intended, not only to suppress, but also to prevent sedition. As the title of the act declares, and as the preamble expresses it, *to provide farther and more speedy remedies against it.*"

Was this then, in your own judgment, the evident intention of that act, viz. To provide remedies against sedition? Does the very title of the act declare this? And the preamble also express it? With what justice then, with what ingenuity or candor, with what shadow of truth or reason, can any man cite this act against us? Whom you yourself no more suspect of a design to raise sedition (I appeal to your own conscience in the sight of God) than of a design to blow up the city of London!

6. Hitherto therefore it hath not been made to appear, that field-preaching is contrary to any law in being. However, "It is dangerous." This you strongly insist on. "It may be attended with mischievous consequences. It may give advantages to the enemies of the established government. It is big with mischief." (Observations, sect. I. and II.)

With what mischief? Why, "evil-minded men, by meeting together in the fields, under pretence of religion, may raise riots and tumults; or by meeting secretly, may carry on private cabals against the state." (*Case of the Methodists*, p. 2.)

"And if the Methodists themselves are a harmless and loyal people, it is nothing to the point in hand. For disloyal and seditious persons, may use such an opportunity of getting together, in order to execute any private design. Mr. Whitefield says, 30, 50 or 80,000 have attended his preaching at once. Now, 1. He cannot know one tenth part of such a congregation. 2. All people may come and carry on what designs they will: therefore 3. This is a great opportunity put into the hands of seditious persons to raise disturbances."

"With

"With what safety to the public these field-preachings may be continued, let the world judge." (*Ibid.* p. 2, 3, 4.)

May I speak without offence? I cannot think you are in earnest. You do not mean what you say. Do you believe Mr. Whitefield had *eighty thousand* hearers at once? No more than you believe he had *eighty millions*. Is not all this talk of danger, mere finesse? Thrown in purely *ad movendum invidiam*? You know governments generally are suspicious; especially in time of war: and therefore apply, as you suppose, to their weak side; in hopes, if possible to deliver over these hereticks to the secular arm. However, I will answer, as if you spoke from your heart. For I am in earnest, if you are not.

First, "The Preacher cannot know a tenth part of his congregation." Let us come to the present state of things. The largest congregations that now attend the preaching of any Methodist, are those (God be merciful to me!) that attend mine. And cannot I know a tenth part of one of these congregations, either at Bristol, Kingswood, Newcastle, or London? As strange as it may seem, I generally know two thirds of the congregation in every place, even on Sunday evening, and nine in ten of those who attend at most other times.

2. "All people may come and carry on what designs they will." Not so. All field-preaching is now in the open day. And were only ten persons, to come to such an assembly with arms, it would soon be enquired, with what design they came. This is therefore, 3. No "great opportunity put into the hands of seditious persons to raise disturbances." And if ever any disturbance has been raised, it was quite of another kind.

The public then is entirely safe, if it be in no other danger than arises from field-preaching.

7. There is one other sentence belonging to the head, in the eighth section of the Observations. "The religious societies, you say, in London and Westminster, for many years past, have received no discouragements, but on the contrary have been countenanced and encouraged both by Bishops and Clergy." How is this? Have they then "qualified themselves and the places

of

of their assembling according to the act of Toleration? Have they "embraced the protection which that act might give them, in case they complied with the conditions of it? If not, are they not all "liable to the penalties of the several statutes made before that time against unlawful assemblies?"

How can they escape? Have they "qualified themselves for holding these separate assemblies, according to the tenor of that act?" "Have then the several members thereof taken the oaths to the government?" And are the "Doors of the places wherein they meet, always open at the time of such meeting?" I presume, you know they are not; and that neither "the persons nor places are so qualified as that act directs."

How then come "the Bishops and Clergy, to countenance and encourage" unlawful assemblies? If it be said, "they meet in a private, inoffensive way;" that is nothing to the point in hand. If those meetings are unlawful in themselves, all their inoffensiveness will not make them lawful. "O, but they behave with modesty and decency." Very well; but the law! What is that to the law? There can be no solid defence but this: they are not dissenters from the Church; therefore they cannot use, and they do not need the act of Toleration. And their meetings are not seditious; therefore the statute against seditious meetings does not affect them.

The application is obvious. If our meetings are illegal, so are theirs also. But if this plea be good (as doubtless it is) in one case, it is good in the other also.

9. You propose another objection to our manner of preaching, in the second part of the Observations. The substance of it I will repeat, and answer as briefly as I can.

"They run up and down from place to place, and from county to county:" that is, *they preach in several places*. This is undoubtedly true. "They draw after them confused multitudes of people:" that is, "*many come to hear them*." This is true also. "But they would do well to remember, God is not the author of confusion or of tumult, but of peace." I trust we do; nor is there any confusion or tumult at all, in our  
largest



largest congregations : unless at some rare times when the sons of Belial mix therewith, on purpose to disturb the peaceable worshippers of God.

“ But our Church has provided against this preaching up and down, in the ordination of a Priest, by expressly limiting the exercise of the powers then conferred upon him, to the congregation where he shall be lawfully appointed thereunto.”

I answer, 1. Your argument proves too much. If it be allowed just as you propose it, it proves, that no Priest has authority, either to preach or minister the sacraments, in any other than his own congregation.

2. Had the powers conferred been so limited when I was ordained Priest, my ordination would have signified just nothing. For I was not appointed to any congregation at all : but was ordained as a member of that “ College of Divines,” (so our statutes express it) “ founded to overturn all heresies, and defend the Catholic Faith.”

3. For many years after I was ordained Priest, this limitation was never heard of. I heard not one syllable of it, by way of objection, to my preaching up and down, in Oxford or London, or the parts adjacent, in Gloucestershire, or Worcestershire ; in Lancashire, Yorkshire or Lincolnshire. Nor did the strictest Disciplinarian scruple suffering me to exercise those powers wherever I came,

4. And in fact, Is it not universally allowed, that every Priest, as such, has a power, in virtue of his ordination, either to preach or administer the sacrament, in any congregation, wherever the Rector or Curate desires his assistance ? Does not every one then see through this thin pretence ?

10. “ The Bishops and Universities indeed have power to grant Licences to Itinerants. But the Church has provided in that case ; they are not to preach in any church (Canon 50) till they shew their Licence.”

The Church has well provided in that case. But what has that case to do with the case of common Clergymen ? Only so much as to shew, how grossly this Canon has been abused : at Islington in particular, where the Churchwardens were instructed to hinder,

by

by main force, the Priest whom the Vicar himself had appointed, from preaching, and to quote this Canon; which, as you plainly shew, belongs to quite another thing.

In the note you add, "Mr. Wesley being asked, by what authority he preached, replied, By the authority of Jesus Christ, conveyed to me by the (now) Archbishop of Canterbury, when he laid his hands upon me and said, Take thou Authority to preach the Gospel. In this reply he thought fit, for a plain reason, to leave out this latter part of the Commission: for that would have shewn his reader, the restraint and limitation, under which the exercise of the power is granted." Nay, I did not print the latter part of the words, for a plainer reason, because I did not speak them. And I did not speak them then, because they did not come into my mind. Though probably if they had, I should not have spoken them: it being my only concern, to answer the question proposed, in as few words as I could.

But before those words, which you suppose to imply such a restraint, as would condemn all the Bishops and Clergy in the nation, were those spoken without any restraint or limitation at all, which I apprehend to convey an indelible character, "Receive the Holy Ghost, for the office and work of a Priest in the church of God, now committed unto thee, by the imposition of our hands. Whose sins thou dost forgive, they are forgiven, and whose sins thou dost retain, they are retained. And be thou a faithful dispenser of the word of God, and of his holy Sacraments, in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost."

You proceed, "In the same Journal he declares, That he looks upon all the world as his parish, and explains his meaning as follows; "In whatever part of it I am, I judge it meet, right, and my bounden duty, to declare unto all that are willing to hear, the glad tidings of salvation. This is the work which I know God hath called me to." Namely, by the laying on of the hands of the Presbytery: which directs me how to obey that general command, "While we have time, let us do good unto all men."

11. You object farther, "That the Methodists do not observe the Rubric before the Communion Service; which directs, so many as desire to partake of the holy Communion, to signify their names to the Curate the day before." What Curate desires they should? Whenever any Minister will give but one week's notice of this, I undertake, all that have any relation to me, shall signify their names within the time appointed.

You object also, that they break through the twenty-eighth Canon, which requires, "That if strangers come to any church from other parishes, they should be re-mitted to their own churches, there to receive the Communion with their neighbours."

But what if there be no Communion there? Then this Canon does not touch the case, nor does any one break it, by coming to another church purely because there is no Communion at his own.

As to your next advice, "To have a greater regard to the rules and orders of the church." I cannot, for I now regard them, next to the word of God. And as to your last, "To renounce Communion with the Church," I dare not. Nay, but let them thrust us out. We will not leave the ship: if you cast us out of it, then our Lord will take us up.

12. To the same head may be referred the objection some time urged, by a friendly and candid man, viz. "That it was unlawful to use extemporary prayer, because there was a Canon against it."

It was not quite clear to me, that the Canon he cited was against extemporary prayer. But supposing it were, my plain answer would be, "That Canon I dare not obey: because the law of man binds only so far as is consistent with the word of God."

The same person objected, my not obeying the Bishops and Governors of the Church. I answer, I both do and will obey them, in whatsoever I can with a clear conscience. So that there is no just ground for that charge, that I despise either the Rules or the Governors of the Church. I obey them in all things where I do not apprehend there is some particular law of God to the contrary. Even in that case, I shew all the deference I can; I endeavour to act as inoffensively



as possible: and am ready to submit to any penalty, which can by law be inflicted upon me. Would to God every Minister and member of the Church, were herein altogether as I am!

VII. 1. I have been considering the chief objections that have lately been urged against the Doctrines I teach. The main arguments brought against this manner of teaching, have been considered also. It remains, to examine the most current objections, concerning the effects of this teaching.

Many affirm, "That it does abundance of hurt; that it has had very bad effects; insomuch that if any good at all has been done, yet it bears no proportion to the evil."

But come to particulars, "First then, you are disturbers of the public peace."

What, do we either teach or raise sedition? Do we speak evil of the Ruler of our people? Or do we stir them up against any of those that are put in authority under him? Do we directly or indirectly promote faction, mutiny or rebellion? I have not found any man in his senses yet, that would affirm this.

"But it is plain, peace is broke and disturbances do arise, in consequence of your preaching." I grant it. But what would you infer? Have you never read the Bible? Have you not read, that the Prince of Peace himself was, in this sense, a disturber of the public peace? When he came into Jerusalem (Matt. xxi. 10.) all the city was moved, (*ἐσεισθη*) shaken as with an earthquake. And the disturbance arose higher and higher, till the whole multitude cried out together, "Away with him, away with him; crucify him, crucify him: and Pilate gave sentence it should be done." Such another disturber of the public peace, was that Stephen, even from the time he began disputing with the Libertines and Cyrenians, till the people stopped their ears, and ran upon him with one accord, and cast him out of the city and stoned him. Such disturbers of the peace were all those ringleaders of the sect of the Nazarenes, (commonly called Apostles) who wherever they came, turned the world upside down. And above  
all,

all the rest, that Paul of Tarsus, who occasioned so much disturbance at Damascus, (Acts ix.) at Antioch in Pisidia (chap. xiii.) at Iconium (chap. xiv.) at Lystra (ver. 19.) at Philippi (chap. xvi.) at Thessalonica (chap. xvii.) and particularly at Ephesus. The consequence of his preaching there was, That "the whole city was filled with confusion. And they all ran together with one accord, some crying one thing, some another: inasmuch as the greater part of them knew not wherefore they were come together."

2. And can we expect it to be any otherwise now? Although what we preach is the gospel of peace, yet if you will violently and illegally hinder our preaching, must not this create disturbance? But observe, the disturbance begins on your part. All is peace, till you raise that disturbance. And then you very modestly impute it to us, and lay your own riot at our door!

But of all this, our Lord hath told us before. Think not that I am come to send peace upon earth: that this will be the immediate effect, wherever my gospel is preached with power. I am not come to send peace, but a sword: this (so far as the wisdom of God permits, by whom the hairs of your head are all numbered) will be the first consequence of my coming, whenever my word turns sinners from darkness to light, from the power of Satan unto God.

I would wish all you who see this Scripture fulfilled, by disturbance following the preaching the gospel, to remember the behaviour of that wise Magistrate at Ephesus on the like occasion. He did not lay the disturbance to the Preacher's charge, but beckoned to the multitude and said, "Ye men of Ephesus—Ye ought to be quiet, and to do nothing rashly. For ye have brought these men, who are neither robbers of temples, nor yet blasphemers of your goddesses:" (not convicted of any such notorious crime, as can at all excuse this lawless violence.) "But if Demetrius hath a matter against any, the Law is open, and there are Deputies (or Proconsuls, capable of hearing and deciding the cause) let them implead one another. But if ye enquire any thing concerning other things, it shall be determined in a lawful Assembly."

3. "But you create divisions in private families." Accidentally, we do. For instance, suppose an entire family to have the form, but not the power of godliness; or to have neither the form nor the power; in either case, they may in some sort agree together. But suppose, when these hear the plain word of God, one or two of them are convinced, "This is the truth. And I have been all this time in the broad way that leadeth to destruction:" these then will begin to mourn after God; while the rest remain as they were. Will they not therefore of consequence divide, and form themselves into separate parties? Must it not be so, in the very nature of things? And how exactly does this agree with the words of our Lord? "Suppose ye that I came to send peace upon earth? I tell you nay: but rather division. For from henceforth there shall be five divided in one house, three against two, and two against three. The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father: the mother against her daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother-in-law against the daughter-in-law, and the daughter-in-law against the mother-in-law, (Luke xii. 51, 52, 53.) And the foes of a man, shall be they of his own household," (Matt. x. 36.)

Thus it was from the very beginning. For is it to be supposed, that a heathen parent, would long endure a christian child? Or that a heathen husband would agree with a christian wife? Unless either the believing wife could gain her husband; or the unbelieving husband prevailed on the wife to renounce her way of worshipping God: at least, unless she would obey him in going no more to those Societies or Conventicles, (*ἐκκλησίαι*) as they termed the Christian Assemblies.

4. Do you think now, I have an eye to your case? Doubtless I have; for I do not fight as one that beateth the air. "Why have not I a right to hinder my own wife or child, from going to a Conventicle? And is it not the duty of wives to obey their husbands? And of children to obey their parents?" Only set the case seventeen hundred years back, and your own conscience gives you the answer. What would St. Paul have said to one whose husband forbade her, to follow this

way



way any more? What direction would our Saviour have given to him whose father enjoined him, not to hear the gospel? His words are extant still, "He that loveth father or mother more than me, is not worthy of me. And he that loveth son or daughter more than me, is not worthy of me, (Matt. x. 37, 38.) Nay more, If any man cometh to me, and hateth not (in comparison of me) his father and mother and wife and children, yea and his own life, he cannot be my disciple." (Luke xiv. 26.)

"O, but this is not a parallel case. For they were Heathens; but I am a Christian." A Christian! Are you so? Do you understand the word? Do you know what a Christian is? If you are a Christian, you have the mind that was in Christ; and you so walk as he also walked. You are holy as he is holy both in heart, and in all manner of conversation. Have you then the mind that was in Christ? And do you walk as Christ walked? Are you inwardly and outwardly holy? I fear, not even outwardly. No; you live in known sin. Alas! How then are you a Christian? What a railer, a Christian? A common swearer, a Christian? A sabbath-breaker, a Christian? A drunkard or whoremonger, a Christian? Thou art a Heathen barefaced; the wrath of God is on thy head, and the curse of God upon thy back. Thy damnation slumbereth not. By reason of such Christians it is that the holy name of Christ is blasphemed. Such as thou they are, that cause the very savages in the Indian woods to cry out, "Christian much drunk, Christian beat men, Christian tell lies, Devil-Christian! Me no Christian."

And so thou wilt direct thy wife and children in the way of salvation!—Woe unto thee, thou Devil-Christian! Woe unto thee, thou blind leader of the blind! What wilt thou make them? Two-fold more the children of hell than thyself?—Be ashamed. Blush, if thou canst blush. Hide thy face. Lay thee in the dust. Out of the deep cry unto God, if haply he may hear thy voice. Instantly smite upon thy breast. Who knoweth but God may take thee out of the belly of hell?

5. "But you are not one of these. You fear God, and labour to have a conscience void of offence. And it is from a principle of conscience, that you restrain your wife or children from hearing false doctrine." But how do you know it is false doctrine? Have you heard for yourself? Or, if you have not heard, have you carefully read what we occasionally answered for ourselves? A man of conscience cannot condemn any one unheard. This is not common humanity. Nor will he refrain from hearing what may be the truth, for no better reason than fear of his reputation. Pray observe, I do not say, every man (or any man) is obliged in conscience to hear us. But I do say, every man in England who condemns us, is obliged to hear us first. This is only common justice, such as is not denied to a thief or a murderer. Take your choice therefore. Either hear us, or condemn us not. Either speak nothing at all, or hear before you speak.

But suppose you have both read and heard more than you liked? Did you read and hear fairly? Was not you loaded with prejudice? Did you not read or hear, expecting no good; perhaps desiring to find fault? If so, what wonder you judge as you do? What a poor mock-trial is this? You had decided the cause in your own breast, before you heard one word of the evidence. And still do you talk of acting out of conscience? Yea, a conscience void of offence?

We will put the case farther yet. Suppose your censure was just, and this was actually false doctrine. Still every one must give an account of himself to God: and you cannot force the conscience of any one. You cannot compel another, to see as you see. You ought not to attempt it. Reason and persuasion are the only weapons you ought to use, even toward your own wife and children. Nay, and it is impossible to starve them into conviction, or to beat even truth into their head. You may destroy them, in this way, but cannot convert them. Remember what our own Poet has said,

"By force beasts act and are by force restrain'd;  
The human mind by gentle means is gain'd.  
Thou canst not take, what I refuse to yield:  
Nor reap the harvest, tho' thou spoilst the field."

6. Every

6. Every reasonable man is convinced of this. And perhaps you do not concern yourself so much about the doctrine, but the mischief that is done. "How many poor families are starved, ruined, brought to beggary!" By what? Not by contributing a penny a week (the usual contribution in our Societies) and letting that alone, when they please, when there is any shadow of reason to suppose they cannot afford it. You will not say, any are brought to beggary by this. Not by gifts to me: for I receive none; save (sometimes) the food I eat. And public collections are nothing to me. That it may evidently appear they are not, when any such collection is made, to clothe the poor, or for any other determinate purpose, the money is both received and expended before many witnesses, without ever going through my hands at all. And then likewise all possible regard is had, to the circumstances of those who contribute any thing. And they are told over and over, if there be a willing mind, it is accepted according to that a man hath.

But where are all these families that have been brought to beggary? How is it that none of them is forth coming? Are they all out of town? Then indeed I am in no danger of clearing myself from their indictment. It is the easiest thing of a thousand, for one at Newcastle to say, that I have beggared him and all his kindred. If one of the long-bearded men on Tyne-bridge, were to say so just now, I could not readily confute him. But why will not you bring a few of these to tell me so to my face? I have not found one that would do this yet. They pray, you would have them excused.

I remember a man coming to me with a doleful countenance, putting himself into many lamentable postures, gaping as wide as he could, and pointing to his mouth, as who would say, "he could not speak." I enquired of his companion, what was the matter? And was informed, "he had fallen into the hands of Turks, who had used him in a barbarous manner, and cut out his tongue by the roots." I believed him. But when the man had had a cheerful cup, he could find his tongue as well as another. I reflected, how is it that I could



so readily believe that tale? The answer was easy, "Because it was told of a Turk." My friend, take knowledge of your own case. If you had not first took me for a Turk, or something equally bad, you could not so readily, have believed that tale!

7. "But can it be, that there is no ground at all for a report, which is in every ones mouth?" I will simply tell you, all the ground which I can conceive. I believe many of those who attend on my ministry, have less of this world's goods than they had before, or at least, might have had, if they did not attend it. This fact I allow; and it may be easily accounted for, in one or other of the following ways.

First, I frequently preach on such texts as these: "having food and raiment, let us be content therewith. They who desire to be rich fall into temptation and a snare, and many foolish and hurtful lusts, which drown men in destruction and perdition. Lay not up for yourselves treasures upon earth, where the rust and moth doth corrupt, and where thieves break through and steal. But lay up for yourselves treasures in heaven, where neither rust nor moth doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through and steal."

Now should any of those who are labouring by all possible means, *to lay up treasure upon earth*, feel these words, they would not *enlarge their desires as hell*; but be *content with such things as they had*. They then probably might not heap up so much for their heirs, as otherwise they would have done. These would therefore have less than if they had not heard me: because they would grasp at less.

Secondly, Wherever the gospel takes effect, *the foes of a man will be those of his own household*. By this means then some who hear and receive it with joy, will be poorer than they were before. Their domestic foes will, in many cases, hinder, embroil, and disturb the course of their affairs. And their relations, who assisted them before, or promised at least so to do, will probably withdraw or deny that assistance, unless they will be advised by them. Perhaps their nearest relations: it being no new thing, for parents to disown their children, if after the way which they call heresy, these

worship

worship the God of their fathers. Hence therefore some have less of this world's goods than they had in times past, either because they earn less, or because they receive less from them on whom they depend.

Thirdly, It is written, that "Those who received not the mark of the beast, either on their foreheads, or in their right hands," either openly or secretly, were not permitted to buy or sell any more. Now whatever the mystery contained herein may be, I apprehend the plain mark of the beast is wickedness; inward and outward unholiness, whatever is secretly or openly contrary to justice, mercy or truth. And certain it is, the time is well nigh come, when those who have not this mark, can neither buy nor sell, can scarce follow any profession, so as to gain a subsistence thereby. Therefore, many of those who attend on my ministry, are by this means poorer than before. They will not receive the mark of the beast, either on their forehead or in their hand: or if they had received it before, they rid themselves of it as soon as possible. Some cannot follow their former way of life at all; (as pawnbrokers, smugglers, buyers or sellers of uncustomed goods) others cannot follow it as they did before. For they cannot oppress, cheat or defraud their neighbour: they cannot lie, or say what they do not mean; they must now speak the truth from their heart. On all these accounts, they have less of this world's goods; because they gain less than they did before.

Fourthly, "All that will live godly in Christ Jesus shall suffer persecution:" if in no other way, yet at least in this, that "men will by revilings persecute them; and say all manner of evil against them falsely, for his sake." One unavoidable effect of this will be, that men whose subsistence depends on their daily labour, will be often in want, for few will care to employ those of so bad a character. And even those who did employ them before, perhaps for many years, will employ them no more; so that hereby some may be brought to beggary.

8. What does this touch you? Are you one of those, "who will have nothing to do with those scandalous wretches?" Perhaps you will say, "And who can blame

blame me for it: may I not employ whom I please?" We will weigh this. You employed A. B. for several years. By your own account, he was an honest, diligent man. You had no objection to him but his following this way. For this reason you turn him off. In a short time, having spent his little all, and having no supply, he wants bread. So does his family too as well as himself. Before he can get into other business to procure it, through want of convenient food to eat, and raiment to put on, he sickens and dies. This is not an imaginary scene. I have known the case; tho' too late to remedy it.

"And what then?" Why then you are a murderer. *O earth, cover not thou his blood!* No, it doth not. *The cry thereof hath entered in the ears of the Lord God of Sabbaoth.* And God requireth it at your hands: and will require it in an hour when you think not. For you have as effectually murdered that man, as if you had stabbed him to the heart.

It is not I then who ruin and starve that family; it is you; you who call yourself a *Protestant!* You who cry out against the persecuting spirit of the *Papists!* Ye fools and blind! What are ye better than they? Why, Edmund Bonner would have starved the hereticks in prison: whereas ye starve them in their own houses.

And all this time you talk of liberty of conscience; yes, liberty for such a conscience as your own: a conscience past feeling; (for sure it had some once) a conscience, seared with a hot iron. Liberty to serve the devil, according to your poor, hardened conscience, you allow; but not liberty to serve God.

Nay, and what marvel? Whosoever thou art that readeest this, and feelest in thy heart a real desire to serve God, I warn thee, expect no liberty for thy conscience, from him that hath no conscience at all. All ungodly, unthankful, unholy men; all villains of whatever denomination, will have liberty indeed all the world over, as long as their master is god of this world. But expect not liberty to worship God in spirit and in truth, to practise pure and undefiled religion (unless the Lord should



should work a new thing in the earth) from any but those who themselves love and serve God.

9. "However, it is plain, you make men idle. And this tends to begger their families." This objection having been continually urged for some years, I will trace it from the foundation.

Two or three years after my return from America, one Captain Robert Williams of Bristol, made affidavit before the (then) Mayor of the city, that "it was a common report in Georgia, Mr. Wesley took people off from their work and made them idle, by preaching so much."

The fact stood thus: At my first coming to Savannah, the generality of the people rose at seven or eight in the morning. And that part of them who were accustomed to work, usually worked till six in the evening. A few of them sometimes worked till seven; which is the time of sun-set there at Midsummer.

I immediately began reading prayers and expounding the second lesson, both in the morning and evening. The morning service began at five, and ended at, or before six: The evening service began at seven.

Now supposing all the grown persons in the town, had been present every morning and evening, would this have made them idle? Would they hereby have had less, or considerably more time for working?

10. The same rule I follow now, both at London, Bristol and Newcastle-upon-Tyne: concluding the service at every place, winter and summer, before six in the morning: and not ordinarily beginning to preach, till near seven in the evening.

Now do you, who make this objection, work longer, through the year, than from six to six? Do you desire, that the generality of people should? Or, can you count them idle, that work so long?

Some few are indeed accustomed to work longer. These I advise not to come on week-days. And it is apparent, that they take this advice, unless on some rare and extraordinary occasion.

But I hope, none of you who turn them out of their employment, have the confidence to talk of my making

making them idle! Do you (as the homely phrase is) cry wh—— first? I admire your cunning, but not your modesty.

So far am I from either causing or encouraging idleness, than an idle person known to be such, is not suffered to remain in any of our societies: we drive him out, as we would a thief or a murderer. "To shew all possible diligence," (as well as frugality) is one of our standing rules: and one, concerning the observance of which, we continually make the strictest enquiry.

11. "But you drive them out of their senses. You make them mad." Nay, then they are idle with a vengeance. This objection therefore being of the utmost importance, deserves our deepest consideration.

And first, I grant, it is my earnest desire to drive all the world, into what you probably call *madness*: (I mean, inward religion) to make them just as mad, as Paul was when he was so accounted by Festus.

The counting all things on earth but dung and dross, so we may win Christ; the trampling under foot all the pleasures of the world, the seeking no treasure but in heaven; the having no desire of the praise of men, a good character, a fair reputation; the being exceeding glad when men revile us, and persecute us, and say all manner of evil against us falsely; the giving God thanks when our father or mother forsake us, when we have neither food to eat, nor raiment to put on, nor a friend but what shoots out bitter words, nor a place where to lay our head: this is utter distraction in your account: but in God's it is sober, rational religion: the genuine fruit, not of a distempered brain, not of a sickly imagination, but of the power of God in the heart, of victorious love, and of a sound mind.

12. I grant, secondly, it is my endeavour to drive all I can, into what you term another species of madness, which is usually preparatory to this, and which I term repentance or conviction.

I cannot describe this better than a writer of our own has done. I will therefore transcribe his words.

"When men feel in themselves the heavy burden of sin, see damnation to be the reward of it, and behold with the eye of their mind the horror of hell; they tremble,

tremble, they quake and are inwardly touched with sorrowfulness of heart, and cannot but accuse themselves, and open their grief unto Almighty God, and call unto him for mercy. This being done seriously, their mind is so occupied, partly with sorrow and heaviness, partly with an earnest desire to be delivered from this danger of hell and damnation, that all desire of meat and drink is laid apart, and loathsomeness (or, loathing) of all worldly things and pleasure, cometh in place. So that nothing then liketh them, more than to weep, to lament, to mourn, and both words and behaviour of body to shew themselves weary of life."

Now what if your wife, or daughter, or acquaintance, after hearing one of these field-preachers, should come and tell you, that they saw damnation before them, and beheld with the eye of their mind the horror of hell? What if they should tremble and quake, and be so taken up partly with sorrow and heaviness, partly with an earnest desire to be delivered from this danger of hell and damnation, as to weep, to lament, to mourn, and both with words and behaviour to shew themselves weary of life: would you scruple to say that they were stark mad? that these fellows had driven them out of their senses? And that whatever writer it was, that talked at this rate, he was fitter for Bedlam than any other place?

You have overshot yourself now to some purpose. These are the very words of our own Church. You may read them, if you are so inclined, in the first part of the *Homily on Fasting*. And consequently what you have peremptorily determined to be mere lunacy and distraction, is that repentance unto life, which in the judgment both of the Church and of St. Paul, is never to be repented of.

13. I grant, thirdly, that extraordinary circumstances have attended this conviction in some instances. A particular account of these I have frequently given. While the word of God was preached, some persons have dropped down as dead; some have been, as it were, in strong convulsions; some have roared aloud, though not with an articulate voice; and others spoke the anguish of their souls.



This, I suppose, you believe to be perfect madness. But it is easily accounted for, either on principles of Reason or Scripture.

First, on principles of Reason. For how easy is it to suppose, that a strong, lively and sudden apprehension of the heinousness of sin, the wrath of God, and the bitter pains of eternal death, should affect the body as well as the soul, during the present laws of vital union; should interrupt or disturb the ordinary circulations, and put nature out of its course? Yea, we may question, whether while this union subsists, it be possible for the mind to be affected, in so violent a degree, without some or other of those bodily symptoms following?

It is likewise easy to account for these things, on principles of Scripture. For when we take a view of them in this light, we are to add to the consideration of natural causes, the agency of those spirits who still excel in strength, and as far as they have leave from God, will not fail to torment whom they cannot destroy; to tear those that are coming to Christ. It is also remarkable, that there is plain scripture-precedent of every symptom which has lately appeared. So that we cannot allow even the conviction attended with these to be madness, without giving up both Reason and Scripture.

14. I grant, fourthly, That touches of extravagance, bordering on madness may sometime attend severe conviction. And this also is easy to be accounted for, by the present laws of the animal œconomy. For we know, fear or grief, from a temporal cause, may occasion a fever and thereby a delirium.

It is not strange then that some, while under strong impressions of grief or fear, from a sense of the wrath of God, should for a season forget almost all things else, and scarce be able to answer a common question: that some should fancy they see the flames of hell, or the devil and his angels around them: or that others, for a space, should be afraid, like Cain, *whosoever meeteth me will slay me*. All these, and whatever less common effects may sometimes accompany this conviction, are easily known from the natural distemper of madness,

were

were it only by this one circumstance, that whenever the person convinced, tastes the pardoning love of God, they all vanish away in a moment.

Lastly, I have seen one instance (I pray God I may see no more such !) of real, lasting madness.

Two or three years since, I took one with me to Bristol, who was under deep convictions ; but of as sound an understanding in all respects, as ever he had been in his life. I went a short journey, and when I came to Bristol again, found him really distracted. I enquired particularly, at what time and place, and in what manner this disorder began ? And I believe there are, at least, threescore witnesses, alive and ready to testify what follows. When I went from Bristol, he contracted an acquaintance with some persons, who were not of the same judgment with me. He was soon prejudiced against me : quickly after, when our society were met together in Kingwood-house, he began a vehement invective both against my person and doctrines. In the midst of this, he was struck raving mad. And so he continued till his friends put him into Bedlam : and probably, laid his madness too to my charge.

15. I fear, there may also be some instances of real madness, proceeding from a different cause.

Suppose, for instance, a person, hearing me, is strongly convinced, that a liar cannot enter into the kingdom of heaven. He comes home, and relates this to his parents or friends, and appears to be very uneasy. These good Christians are disturbed at this, and afraid he is running mad too. They are resolved, he shall never hear any of those fellows more ; and keep to it in spite of all his intreaties. They will not suffer him, when at home, to be alone, for fear he should read or pray. And perhaps in awhile they will constrain him, at least by repeated importunities, to do again the very thing, for which he was convinced the wrath of God cometh upon the children of disobedience.

What is the event of this ? Sometimes the Spirit of God is quenched and departs from him. Now you have carried the point. The man is easy as ever, and sins on without any remorse. But in other instances, where those convictions sink deep, and the arrows of

the Almighty stick fast in the soul, you will drive that person into real, settled madness, before you can quench the Spirit of God. I am afraid, there have been several instances of this. You have forced the man's conscience, till he is stark mad. But then, pray do not impute that madness to me. Had you left him to my direction, or rather to the direction of the Spirit of God, he would have been filled with love and a sound mind. But you have taken the matter out of God's hand. And now you have brought it to a fair conclusion !

16. How frequent this case may be, I know not. But doubtless most of those who make this objection, of our driving men mad, had never met with such an instance in their lives. The common cry is occasioned, either by those who are convinced of sin, or those who are inwardly converted to God : mere madness both (as was observed before) to those who are without God in the world. Yet I do not deny, but you may have seen one in Bedlam, who said he had followed me. But observe, a madman's saying this, is no proof of the fact : nay, and if he really had, it should be farther considered, that his being in Bedlam, is no sure proof of his being mad. Witness the well-known case of Mr. Periam ; and I doubt more such are to be found. Yea, it is well if some have not been sent thither, for no other reason, but because they followed me : their kind relations either concluding, that they must be distracted, before they could do this : or, perhaps hoping, that Bedlam would make them mad, if it did not find them so.

17. And it must be owned, a confinement of such a sort, is as fit to cause as to cure distraction. For what scene of distress is to be compared to it ? To be separated at once from all who are near and dear to you : to be cut off from all reasonable conversation, to be secluded from all business, from all reading, from every innocent entertainment of the mind, which is left to prey wholly upon itself, and day and night to pore over your misfortunes : to be shut up day by day in a gloomy cell, with only the walls to employ your heavy eyes, in the midst either of melancholly silence, or horrid



rid cries, groans and laughter intermixt : to be forced by the main strength of those

“ Who laugh at human nature and compassion,” to take drenches of nauseous, perhaps torturing medicines, which you know you have no need of now, but know not how soon you may, possibly by the operation of these very drugs on a weak or tender constitution : here is distress ! It is an astonishing thing, a signal proof of the power of God, if any creature who has his senses when that confinement begins, does not lose them, before it is at an end !

How must it heighten the distress, if such a poor wretch, being deeply convinced of sin, and growing worse and worse (as he probably will, seeing there is no medicine here for his sickness, no such Physician as his case requires) be soon placed among the incurables ! Can imagination itself paint such a hell upon earth ? Where even “ Hope never comes, that comes to all ! ” — For what remedy ? If a man of sense and humanity, should happen to visit that house of woe, would he give the hearing to a madman’s tale ? Or if he did, would he credit it ? “ Do we not know, might he say, how well any of these will talk in their lucid intervals ? ” So that a thousand to one he would concern himself no more about it, but leave the weary to wait for rest in the grave !

18. I have now answered most of the current objections, particularly such as have appeared of weight to religious or reasonable men. I have endeavoured to shew, First, That the doctrines I teach are no other than the great truths of the gospel. 2. That though I teach them, not as I would, but as I can, yet it is in a manner not contrary to law : and Thirdly, That the effects of thus preaching the gospel, have not been such as was weakly or wickedly reported : those reports being mere artifices of the Devil, to hinder the work of God. Whosoever therefore ye are, who look for God to revive his work in the midst of the years, cry aloud, that he may finish it nevertheless, may cut it short in righteousness. Cry to Messiah the Prince, that he may soon end the transgression, that he may lift up his standard upon earth, sending by whom he will send, and

working his own work, when he pleaseth, and as he pleaseth, till all the kindreds of the people worship before him, and the earth be full of the knowledge of the glory of the Lord !

~~~~~

An ACT of DEVOTION.

- 1 **B**EHOLD the servant of the Lord !
 I wait thy guiding hand to feel,
 To hear, and keep thine ev'ry word,
 To prove, and do thy perfect will ;
 Joyful from all my works to cease,
 Glad to fulfil all righteousness.
- 2 Me if thy grace vouchsafe to use,
 Meanest of all thy creatures me,
 The deed, the time, the manner chuse ;
 Let all my fruit be found of thee,
 Let all my works in thee be wrought,
 By thee to full perfection brought.
- 3 My ev'ry weak though good, design,
 O'er-rule, or change as seems thee meet,
 Jesus, let all the work be thine ;
 Thy work, O Lord, is all compleat,
 And pleasing in thy Father's sight ;
 Thou only hast done all things right.
- 4 Here then to thee thine own I leave,
 Mould as thou wilt the passive clay ;
 But let me all thy stamp receive,
 But let me all thy words obey,
 Serve with a single heart and eye,
 And to thy glory live and die.

A

FARTHER APPEAL

TO MEN OF

REASON AND RELIGION.

P A R T II.

I. 1. **I**T is not my present design to touch on any particular Opinions, whether they are right or wrong : nor on any of those smaller points of practice, which are variously held by men of different persuasions : but first, to point out some things which on common principles are condemned by men of every denomination, and yet found in all ; and secondly, some wherein those of each denomination, are more particularly inconsistent with their own principles.

And, first, it is my design, abstracting from Opinions of every kind, as well as from disputable points of practice, to mention such of those things as occur to my mind, which are on common principles condemned, and notwithstanding found, more or less, among men of every denomination.

2. But before I enter on this unpleasing task, I beseech you, brethren, by the mercies of God, by whatever love you bear to God, to your country, to your own souls ; do not consider who speaks, but what is spoken. If it be possible, for one hour lay prejudice aside ; give what is advanced a fair hearing. Consider simply

simply on each head, is this true, or is it false? Is it reasonable, or is it not? If you ask, "But in whose judgment?" I answer, In your own; I appeal to the light of your own mind. Is there not a faithful witness in your own breast? By this you must stand or fall. You cannot be judged by another man's conscience. Judge for yourself by the best light you have. And the merciful God teach me and thee whatsoever we know not!

Now, as I speak chiefly to those who believe the scriptures, the method I propose is this, first, To observe what account is given therein of the Jews, the ancient church of God, inasmuch as all these things were written for our instruction, who say, we are now the visible church of the God of Israel. Secondly, To appeal to all who profess to be members thereof, to every one who is called a Christian, How far, in each instance, the parallel holds? And how much we are better than they?

3. First, I am to observe what account the scriptures give of the Jews, the ancient church of God. I mean, with regard to their moral character; their tempers and outward behaviour.

No sooner were they brought out of Egypt, than we find them murmuring against God, (Exod. xiv. 12.) Again, when he had just brought them through the Red Sea with a mighty hand and a stretched out arm, (ch. xv. 24.) And yet again, quickly after, in the wilderness of Zin, your murmurings (saith Moses) are not against us, but against the Lord, (ch. xvi. 8.) Nay, even while he was giving them bread from heaven, they were still murmuring and tempting God, (ch. xviii. 2, 3.) and their amazing language at that season was, *Is the Lord among us or not?* (ch. xvii. 4.)

The same spirit they shewed, during the whole forty years that he bore their manners in the wilderness: a solemn testimony whereof, Moses spake in the ears of all the congregation of Israel, when God was about to take him away from their head: "They have corrupted themselves (saith he) their spot was not of his children; they are a perverse and crooked generation. The Lord led Jacob about; he instructed him; he kept him

him as the apple of his eye, (Deut. xxxii. 5. 10.) He made him ride on the high places of the earth, that he might eat the increase of the fields: then he forsook God which made him, and lightly esteemed the Rock of his salvation," (ch. v. 11, 13, 15.)

In like manner God complains long after this, "Hear O heavens, and give ear, O earth! I have nourished and brought up children, and they have rebelled against me. The ox knoweth his owner, and the ass his master's crib: but Israel doth not know, my people do not consider. Ah sinful nation, a people laden with iniquity, a seed of evil doers, children that are corrupters, they have forsaken the Lord, they have provoked the Holy One of Israel," (Isa. i. 2, 3, 4.) "Can a maid forget her ornaments, and a bride her attire? Yet my people have forgotten me, days without number," (Jer. ii. 32.)

4. And as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, so they had small regard to the ordinances of God. "Even from the days of your fathers, (said God by his prophets) ye are gone away from my ordinances, and have not kept them, (Mal. iii. 7.) Ye have said, It is in vain to serve God; and what profit is it that we have kept his ordinances?" (ver. 13.) "Thou hast not called upon me, O Jacob, but thou hast been weary of me, O Israel: thou hast not brought me thy burnt-offerings, neither hast thou honoured me with thy sacrifices," (Isa. xlv. 22. 23.) And so the prophet himself confesses, "Thou meetest those that remember Thee in thy ways—But there is none that calleth upon thy name, that stirreth up himself to take hold of Thee," (Isa. lxiv. 5, 7.)

5. But they called upon his name by vain oaths, by perjury and blasphemy. So Jeremiah, "Because of swearing the land mourneth, (ch. xxiii. 10.) And though they say, The Lord liveth, surely they swear falsely," (ch. v. 2.) So Hosea, "They have spoken words, swearing falsely in making a covenant:" so Ezekiel, "They say the Lord seeth us not, the Lord hath forsaken the earth." So Isaiah, "Their tongue and their doings are against the Lord, to provoke the eyes of his glory," (ch. iii. 8.) They say, "Let him
make

make speed and hasten his work that we may see it, and let the counsel of the Holy One draw nigh and come that we may know it," (ch. v. 19.) And so Malachi, "Ye have wearied the Lord with your words; ye say, Every one that doeth evil, is good in the sight of the Lord, and he delighteth in them; and, where is the God of judgment?" (ch. ii. 17.)

6. And as they *despised his holy things*, so they *profaned his sabbaths*, (Ezek. xxii. 8.) Yea, when God sent unto them, saying, "Take heed unto yourselves, and bear no burden on the sabbath day, neither do ye any work, but hallow ye the sabbath day, as I commanded your fathers:—yet they obeyed not, neither inclined their ear, but made their neck stiff, that they might not hear, nor receive instruction." (Jer. xvii. 21, 22, 23.)

Neither did they honour their parents, or those whom God, from time to time, appointed to be rulers over them. "In thee (in Jerusalem, said the prophet) they have set light by father and mother," (Ezek. xxii. 7.) And from the very day when God brought them up out of the land of Egypt, their murmurings, chiding, rebellion and disobedience; against those whom he had chosen to go before them, make the most considerable part of their history. So that had not Moses stood in the gap, he had even destroyed them from the face of the earth.

7. How much more did they afterwards provoke God, by drunkenness, sloth, and luxury? "They have erred through wine, (saith the prophet Isaiah) and through strong drink they are gone out of the way;" (ch. xxviii. 7.) which occasioned those vehement and repeated warnings, against that reigning sin; "Woe to the drunkards of Ephraim, them that are overcome with wine, (ver. 1.) the drunkards of Ephraim shall be trodden under foot: (ver. 3.) woe unto them that rise up early that they may follow strong drink; that continue until night, till wine inflames them.—But they regard not the work of the Lord, neither consider the operation of his hands, (ch. v. 11, 12.) woe unto them that are mighty to drink wine, and men of strength to mingle strong drink; (ver. 22.) woe to them that are

at ease in Zion,—that lie upon beds of ivory, and stretch themselves upon their couches, and eat the lambs out of the flock, and the calves out of the midst of the stall, that chant to the sound of the viol, and invent to themselves instruments of musick,—that drink wine in bowls, and anoint themselves with the chief ointments; but they are not grieved for the affliction of Joseph,” (Amos vi. 1, 4, 5, 6.) “Behold this (saith Ezekiel to Jerusalem) was the iniquity of thy sister Sodom: fulness of bread and abundance of idleness was in her and in her daughters,” (ch. xvi. 49.)

8. From sloth and fulness of bread, lewdness naturally followed. It was even while Moses was with them, that the people began to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab: yea, of the daughters of Zion, Isaiah complains, “they walk with stretched-forth necks and wanton eyes,” (ch. iii. 16.) And of his people in general God complains by Jeremiah, “When I had fed them to the full, they assembled themselves by troops in the harlot’s house. They were as fed horses in the morning, every one neighed after his neighbour’s wife, (ch. v. 7, 8.) they be all adulterers, an assembly of treacherous men, (ch. ix. 2.) the land is full of adulterers,” (ch. xxiii. 10.)

Yea, and some of them were given up to unnatural lusts. Thus we read, Judges xix. 22, “The men of Gibeah beset the house, wherein the stranger was, and beat at the door, and spake to the master of the house, saying, bring forth the man that came into thine house, that we may know him.” And there were also long after, Sodomites in the land, in the days of Rehoboam and of the following kings: “The very shew of whose countenance witnessed against them, and they declared their sin as Sodom, they hid it not.” (Isa. iii. 9.)

9. This was accompanied with injustice in all its forms. Thus all the prophets testify against them, “The Lord looked for judgment, but behold oppression; for righteousness, but behold a cry,” (Isa. v. 7.) “Thou hast taken usury and increase; thou hast greedily gained of thy neighbour by extortion.—Behold, I have smitten my hand, at thy dishonest gain which thou hast made,” (Ezek. xxii. 12, 13.) “The balances
of

of deceit are in Jacob's hand ; he loveth to oppress," (Hos. xii. 7.) "Are there not yet the scant measure that is abominable ; the wicked balances, and the bag of deceitful weights ?" (Micah vi. 10, 11.) "He that departeth from evil, maketh himself a prey," (Isa. lix. 15.) And the Lord saw it, and it displeased him, that there was no judgment. The wicked devoureth the man that is more righteous than he. They take up all of them with the angle, they catch them in their net, and gather them in their drag, (Hab. i. 13, 14, 15.) They covet fields and take them by violence, and houses, and take them away, (Mic. ii. 2.) they pull off the robe with the garment, from them that pass by securely, (ver. 8.) they have dealt by oppression with the stranger ; they have vexed the fatherless and the widow, (Ezek. xxii. 7.) the people of the land have used oppression and exercised robbery ; and have vexed the poor and needy, yea, they have oppressed the stranger wrongfully, (ver. 29.) their works are works of iniquity, and the acts of violence is in their hands, (Isaiah lix. 6.) Judgment is turned away backward, and justice standeth afar off ; for truth is fallen in the street, and equity cannot enter, (ver. 14.)

10. Truth indeed was fallen, as well as justice, "Every mouth, saith Isaiah, speaketh folly, (ch. ix. 17.) this is a rebellious people, lying children, (ch. xxx. 9.) their lips have spoken lies and muttered perverseness. None calleth for justice, nor any pleadeth for truth ; they trust in vanity and speak lies, (ch. lix. 3, 4.) This occasioned that caution of Jeremiah, Take ye heed every one of his neighbour, and trust ye not in any brother ; for every brother will utterly supplant, and every neighbour will walk with slanders. And they will deceive every one his neighbour, and will not speak the truth ; they have taught their tongues to speak lies, and weary themselves to commit iniquity," (ch. ix. 4, 5.)

11. And even those who abstained from these gross outward sins, were still inwardly corrupt and abominable. "The whole head was sick, and the whole heart was faint ; yea, from the sole of the foot even unto the head there was no soundness, but wounds and bruises, and

and putrifying sores, (Isa. i. 5, 6.) All these nations (saith God) are uncircumcised; and all the house of Israel are uncircumcised in heart, (Jer. ix. 26.) Their heart is divided, (Hos. x. 2.) They have set up their idols in their heart; they are all estranged from me through their idols," (Ezek. xiv. 3, 4.)

Their soul still clave unto the dust. They laid up treasures upon earth. "From the least of them, saith Jeremiah, even unto the greatest, every one is given to covetousness, (ch. vi. 13.) They panted after the dust of the earth, (Amos ii. 7.) They laded themselves with thick clay, (Hab. iii. 6.) They joined house to house, and laid field to field, until there was no place, (Isaiah v. 8.) Yea, they enlarged their desires as hell: they were as death, and could not be satisfied," (Hab. ii. 5.)

12. And not only for their covetousness, but for their pride of heart were they an abomination to the Lord. "The pride of Israel, saith Hosea, doth testify to his face, (ch. vii. 10.) Hear ye, give ear, saith Jeremiah, be not proud—Give glory to the Lord your God, (ch. xiii. 15.) But they would not be reprov'd; they were still wise in their own eyes, and prudent in their own sight, (Isa. v. 21.) and continually saying to their neighbour, Stand by thyself, come not near to me; for I am holier than thou!" (Isa. lxv. 5.)

They added hypocrisy to their pride. "This people, saith God himself, draw near me with their mouth, and with their lips do honour me, but have removed their heart far from me, (Isa. xxix. 13.) They have not cried unto me with their hearts, when they howled upon their beds, (Hos. vii. 14.) They return, but not to the Most High; they are like a deceitful bow, (ver. 16.) They did but flatter him with their mouth, and dissemble with him in their tongue," (Psal. lxxviii. 36.) so that herein they only prophaned the holiness of the Lord. "And this have ye done again, saith Malachi, covering the altar of the Lord with tears, with weeping, and with crying out, insomuch that he regarded not the offering any more," (ch. ii. 11. 13.)

13. This God continually declared to those formal worshippers. That their outside religion was but vain, "To what purpose is the multitude of your sacrifices,

faith the Lord? I am full of the burnt-offerings of rams, and I delight not in the blood of bullocks, or of lambs, or of he-goats. Bring no more vain oblations: incense is an abomination unto me; the new moons and sabbaths, the calling of assemblies, I cannot away with; it is iniquity, even the solemn meeting.—When you spread forth your hands, I will hide mine eyes from you; yea, when ye make many prayers, I will not hear, (Isa. i. 11, 13, 15.) He that killeth an ox is as if he slew a man; he that sacrificeth a lamb, as if he cut off a dog's neck, (ch. lxvi. 3.) When they fast, I will not hear their cry; and when they offer an oblation, I will not accept it, (Jer. xiv. 12.) Go ye, serve your idols, if ye will not hearken unto me; but pollute ye my holy Name no more with your gifts," (Ezek. xx. 39.)

14. Yet all this time they were utterly careless and secure; nay, confident of being in the favour of God. "They were at ease; they put far away the evil day, (Amos vi. 1. 3.) Even when God had poured his anger upon Israel, it set him on fire round about, yet he knew it not: it burned him, yet he laid it not to heart, (Isa. xlii. 25.) A deceived heart had turned him aside, that he could not say, Is there not a lie in my right-hand? (ch. xlii. 10.) So far from it, that at this very time they said, We are innocent, we have not sinned, (Jer. ii. 35, 37.) We are wise, and the law of the Lord is with us, (ch. viii. 8.) The temple of the Lord! the temple of the Lord are we," (ch. vii. 4.)

15. Thus it was that they hardened themselves in their wickedness. "They are impudent children, saith God, and stiff-hearted, (Ezek. ii. 4.) Were they ashamed when they had committed abomination? Nay they were not at all ashamed, neither could they blush, (Jer. vi. 15.) I have spread out my hand all the day to a rebellious people, that provoketh me to anger continually to my face, (Isa. lxv. 2, 3.) They will not hearken unto me, saith the Lord, for all the house of Israel are impudent and hard-hearted, (Ezek. iii. 7.) Since the day that their fathers came forth out of the land of Egypt unto this day, I have sent unto them all my servants the prophets, rising up early and sending them;

them; yet they hearkened not unto me, nor inclined their ear, but hardened their neck; they did worse than their fathers," (Jer. vi. 25, 26.)

They were equally hardened against mercies and judgments. When he gave them rain, both the former and the latter in his season; when he reserved unto them the appointed weeks of the harvest, filling their hearts with food and gladness, still none of this revolting and rebellious people said, "Let us now fear the Lord our God," (Jer. v. 23, 24.) "Nor yet did they turn unto him when he smote them, (ch. ix. 9. 13.) "In that day did the Lord call to weeping and to mourning: and behold joy and gladness, eating flesh and drinking wine: Let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we shall die," (ch. xxii. 11, 12.) "Although he consumed them, yet they refused to receive instruction; they made their faces harder than a rock — None repented him, but every one turned to his course, as a horse rusheth into the battle," (Jer. v. 3. ch. viii. 6.) "I have given you want of bread in all your places, yet have ye not returned unto me, saith the Lord. I have also with-holden the rain from you when there were yet three months unto the harvest — I have smitten you with blasting and mildew; your gardens and your vineyards the palmer worm devoured. — I have sent among you the pestilence after the manner of Egypt; your young men have I slain with the sword — I have overthrown some of you, as God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah, and ye were as a firebrand plucked out of the burning; yet have ye not returned unto me, saith the Lord," (Amos iv. 6—11.)

16. In consequence of their resolution not to return, they would not endure strong doctrine, or those that spake it. They "said to the seers, See not, and to the prophets, prophesy not unto us right things—Speak unto us smooth things—Cause the Holy One of Israel to cease from before us, (Isa. xxx. 10, 11.) But they hated him that rebuked in the gate, and they abhorred him that spake uprightly, (Amos v. 10.) Accordingly, "thy people, (said God to Ezekiel) still are talking against thee, by the walls, and in the doors of the houses, (ch. xxxiii. 30.) And Amaziah the priest sent to Jereboam,

king of Israel, saying, Amos hath conspired against thee in the midst of the house of Israel; the land is not able to bear all his words. Also Amaziah said unto Amos, Go, flee thee away into the land of Judah, and prophesy there. But prophesy not again any more at Bethel, for it is the king's chapel, and it is the king's court," (ch. vii. 10, 12, 13.) From the same spirit it was that they said of Jeremiah, "Come, and let us devise devices against him——Come and let us smite him with the tongue, and let us not give heed to any of his words," (ch. xviii. 18.) Hence it was that he was constrained to cry out, "O Lord, I am in derision daily; every one mocketh me. Since I spake, the word of the Lord was made a reproach unto me, and a derision daily: for I heard the defaming of many, fear on every side: Report, say they, and we will report it: all my familiars watched for my halting: saying, Peradventure he will be enticed, and we shall prevail against him, and we shall take our revenge on him," (ch. xx. 7, 8, 10.) And elsewhere, "Woe is me, my mother, that thou hast borne me a man of strife, and a man of contention to the whole earth. I have neither lent on usury, nor men have lent to me on usury: yet every one of them doth curse me," (ch. xv. 19.)

17. "But if a man walking in the spirit of falsehood, do lie (said the prophet Micah) saying, I will prophesy unto thee of wine and strong drink, he shall even be the prophet of this people," (ch. ii. 11.) And God gave them pastors after their own hearts: such were those sons of Eli, sons of Belial, who knew not the Lord, (1 Sam. ii. 12.) rapacious, covetous, violent men, (ver. 14, 15, 16.) by reason of whom men abhorred the offering of the Lord, (ver. 17.) who not only made themselves vile, (ch. iii. 13.) but also made the Lord's people to transgress, (ch. ii. 24.) while they made themselves fat with the chiefest of all the offerings of Israel, (ver. 29.) Such were those of whom Isaiah says, "the priest and the prophet have erred thro' strong drink; they are swallowed up of wine, (ch. xxviii. 7.) Come ye, say they, I will fetch wine, and we will fill ourselves with strong drink: and to-morrow shall be as this day, and much more abundant, (ch. lvi.

(ch. lvi. 12.) Therefore, saith he, the Lord hath poured out upon you the spirit of deep sleep, and hath closed your eyes: the prophets and the seers hath he covered; and the vision of all is become unto you, as the words of a book that is sealed," (ch. xxix. 10, 11.) Such also were those of whom he said, "His watchmen are blind, they are all ignorant: they are all dumb dogs; they cannot bark, sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber. Greedy dogs, which can never have enough, and they are shepherds that cannot understand. They all look to their own way, every one for his gain, from his quarter," (ch. lvi. 10, 11.)

Little better were those of whom the prophets that followed have left us so dreadful an account; "both prophet and priest are profane; yea, in my house have I found their wickedness, saith the Lord. And from the prophets of Jerusalem, is profaneness gone forth into all the land, Jer. xxiii. 11, 15. Her priests have violated my law, and have profaned my holy things: they have put no difference between the holy and the profane, and I am profaned among them, Ezek. xxii. 26. If I be a father, where is mine honour; and if I be a master, where is my fear? saith the Lord of Hosts unto you, O priests, that despise my Name," Mal. i. 6.

Yea some of them were fallen into the grossest sins. "The company of priests, said Hosea, commit lewdness: there is whoredom in Ephraim, Israel is defiled, ch. vi. 9, 10. I have seen also in the prophets of Jerusalem (saith God by Jeremiah) a horrible thing; they commit adultery and walk in lies," ch. xxiii. 14.

18. And those who were clear of this were deeply covetous. "Who is there among you that would shut the doors for nought? Neither do ye kindle fire on my altar for nought. I have no pleasure in you, saith the Lord of Hosts, Mal. i. 10. The priests of Zion preach for hire, and the prophets thereof divine for money. Yet will they lean upon the Lord, and say, Is not the Lord among us? Mic. iii. 11. Thus saith the Lord, The prophets bite with their teeth, and cry peace: and he that putteth not into their mouths, they even prepare war against him, ch. iii. 5. Therefore the word of the Lord came unto Ezekiel, saying, Pro-

phesy against the shepherds of Israel, and say, Woe be to the shepherds of Israel that do feed themselves: should not the shepherds feed the flocks? Ye eat the fat, and ye clothe you with the wool; but ye feed not the flock. The diseased have ye not strengthened, neither have ye healed that which was sick; neither have ye bound up that which was broken, neither have ye brought again that which was driven away, neither have ye fought that which was lost; but with force and with cruelty have ye ruled them. And they were scattered because there is no shepherd, and they became meat to all the beasts of the field. Yea, my flock was scattered upon all the face of the earth, and none did search and seek after them," ch. xxxiv. 1—6.

19. To the same effect do the other prophets declare, "Ye are departed out of the way, ye have caused many to stumble.—Therefore have I also made you contemptible and base before all the people, Mal. ii. 8, 9. From the prophet even unto the priest, every one dealeth falsely. They have healed also the hurt of the daughter of my people slightly, saying, Peace, peace, when there is no peace, Jer. vi. 13, 14. They prophesy lies in my name, ch. xiv. 14. They say still unto them that despise me, The Lord hath said, Ye shall have peace; and they say unto every man that walketh after the imagination of his own heart, No evil shall come upon you, ch. xxiii. 17. The prophets of Jerusalem strengthen the hands of the evil-doers, that none doth return from his wickedness, ver. 14. They have seduced my people; and one built up a wall, and lo, others daubed it with untempered mortar, Ezek. xiii. 10. With lies they have made the hearts of the righteous sad, whom I have not made sad; and strengthened the hands of the wicked, that he should not return from his wicked way, by promising him life, ver. 22. Many pastors have destroyed my vineyard, they have trodden my portion under foot; they have made my pleasant portion a desolate wilderness, Jer. xxii. 10. There is a conspiracy of her prophets in the midst of her, like a roaring lion, ravening the prey. They have devoured souls, Ezek. xxii. 25. Thus saith the Lord, Feed the flock of the slaughter: whose possessors slay them, and hold.

hold themselves not guilty ; and they that sell them say Blessed be the Lord, for I am rich ; and their own shepherds pitied them not," Zach. xi. 4, 5.

II. 1. Such is the general account which the scriptures give of the Jews, the antient church of God. And since all these things were *written for our instruction*, who are now the visible church of the God of Israel, I shall in the next place appeal to all who profess this, to every one who calls himself a *Christian*, how far in each instance the parallel holds ? and how much *we* are better than *they* ?

And first, Were they *discontented* ? Did they *repine* at the providence of God ? Did they say, *Is the Lord among us or not ?* when they were in imminent danger, or pressing want, and saw no way to escape ? And which of us can say, *I am clear from this sin* : I have washed my hand and my heart in innocency ? Have not we who *judge others, done the same things ? murmured and repined* times without number ? yea, and that when we were not in pressing want, nor distressed with imminent danger ? Are we not in general, (our own writers being the judges) have we not ever been from the earliest ages, a *repining, murmuring, discontented people*, never long satisfied either with God or man ? Surely in this we have great need to humble ourselves before God ; for we are in nowise better than they.

But *Jeshurun forsook God which made him, and lightly esteemed the Rock of his salvation*. And did not England too ? Ask ye of the generations of old, enquire from the rising of the sun to the going down thereof, whether there was ever a people called by his name, which had less of God in all their thoughts ? Who in the whole tenor of their behaviour shewed so *light an esteem for the Rock of our salvation* ?

Could there ever be stronger cause for God to cry out, *Hear, O Heavens, and give ear, O earth !* For hath he not nourished and brought us up as his children ? And yet, *how have we rebelled against him !* If Israel of old did not know God ; if his ancient people did not consider :

was

was this peculiar to them? Are not we also under the very same condemnation? Do we, as a people, know God? Do we consider him as God? Do we tremble at the presence of his power? Do we revere his excellent Majesty? Do we remember at all times, God is here? He is now reading my heart: he spieth out all my ways: there is not a word in my tongue but he knoweth it altogether: is this the character of us *English Christians*? The mark whereby we are known from the *Heathen*? Do we thus know God? Thus consider his power, his love, his all-seeing eye? Rather, are we not likewise a *sinful nation, who have forgotten him days without number! A people laden with iniquity, continually forsaking the Lord, and provoking the Holy One of Israel.*

2. There is indeed a wide difference, in this respect, between the Jews and us; they happened (if I may so speak) to forget God, because other things came in their way: but we design to forget him; we do it of set purpose, because we do not like to remember him. From the accounts given by Jeremiah, we have reason to believe, that when that people was most deeply corrupted, yet the greatest men in the nation, the ministers of state, the nobles and princes of Judah, talked of God sometimes, perhaps, as frequently as upon any other subject. But is it so among us? Rather, is it not a point of good breeding to put God far away, out of their sight? Is he talked of at all among the great? The nobles or ministers of state in England? Among any persons of rank or figure in the world? Do they allow God any place in their conversation? From day to day, from year to year, do you discourse one hour of the wonders he doth for the children of men? If one at a gentleman or a nobleman's table was to begin a discourse, of the wisdom, greatness, or power of God, would it not occasion (at least) as much astonishment, as if he had begun to talk blasphemy? And if the unbred man persisted therein, would it not put all the company into confusion? And what do you sincerely believe the more favourable part would say of him when he was gone? But that — “He is a little touched

, in

in his head!" or, "Poor man! he has not seen the world."

You know, this is the naked truth. But how terrible is the thought to every serious mind! Into what a state is this *Christian* nation fallen! Nay the men of eminence, of fortune, of education! Would not a thinking foreigner, who should be present at such an interview, be apt to conclude, that the men of quality in England were Atheists? That they did not believe there was any God at all; or, at best only an Epicurean God, who sat at ease upon the circle of the heavens, and did not concern himself about us worms of the earth? Nay, but he understands every thought now rising in your heart. And how long can you put him out of your sight? Only till this veil of flesh is rent in sunder. For your pomp will not then follow you. Will not your body be mingled with common dust? And your soul stand naked before God? O that you would now *acquaint yourself with God*, that you may then be clothed with glory and immortality!

3. Did God complain of the Jews, *even from the days of your fathers ye are gone away from mine ordinances, and have not kept them?* And how justly may he make the same complaint of us? For how exceeding small a proportion do we find of those in any place who call themselves Christians, that make a conscience of attending them? Does one third of the inhabitants in any one parish throughout this great city, constantly attend public prayer and the ministry of his word, as of conscience towards God? Does one tenth of those who acknowledge it is an institution of Christ, duly attend the Lord's Supper? Does a fiftieth part of the nominal members of the Church of England observe the *Fasts* of the Church, or so much as the forty days of Lent, and all Fridays in the year? Who of these then can cast the first stone at the Jews, for neglecting the ordinances of God?

Nay, how many thousands are found among us, who have never partook of the Supper of the Lord! How many thousands are there, that live and die in this unrepented disobedience? What multitudes, even in this Christian city, do not attend any public worship

at

at all? No, nor spend a single hour from one year to another in privately pouring out their hearts before God? Whether God *meeteth him that remembereth him in his ways* or not, is no concern of theirs: so the man eats and drinks, and *dies as a beast dieth*,

“ Drops into the dark and disappears.”

It was not therefore of the children of Israel alone, that the messenger of God might say, *There is none (comparatively) that calleth upon thy name, that stirreth himself up to take hold of Thee.*

4. Ye have heard, that it was said to them of old times, *because of swearing the land mourneth*. But if this might be said of the land of Canaan, how much more of this land? In what city or town, in what market or exchange, in what street or place of public resort, is not the holy *Name whereby we are called* taken in vain, day by day? From the noble to the peasant, who fails to call upon God, in this, if in no other way? Whither can you turn, where can you go, without hearing some praying to God for damnation, either on his neighbour or himself? Cursing those, without either fear or remorse, whom Christ hath bought to inherit a blessing!

Are you one of these stupid, senseless, shameless wretches, that call so earnestly for damnation on your own soul? What if God should take you at your word? Are you *able to dwell with everlasting burnings*? If you are, why should you be in haste, to be in the *lake of fire burning with brimstone*? God help you, or you will be there soon enough, and long enough; for that *fire is not quenched*! But the *smoke thereof ascendeth up, day and night, for ever and ever.*

And what is that important affair, concerning which you was but now appealing to God? Was you *calling God to record upon your soul*, touching your everlasting salvation? No; but touching the beauty of your horse, the swiftness of your dog, or the goodness of your drink! How is this? What notion have you of God? What do you take him to be?

*Idcirco stolidam præbet tibi vellere barbam
Jupiter — ?*

What

What stupidity, what infatuation is this! Thus without either pleasure, or profit, or praise, to set at nought him that hath *all power both in heaven and earth*! Wantonly to *provoke the eyes of his glory*!

Are you a man of letters, who are sunk so low? I will not send you to the inspired writers (so called: perhaps you disdain to receive instruction by them) but to the old, blind heathen. Could you only fix in your mind the idea he had of God, (though it is not strictly just, unless we refer it to God made man) you would never thus affront him more,

Ἦ, καὶ κυανέησιν ἐπ' ὀφρύσι νεῦσε Κρονίων

Ἀμβροσία δ' ἄρα χαῖται ἐπερ' ῥώσαντο Ἀνακλῶ

Κρατῶ ἀπ' ἀθανάτοιο μέγαν δ' ἐλέλιξεν Ολυμπον.

Shall not the very heathen then *rise up in judgment against this generation, and condemn it*? Yea, and not only the learned heathens of Greece and Rome, but the savages of America. For I never remember to have heard a wild Indian name the name of *Sootaleicatee*, (him that sitteth in heaven) without either laying his hand upon his breast, or casting his eyes down to the ground. And you are a Christian! O how do you cause the very name of Christianity to be blasphemed among the heathen!

5. But is it *light swearing* only, (inexcusable as that is) because of which our land mourneth? May it not also be said of us, *Though they say the Lord liveth, surely they swear falsely*? Yea, to such a degree, that there is hardly the like in any nation under heaven; that almost every corner of the land is filled with wilful, deliberate perjury.

I speak not now of the perjuries which every common swearer cannot but run into day by day. (And indeed common "swearing notoriously contributes to the growth of perjury. For oaths are little minded when common use has sullied them, and every minute's repetition has made them cheap and vulgar.") Nor of those which are continually committed and often detected in our open courts of justice. Only with regard to the latter I must remark, that they are a natural consequence, of that monstrous, shocking manner, wherein
oaths

oaths are usually administered therein : without any decency or seriousness at all ; much less with that awful solemnity, which a rational heathen would expect, in an immediate appeal to the great God of heaven.

I had once designed to consider all the oaths which are customarily taken by any set of men among us. But I soon found this was a work too weighty for me : so almost in *infinitum* are oaths multiplied in England : I suppose to a degree which is not known in any other nation in Europe.

What I now propose is, to instance only in a few, (but those not of small importance) and to shew, how amazingly little regard is had, to what is solemnly promised or affirmed before God.

6. This is done, in part, to my hands by a late author. So far as he goes, I shall little more than transcribe his words. (Mr. Disney's first Essay, p. 30.)

"When a Justice of the Peace is sworn into the commission, he makes oath — "That he shall do equal right to the poor and to the rich, after his cunning, wit and power, and after the laws and customs of the realm and statutes thereof made, in all articles in the king's commission to him directed.—What those articles are, you will find in the first Assignavimus of the Commission : "we have assigned you and every one of you, jointly and severally—to keep and cause to be kept, all ordinances and statutes, made for—the quiet, rule, and government of our people, in all and every the articles thereof, according to the force, form, and effect of the same, and to chastise and punish all persons, offending against any of them, according to the form of those statutes and ordinances." So that he is solemnly sworn to the execution of all such statutes, as the legislative power of the nation has thought fit to throw upon his care. Such are all those (among others) made against drunkenness, tipling, profane swearing, blasphemy ; lewd and disorderly practices, and profanation of the Lord's day." And it is hard to imagine how a Justice of Peace can think himself more concerned, to suppress riots, or private quarrels, than he is to levy twelve pence on a profane swearer, five shillings on a drunkard, ten shillings on a public-house that suffers tipling,

tipling, or any other penalty which the law exacts of vice and immorality. The same oath binds him both to one and the other, laying an equal obligation upon his conscience. How a magistrate, who neglects to punish excess, profaneness and impiety, can excuse himself from the guilt of perjury, I do not pretend to know. If he reasons fairly, he will find himself as much forsworn, as an evidence who being upon oath, to declare *the whole truth*, nevertheless conceals *the most considerable part* of it. And his perjury is so much the more infamous, as the ill example and effects of it will be mischievous."

7. The same author (in the preface to his second essay) goes on :

" You gentlemen of the *grand juries*, take a solemn oath, That you will diligently enquire, and true presentment make, of all such articles, matters, and things as shall be given you in charge : as also, that you will (not only present no person for envy, hatred, or malice, but) not leave any unpresented, for fear, favour, or affection. Now, are not the laws against immorality and profaneness *given you in charge*, as well as those against riots, felony, and treason ? Are not presentment and indictment one method expressly appointed by the statutes, for the punishment of *drunkenness* and *tipling* ? Are not the houses of *bawdry* and *gaming*, punishable in the same courts, and consequently *presentable* by you ? Is not the proclamation for the punishing of vice, profaneness, and immorality, always read before you as soon as you are sworn ? And does not the judge of assize, or chairman of the bench, in the charge given immediately after the reading it, either recite to you the particular laws against such offences, or refer you for them to that proclamation ? It is plain from all this, that you are bound upon your oaths, to *present all vice and immorality*, as well as other crimes, that fall within your knowledge, because they are expressly *given you in charge*. And this you are to do, not only when evidence is offered before you by the information of others, but with regard to all such offences as you, or any of you are able of *your own personal knowledge* to present : all which you have sworn to do impartially, without fear, favour, or affection."

I leave it now with all reasonable men to consider,

Q

how

how few *grand juries* perform this? And consequently, what multitudes of them, throughout the nation, fall under the guilt of wilful perjury!

8. The author proceeds, p. 8. "I shall next address myself to you that are constables. And to you I must needs say, That if you know your duty, it is no thanks to us that are justices. For the oath we usually give you is so short, and in such general terms, that it leaves with you no manner of instruction in the particulars of the office to which you are sworn. But that which ought to be given you, recites part of your duty in the following words:

"You shall do your best endeavour, that rogues, vagabonds, and night-walkers, be apprehended; and that the statutes made for their punishment, be duly put in execution: you shall have a watchful eye to such as shall keep any house or place where any unlawful game is used: as also to such as shall frequent such places, or shall use any unlawful games, there or elsewhere. You shall present all and every the offences contrary to the statutes made to restrain tipling in inns, alehouses, and other victualling houses, and for repressing of drunkenness. You shall once in the year, during your office, present all *popish recusants*. You shall well and duly execute all precepts and warrants to you directed. And you shall well and duly, according to your knowledge, power, and ability, do and execute all other things belonging to the office of a constable, so long as you shall continue therein."

Upon this, "I would observe first, That *actors of plays* are expressed by name within the statute, to be taken up for vagabonds, and punished accordingly; and that though a statute of queen Elizabeth's excepts such companies as have a license under the hand and seal of a nobleman, yet a later statute in the reign of king James I. has taken away that protection from them; by declaring, That *from henceforth no authority to be given by any peer of the realm, shall be available to free or discharge them from the pains and punishments of that former statute*. Every constable therefore in those parishes, where any of these *strolling players* come, is bound by his oath, to seize upon, correct, and send them packing without delay."

"The

“ The next part of your oath obliges you to keep a watchful eye, on such houses as keep, and such persons as use unlawful gaming. The statute directs you weekly, or at least monthly, to search within your liberties, all houses or places suspected of this offence, and upon discovering, to bring them to punishment. Upon this article, I would observe, 1. That the law makes some allowance for artificers, husbandmen, apprentices, labourers and servants, *to play in Christmas*, but at no other time of the year; and 2. That *sports and pastimes* whatsoever are made unlawful upon the Lord’s day, by a statute of king Charles II. You are therefore bound upon oath, to bring to punishment such as are guilty of profaning that day by any sports or pastimes whatsoever.”

The following parts of your oath are, 1. That you shall present all and every the offences of tipling and drunkenness that come to your knowledge; 2. That you shall once in the year present all *popish recusants*. Nay, and by the statutes on which your oath is grounded, you are obliged once a year to *present in session*, all those within your parishes, who (not being Dissenters) come not once in a month, at least, to Church. And 3. That you shall well and duly execute all precepts and warrants to you directed. I believe no constable will pretend to be ignorant of this. How is it then, that when we send out warrants, to levy on offenders for swearing, drunkenness, and the like, those warrants are so ill obeyed? Are you not sworn to execute these as well as any other, and that duly too, according to the tenor of your precept? Your precept tells you, you shall demand such a sum, and if the offender will not pay, you shall *levy it by distress of his goods*: and if no distress can be taken, you are then only to set him *in the stocks*; otherwise you have no authority so to do: nor is the setting him in the stocks, when you might have distrained, any execution of your precept.”

“ The last part of your oath is in genetal terms, That you shall well and duly, according to your knowledge, power, and ability, do and execute all other things belonging to the office of a constable. I shall

instance in some things which certainly belong to your office, because you, and none else, can do them. 1. A constable may, without a warrant, apprehend any persons, and carry them before a Justice, who are driving carts and horses, or cattle on the Lord's day: 2. He may, do the same, without a warrant, to such as he may find at sports or pastimes on that day. 3. To such as he shall find tippling in the public houses: 4. Shopkeepers selling or exposing goods to sale on the Lord's day; and lastly, to such as he shall find drunk or blaspheming, or profanely swearing or cursing."

Thus I have shewn you, in part, *what belongs to your office*: it is well, if according to the tenor of your oath, you *duly to the best of your knowledge and ability, do and execute all these things*. But remember, that, if you do not, if you neglect any of them, you are *forsworn*.

Now let all men judge, how many constables in *England* are clear of wilful perjury!

9. "I will now (he goes on) address myself to church-wardens. Your oath is, "That you shall well and truly execute the office of a church-warden, for the ensuing year; and to the best of your skill and knowledge, present such persons and things, as are presentable, by the ecclesiastical laws of this realm." I shall set down only a few of these.

"The statute of king James I. obliges you to present once a year, all monthly Absenters from Church."

"The 90th Canon enjoins you, first to admonish and then, if they reform not, to present all your parishioners who do not duly resort to Church on Sundays, and there continue the *whole time of divine service*. On this Article observe, 1. That a person's being absent from Church, is ground sufficient for you to proceed. 2. That you are not only to present, those who do not come to Church, but also those that behave irreverently or indecently there, either walking about or talking; all who do not *abide there orderly and soberly*, the whole time of Service and Sermon, and all that loiter away any part of that time in the church-yard or in the fields."

"The 112th Canon enjoins you, within 40 days after Easter, to exhibit to the Bishop or his Chancellor,

cellor, the names of all above the age of sixteen, within your parish that did not receive the Communion."

"Other statutes oblige you to present drunkenness, tippling, and public houses suffering persons to tipple in them."

"And the 109th Canon binds you to present all manner of Vice, Profaneness and Debauchery, requiring you faithfully to present all and every the offenders in Adultery, Whoredom, Drunkenness, profane Swearing, or any other Uncleaness and wickedness of life." It is therefore a part of that office to which you are solemnly sworn, to prevent not only Drunkenness and Tippling, but profane Swearing, Lewdness, and whatsoever else is contrary to Christian Piety. So that if you know any of your parishioners, be his quality or circumstances what they will, that is guilty of any of these, you are obliged to present him at the next Visitation, or you are yourselves guilty of Perjury. And the 26th Canon expresses such an abhorrence of a church-warden's neglect in this matter, that it forbids the Minister, in anywise, to admit you to the holy communion, *who (as the words of the Canon are) having taken your oaths to present all such offence in your several parishes, shall notwithstanding your said oath, either in neglecting or refusing to present, wittingly and willingly, desperately and irreligiously incur, the horrid guilt of perjury.*"

And who is clear? I appeal to every Minister of a parish, from one end of England to the other, How many church-wardens have you known, in twenty, thirty, forty years, who did not thus *desperately and irregiously incur, the horried guilt of perjury?*

10. I proceed to perjuries of another kind. The Oath taken by all *Captains of ships*, every time they return from a trading voyage, runs in these terms:

"I do swear, that the entry above written, now tendered and subscribed by me, is a just report of the name of my ship, its burthen, built, property, number and country of mariners, the present Master and voyage: and that it doth farther contain a true account of my lading, with the particular marks, numbers, quantity, quality and consignment, of all the goods

and merchandizes in my said ship, to the best of my knowledge; and that I have not broke bulk, or delivered any goods out of my said ship, since her loading in. So help me God."

These words are so clear, express, and unambiguous, that they require no explanation. But who takes this plain oath without being knowingly and deliberately forsworn? Does one captain in fifty? Does one in five hundred? May we not go farther yet? Are there five captains of vessels now in London, who have not at one time or another, by this very oath, which they knew to be false when they took it, incurred the guilt of *wilful perjury*?

11. The oath which all officers of his Majesty's customs, take at their admission into their office, runs thus:

"I do swear to be true and faithful in the execution, to the best of my knowledge and power, of the trust committed to my charge and inspection, in the service of his Majesty's Customs: and that I will not take or receive, any reward or gratuity, directly or indirectly, other than my salary, or what is or shall be allowed me from the Crown, or the regular fees established by law, for any service done or to be done in the execution of my employment in the Customs, on any account whatsoever. So help me God."

On this it may be observed, 1. That there are regular fees, established by law, for some of these Officers; 2. That the rest do hereby engage, not to take or receive any reward or gratuity, directly or indirectly, other than their salary or allowance from the Crown, on any account whatsoever.

How do the former keep this solemn engagement? They whose fees are established by law? Do they take those established fees and no more? Do they not receive any farther gratuity? Not on any account whatsoever? If they do they are undeniably guilty of *wilful perjury*.

And do the latter take no fees at all? Do they receive no reward or gratuity, for any service done, or to be done, in the execution of their employment? Do they not take any money, directly or indirectly, on
any

any account whatsoever? Every time they do receive either more or less, they also are flatly forsworn.

Yet who scruples either the one or the other? Either taking a *larger fee* than the law appoints? On the taking *any fee*, large or small, which is offered, even where the law appoints none at all?

What innumerable Perjuries then are here committed, over and over, day by day! And without any remorse; without any shame! Without any fear either of God or Man!

12. I will produce but one instance more. The oath of one who votes for a Member of Parliament is this:

"I do swear, I have not received or had, by myself, or of any person whatsoever in trust for me, or for my use and benefit, directly or indirectly, any sum or sums of money, office, place or employment, gift or reward, or any promise or security for any money, office, employment or gift, in order to give my Vote at this Election, and that I have not before been polled at this Election. So help me God."

We may observe here, 1. That this Oath is taken, once in seven years (if not oftner) by all the Freeholders, in every county throughout England and Wales, as well as all the Freemen in every City and Borough-Town; and 2. That hereby every Voter swears, in words liable to no evasion, That he has not received, directly or indirectly, any *gift* or *reward*, or promise of any.

But (to pass over those godless and shameless wretches, who frequently vote twice at one Election) How few are there, who can take this Oath with a Conscience void of offence? Who have not received, directly or indirectly any gift or promise of any? No! have not you? If you have received nothing else, have not you received meat or drink? and did you pay for the meat or drink you received? If not, that was a gift; and consequently, you are as really perjured, as the man that has received a hundred pounds.

What a melancholy prospect is then before us! Here are almost all the common people of any substance throughout the land, both in the city and country

country, calling God to record, to *known, wilful falsehoods!*

13. I shall conclude this head in the weighty words of the Author before cited.

“Most of these, I am afraid, look upon their Oaths as *things of course*, and little to be regarded. But can there be any thing in the world more sacred than an Oath? Is it not a solemn Appeal to God for your sincerity? And is not that very appeal an acknowledgement, that he will surely, punish falsehood? Nay farther, is it not a calling down the vengeance of God upon yourselves if you are false? Do you not, by laying your hand upon the Gospel, declare, That *you hope for no Salvation by Christ*, if you perform not what you then promise, or, if what you then affirm, is not true? And do not the words, *So help me God*, sufficiently prove, that the intention of your oath is so? And that if you swear false, you are to expect no mercy from God, either in this world or the next? And do you not personally and expressly give your consent to this heavy curse, by *kissing the Book*? How then dare any of you to venture to play with so awful an engagement? Is it that you think the oath of a *Grand Jury-man*, or *Parish-Officer*, (of a *Captain*, an *Officer of the Customs*, or a *Voter* in Elections) “is not as sacred and binding as that of an *Evidence at the Bar*? What is it can make the difference? Both of them are equally Appeals to God, and Imprecations of his Vengeance upon *wilful Perjury*.”

14. If there be then a God that is not mocked, what a weight of sin lies on this nation? And sin of no common dye; for Perjury has always been accounted one of the deepest stain. And how will any one attempt to excuse this? By adding Blasphemy thereto? So indeed some have done; saying, like those of old, *Tush, thou God carest not for it. The Lord seeth (i. e. regardeth us not. The Lord hath forsaken the earth. He hath left second causes to take their course, and man in the hand of his own counsel.*

How many are they who now speak thus; according to whose minute Philosophy, the particular Providence of God is utterly exploded, *the hair of our heads*.

heads are no longer numbered: and not only a sparrow, but a city, an empire, may *fall to the ground, without the will or care of our heavenly Father.* You allow then only a general Providence. I do not understand the term. Be so kind as to let me know, what you mean by a "General Providence, contradistinguished from a particular one?" I doubt you are at a loss for an answer; unless you mean some huge, unweildy thing, (I suppose resembling the *Primum Mobile* in the *Ptolemaick* System) which continually whirls the whole Universe round, without affecting one thing more than another. I doubt this Hypothesis will demand more proof, than you are at present able to produce; beside that it is attended with a thousand difficulties, such as you cannot readily solve. It may be therefore your wisest way for once to think with the vulgar, to acquiesce in the plain, scriptural account. This informs us, that although God dwelleth in heaven, yet he still ruleth over all: That his Providence extends to every individual in the whole system of Beings which he hath made: that all natural Causes of every kind, depend wholly upon his will; and he increases, lessens, suspends or destroys their efficacy, according to his own good pleasure: that he uses preternatural Causes at his will, the ministry of good or of evil Angels: and that he hath never yet precluded himself from exerting his own immediate power, from speaking life or death into any of his creatures: from looking a world into being, or into nothing.

"Thinkest thou then, O man, that thou shalt escape the judgment of this great God?" O no longer "treasure up unto thyself wrath, against the day of wrath!" Thou canst not recal what is past; but now keep thyself pure, even were it at the price of all that thou hast; and acknowledge the goodness of God, in that he did not long since cut thee off, and send thee to thy own place.

15. The Jews of old were charged by God, with profaning his Sabbaths also. And do we Christians come behind them herein? (I speak of those who acknowledge the obligation.) Do we "call the sabbath a Delight, the holy of the Lord, honourable? Not do-

ing

ing our own ways, not finding our own pleasure, nor speaking our own words?" Do our "men-servants and maid-servants rest" thereon? And the "stranger that is within our gates?" Is no business, but what is really necessary, done within our house? You know in your own conscience, and God knoweth, that the very reverse of this is true.

But setting aside these things which are done as it were by stealth, whether by mean or honourable men; how many are they, in every city, as well as in this, who profane the Sabbath with a high hand? How many in this, that openly defy both God and the King, that break the laws both divine and human, by working at their trade, delivering their goods, receiving their pay, or following their ordinary business, in one branch or another, and *wiping their mouth and saying, "I do no evil?"* How many buy and sell on the Day of the Lord, even in the open streets of this city? How many open or (with some modesty) half open their shops? And when they have not the pretence of perishable goods; without any pretence at all, money is their god, and gain their godliness.——But what are all these droves in the skirts of the town, that well nigh cover the face of the earth? Till they drop one after another into the numerous receptacles prepared for them in every corner. What are these to gain by profaning the Day of the Lord? Nothing at all. *They drink in iniquity like water.* Nay many of them pay for their sin; perhaps great part of what should sustain their family the ensuing week. I know not what is *finding our own pleasure, or doing our own ways*, if this is not. What then shall we plead in your excuse? That "Many others do it as well as you?" Nay number is so far from extenuating your fault, that it aggravates it above measure. For this is open war against God. A whole army of you join together, and with one consent, in the face of the Sun, *run upon the thick bosses of his buckler.*

16. It is once mentioned in the Prophets, "In thee (Jerusalem) they have set light by father and mother." But frequent mention is made of their setting light by their civil parents, of their murmurings and rebellings
against

against their governors. Yet surely our boasting against them is excluded, even in this respect. For do not all our histories witness such a series of mutinies, seditions, factions and rebellions, as are scarce to be paralleled in any other kingdom, since the world began? And has not the wild, turbulent, ungovernable spirit of our countrymen, been continually acknowledged and lamented, (as abundance of their writings testify to this day) by the cool, rational part of the nation? Terrible effects whereof have been seen and felt, more or less, in every generation.

But did this spirit exist only in times past? Blessed be God, it is now restrained it does not break out;* but the traces thereof are still easy to be found. For whence springs this continual *speaking evil of dignities*? Of all who are at the helm of public affairs? Whence this *speaking evil of the ruler of our people*, so common among all orders of men? I do not include those whose province it is to inspect all the public administrations. But is not almost every private Gentleman in the land, every Clergyman, every Tradesman; yea every Man or Woman that has a Tongue, a Politician, a Setler of the State? Is not every Carman and Porter abundantly more knowing than the King, Lords and Commons together? Able to tell you all their foibles, to point out their faults and mistakes, and how they ought to proceed, if they will save the nation? Now all this has a natural, undeniable tendency to mutiny and rebellion. O what need have we above any nation on earth, of his continual care and protection, who alone is able to *rule the raging of the sea*, and *still the madness of the people*!

17. But to proceed. Were there "drunkards in Ephraim, mighty to drink wine, men of strength to mingle strong drink?" And are there not in England? Are they not the growth of every county, city and town therein? These do not indeed, or not often "rise up early, that they may follow strong drink;" and so "continue till night, till wine inflame them." They have found a readier way! namely, to begin at night, and continue following their wine or strong

* N. B. This was wrote a year ago.

drink till the morning: And what numbers are there of these throughout the land? Lost to Reason and Humanity, as well as to Religion: so that no wonder "they regard not the work of the Lord, neither consider the operation of his hands."

Nor indeed have our drunkards need to continue from morning to night, until wine inflame them: seeing they have found a far more compendious method of casting aside all sense and reason, and disencumbering themselves from all remains, either of Conscience or Understanding. So that whatever work of darkness is speedily to be done, and that without any danger of being interrupted, either by Fear, Compassion or Remorse, they may be in a few moments, by one draught as effectually qualified for it, as if they could swallow a legion of devils. Or, (if that be all their concern) they may at a moderate expence, destroy their own body as well as soul, and plunge through this liquid fire, into that "prepared for the devil and his angels."

Friend! Stop! you have the form of a man still. And perhaps some remains of understanding. O may the merciful God lay hold of that! Unto him all things are possible. Think a little for once. What is it you are doing? Why should you destroy yourself? I could not use the worst enemy I have in the world, as you use yourself. Why should you murder yourself inch by inch? Why should you burn yourself alive? O spare your own body at least, if you have no pity for your soul! But have you a soul then? Do you really believe it? What, a soul that must live for ever! O spare thy soul! Do not destroy thy own soul with an everlasting destruction! It was made for God. Do not give it into the hands of that old murderer of men! Thou canst not stupify it long. When it leaves the body, it will awake and sleep no more. Yet a little while, and it launches out into the great deep, to live and think, and feel for ever. And what will cheer thy spirit there, if thou hast not "a drop of water to cool thy tongue?" But the dye is not yet cast. Now cry to God, "and iniquity shall not be thy ruin."

18. Of old time there were also those that *were at ease in Zion, that lay upon beds of ivory, and stretched themselves upon their couches, that eat the lambs out of the flock, and calves out of the stall.* But how inelegant were these ancient Epicures! *Lambs out of the flock, and calves out of the stall!* Were these the best dainties they could procure? How have we improved, since Jeroboam's time? Who can number the varieties of our tables; or the arts we have "To enlarge the pleasure of tasting?" And what are their couches, or beds of ivory, to the furniture of our apartments? Or their *chains and bracelets, and mantles, and changeable suits of apparel,* to the ornaments of our persons? What comparison is there between their diversions and ours? Look at Solomon in all his glory: and yet may we not question, whether he was not an utter stranger to the *pleasures of the Chase?* And notwithstanding his 40,000 horses, did he ever see a Race in his life? He made gardens and orchards, and pools of water; he planted vineyards and built houses. But had he one theatre among them all? No. This is the glory of later times. Or had he any conception of a Ball, an Assembly, a Masquerade, or a Ridotto? And who imagines that all his instruments of music put together, were any more to be compared to ours, than his or his father's rumbling Hebrew verses,

"To the soft Sing-song of Italian lays."

In all these points our pre-eminence over the Jews, is much every way!

Yea, and over our own ancestors as well as theirs. But is this our glory or our shame? Were Edward the III^d, or Henry the Vth to come among us now, what would they think of the change in their people? Would they applaud the elegant variety at the Old Baron's table? or the costly delicacy of his furniture and apparel? Would they listen to these instruments of music? Or find pleasure in those diversions? Would they rejoice to see the Nobles and Gentry of the land, lying at ease, stretching themselves on beds of down? Too delicate to use their own limbs, even in the streets of the city: to bear the touch of the

R

people,

people, the blowing of the wind, or the shining of the sun ! O how would their hearts burn within them ! What indignation, sorrow, shame, must they feel, to see the ancient hardiness lost, the British temperance, patience, and scorn of superfluities, the rough, indefatigable industry, exchanged for softness, idleness, and fulness of bread ! Well for them, that they were gathered unto their fathers, before this exchange was made !

19. To prove at large, That the luxury and sensuality, the sloth and indolence, the softness and idleness, the effeminacy and false delicacy of our nation are without a parallel, would but be lost labour. I fear we may say, the *lewdness* too ; for if the Jews, as the Prophet speaks, “ assembled themselves by troops in the harlots’ houses,” so do the English, and much more abundantly. Indeed, where is male chastity to be found ? Among the Nobility ? Among the Gentry ? Among the Tradesmen ? or among the common people of England ? How few lay any claim to it at all ? How few desire so much as the reputation of it ? Would you yourself account it an honour or a reproach to be ranked among those of whom it is said, “ These are they which were not defiled with women ; for they are virgins ? ” And how numerous are they now, even among such as are accounted men of honour and probity, “ who are as fed horses, every one neighing after his neighbour’s wife ? ”

But, as if this were not enough, is not the sin of Sodom too, more common among us than ever it was in Jerusalem ? Are not our streets beset with those monsters of uncleanness, who “ burn in their lust one toward another,” whom God hath “ given up to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient ? ” O Lord, thy compassions fail not : therefore we are not consumed.

20. Neither do we yield to them in *injustice* any more than in *uncleanness*. How frequent are open robberies among us ? Is not the act of violence even in our streets ? And what laws are sufficient to prevent it ? Does not *theft* of various kinds abound in all parts of the land, even though death be the punishment of it ?

And

And are there not among us, who "take usury and increase," who "greedily gain of their neighbour by extortion?" Yea, whole trades which subsist by such extortion, as was not named either among the Jews or Heathens? "Is there not yet the scant measure, the wicked balances, and the bag of deceitful weights?" Beside the thousand nameless ways of over-reaching and defrauding, the craft and mystery of every trade and profession. It were an endless task to descend to particulars, to point out in every circumstance, how not only sharpers and gamesters, (those public nuisances, those scandals to the English nation) but high and low, rich and poor, men of character and men of note, in every station of public or private life, have corrupted themselves, and generally applaud themselves and count it policy and wisdom so to do: so that if *gain* be at hand, they care not, though *justice stand afar off*: so that he "which departeth from evil," which cometh not into their secret, still "maketh himself a prey;" and "the wicked still devoureth the man that is more righteous than he."

And what redress? Suppose a great man to oppress the needy? Suppose the rich grinds the face of the poor: what remedy against such oppression can he find in this Christian country? If the one is rich and the other poor, doth not justice stand afar off? And is not the poor under the utmost improbability, (if not impossibility) of obtaining it? Perhaps the hazard is greater among us, than either among Jews, Turks, or Heathens.

For Example. Suppose a great man, with or without form of law, does wrong to his poor neighbour. What will he do? Sue his Lordship at common law? Have the cause tried at the next Sessions or Assizes? Alas! your own neighbours, those who know the whole case, will tell you, "You are out of your senses." "But twelve good men and true will do me justice." Very well: but where will you find them: men unbiassed, incapable of corruption, superior both to fear and favour, to every view whether of gain or loss? But this is not all; they must not only be good and true, but wise and understanding men. Else how

easy is it for a skilful pleader to throw a mist before their eyes? Even supposing too the judge to be quite impartial, and proof against all corruption. And should all these circumstances concur, (of which I fear there are not many precedents) supposing a verdict is given in your favour, still you have gained nothing. The suit is removed into a higher Court, and you have all your work to begin again. Here you have to struggle with all the same difficulties as before, and perhaps many new ones too. However, if you have money enough, you may succeed: but if that fails, your cause is gone. Without money, you can have no more law; poverty alone utterly shuts out justice.

But, "cannot an honest Attorney procure me justice?" An *honest* Attorney! Where will you find one? Of those who are called *exceeding honest* Attornies, who is there that makes any scruple,

1. To promote and encourage needless suits, if not unjust ones too?

2. To defend a bad Cause, knowing it so to be:

By making a Demur, and then withdrawing it:

By pleading some false Plea, to the Plaintiff's Declaration:

By putting an evasive Answer to his Bill:

By protracting the Suit, if possible, till the Plaintiff is ruined:

3. To carry a Cause not amounting to ten shillings into Westminster-Hall, by laying it in his Declaration as above forty:

4. To delay his own Client's Suit knowingly and wilfully, in order to gain thereby:

5. To draw himself the Pleadings or Conveyances of his Client, instead of giving them to be drawn by able Counsel.

6. To charge his Client with the Fees which should have been given to such Counsel, although they were not given:

7. To charge for drawing fair Copies, where none were drawn:

8. To charge Fees for expedition given to Clerks, when not one farthing has been given them:

9. To

9. To send his Clerk a journey (longer or shorter,) to do business with or for different persons; and to charge the horse-hire and expence of that journey to every person severally:

10. To send his Clerk to Westminster, on the business of ten (it may be) or twenty persons, and to charge each of those twenty for his attendance, as if he had been sent on account of one only:

11. To charge his own Attendance in like manner: And,

12. To fill up his Bill with Attendances, Fees, and Term-fees, though his Client is no whit forwarder in his cause.

This is he that is called an *honest Attorney*! How much *honest*er is a pick-pocket?

But there is a Magistrate whose peculiar office it is, to redress the injured and oppressed. Go then, and make trial of this remedy; go, and tell your case to the Lord Chancellor. Hold; you must go on regularly: you must tell him your case, in form of law, or not at all. You must therefore file a Bill in Chancery, and retain a Lawyer belonging to that Court. "But you have already spent all you have; you have no money." Then I fear you will have no justice. You stumble at the threshold. If you have either lost or spent all, your Cause is naught: it will not even come to a hearing. So, if the oppressor has secured all that you had, he is as safe as if you was under the earth.

21. Now what an amazing thing is this! The very greatness of the villainy makes it beyond redress!—But suppose he that is oppressed, has some substance left, and can go through all the Courts of Justice, what parallel can we find among Jews, Turks, or Heathens, for either the *delays* or the *expences* attending it? With regard to the former, how monstrous is it, that in a suit relating to that inheritance, which is to furnish you and your family with food and raiment, you must wait month after month, perhaps year after year, before it is determined, whether it be yours or not? And what are you to *eat*, or to *wear* in the mean time? Of that the Court takes no cognizance! Is not this very *delay*,

(suppose there were no other grievance attending the English course of law) wrong beyond all expression? Contrary to all Sense, Reason, Justice, and Equity? A capital Cause is tried in one day, and finally decided at once. And, is the life less than meat? Or the body of less concern than raiment? What a shameful mockery of Justice then, is this putting off Pecuniary Causes from Term to Term, yea, from Year to Year?

With regard to the latter. A man has wronged me of a hundred pounds. I appeal to a Judge for the recovery of it. How astonishing is it, that this Judge himself cannot give me what is my right, and what evidently appears so to be, unless I first give, perhaps one half of the sum, to men I never saw before in my life!

22. I have hitherto supposed, that all Causes when they are decided, are decided according to justice and equity. But is it so? Ye Learned in the Law, is no unjust sentence given in your Courts? Have not the same Causes been decided quite opposite ways? One way, this Term, just the contrary, the next? Perhaps one way in the morning (this I remember an instance of) and another way in the afternoon: How is this? Is there no Justice left on earth? No regard for right or wrong? Or have Causes been puzzled so long, that you know not now what is either wrong or right? What is agreeable to law or contrary to it? I have heard some of you frankly declare, that it is in many cases next to impossible to know, what is law, and what is not. So are your *Folios* of Law multiplied upon you, that no human brain is able to contain them: no; nor any consistent Scheme, or Abstract of them all.

But is it really owing to ignorance of the Law, (this is the most favourable supposition) that so few of you scruple taking Fees on either side, of almost any Cause that can be conceived? And that you generally plead in the manner you do on any side of any Cause? Rambling to and fro, in a way so abhorrent from common sense, and so utterly foreign to the question? I have been amazed at hearing the pleadings of some
eminent

eminent Counsel : and when it has fallen out that the Pleader on the other side understood only the common Rules of Logic, he has made those eminent men appear, either such egregious knaves, if they could help it, or such egregious blockheads, if they could not, that one would have believed, they would shew their face there no more.—Mean time, if there be a God that judgeth righteously, what horrid insults upon him are these ! “ Shall I not visit for these things, saith the Lord ? Shall not my soul be avenged on such a nation as this ? ”

There is one instance more of (I know not what to term it) injustice, oppression, sacrilege, which hath long cried aloud in the ears of God. For among men who doth hear ? I mean the management of many of those who are intrusted with our Public Charities. By the pious munificence of our forefathers, we have abundance of these, of various kinds. But is it not glaringly true, (to touch only on a few generals) that the managers of many of them, either 1. Do not apply the benefaction to that use for which it was designed by the benefactor, or 2. Do not apply it with such care and frugality, as in such a case are indispensibly required : Or 3. Do not apply the whole of the benefaction to any charitable use at all ; but secrete part thereof, from time to time, for the use of themselves and their families. Or, lastly, by plain, barefaced oppression, exclude those from having any part in such benefaction, who dare (though with all possible tenderness and respect) set before them the things that they have done :

“ Yet Brutus is an honourable man :

“ So are they all : all honourable men ! ”

And some of them, had in esteem for religion ; accounted patterns both of honesty and piety ! But God *seeth not as man seeth*. He *shall repay them to their face*. Perhaps, even in the present world. For that scripture is often still fulfilled, “ This is the curse that goeth forth over the face of the whole earth. I will bring it forth, saith the Lord of Hosts, and it shall enter into the house of the thief, (such is he, and no better,

better, in the eyes of God, no whit honestier than a highwayman) and it shall remain in the midst of the house, and shall consume it, with the timber thereof, and the stones thereof."

24. And is not *Truth*, as well as *Justice*, fallen in our streets? For who *speaketh the truth from his heart*? Who is there, that makes a conscience of speaking the thing as it is, whenever he speaks at all? Who scruples the telling of officious lies? The varying from truth, in order to do good? How strange does that saying of the ancient Fathers sound in modern ears, "I would not tell a lie, no not to save the souls of the whole world." Yet is this strictly agreeable to the word of God; to that of St. Paul in particular, if any say, "Let us do evil that good may come, their damnation is just."

But how many of us do this evil, without ever considering whether good will come or no? Speaking what we do not mean, merely out of custom, because it is fashionable so to do? What an immense quantity of falsehood does this ungodly fashion occasion day by day? For hath it not over-run every part of the nation? How is all our language swoln with compliment? So that a well-bred person is not expected to speak as he thinks: we do not look for it at his hands; nay, who would thank him for it? How few would suffer it? It was said of old, even by a Warrior and a King, "He that telleth lies shall not tarry in my fight:" but are we not of another mind? Do not we rather say, "He that telleth not lies shall not tarry in my fight?" Indeed the trial seldom comes; for both speakers and hearers are agreed, that Form and Ceremony, Flattery and Compliment, should take place, and Truth be banished from all that know the world.

And if the rich and great have so small regard for truth, as to lie even for *lying-sake*, what wonder can it be that men of lower rank will do the same thing *for gain*? What wonder that it should obtain, as by common consent in all kinds of *buying and selling*? Is it not an adjudged case, that it is no harm to tell lies in the way of Trade? To say, that is the *lowest* price which is not the lowest; or that you will not take what you
do

do take immediately? Infomuch that it is a proverb, even among the Turks, when asked to abate of their price, "What! do you take me to be a Christian?" So that never was that caution more needful than it is at this day, "Take ye heed every one of his neighbour, and trust ye not in any brother; for every brother will utterly supplant, and they will deceive every one his neighbour."

25. And as for those few who abstain from outward sins, is their heart right with God? May he not say to us also (as of the Jews) "This people is uncircumcised in heart?" Are not you? Do you then "love the Lord your God, with all your heart, and with all your strength?" Is he your God and your all? The desire of your eyes? The joy of your very heart? Rather, do you not set up your idols in your heart? Is not your belly your god? Or your diversions? Or your fair reputation? Or your friend? Or wife? Or child? That is plainly, do not you delight in some of these earthly goods, more than in the God of heaven? Nay; perhaps you are one of those grovelling souls that pant after the dust of the earth! Indeed, who does not? Who does not get as much as he can? Who of those that are not accounted covetous, yet does not gather all the money he can fairly, and perhaps much more? For are they those only whom the world rank among misers, that use every art to increase their fortune? Toiling early and late, spending all their strength in loading themselves with thick clay? How long? Until the very hour when God calleth them; when he saith unto each of them, "Thou fool! this night shall thy soul be required of thee! And whose shall those things be which thou hast prepared?"

26. And yet doth not our Pride, even the pride of those whose soul cleaves to the dust, testify against us? Are they not wise in their own eyes, and prudent in their own conceit? Have not writers of our own remarked, That there is not upon earth a more self-conceited nation than the English; more opinionated both of their own national and personal wisdom, and strength? And indeed, if we may judge by the inhabitants of London, this is evident to a demonstration: for, are
not

not the very meanest of them able to instruct both the King and all his Counsellors? What Cobler in London is not wiser than the Principal Secretary of State? What Coffee-house disputant is not an abler divine than his Grace of Canterbury? And how deep a contempt of others is joined with this high opinion of ourselves? I know not whether the people of all other nations are greater masters of dissimulation; but there does not appear in any nation whatever, such a proneness to despise their neighbour: to despise not only foreigners, (near two thousand years ago they remarked, *Britannos Hospitibus feros*) but their own countrymen; and that very often for such surprising reasons, as nothing but undeniable fact could make credible. How often does the gentleman in his coach despise those *dirty fellows that go on foot*? And these, on the other hand, despise full as much those *lazy fellows that loll in their coaches*? No wonder then that those who have the Form of Godliness should despise them that have not: that the saint of the world so frequently says to the gross sinner, in effect, if not in terms, "Stand by thyself; come not near unto me; for I am holier than thou!"

27. Yet what kind of holiness is this? May not God justly declare of us also, "This people draw near to me with their mouth, but they have removed their hearts far from me. They do but flatter me with their mouth, and dissemble with me in their tongue." Is it not so with you? When you speak to God do your lips and your hearts go together? Do you not often utter words by which you mean just nothing? Do not you say and unsay? Or, say one thing to God, and another to man? For instance, you say to God, "Vouchsafe, O Lord to keep me this day without sin." But you say to man, This cannot be done; it is all folly and madness to expect it. You ask of God, That you "may perfectly love, and worthily magnify his holy Name:" But you tell man, There is no *perfect Love* upon earth; it is only a madman's dream. You pray God, to "cleanse the thoughts of your heart, by the inspiration of his Holy Spirit." But you assure your neighbour, There is no such thing as Inspiration now,
and

and that none pretend to it but Enthusiasts. What gross hypocrisy is this! Surely you think there is no "knowledge in the Most High. O be not deceived, God is not mocked. But whatsoever ye sow, that also shall ye reap!"

28. Such at present is the Religion of this Christian nation! So do we honour Him by whose Name we are called! And yet was there ever a nation more careless and secure? More unapprehensive of the wrath of God! How can a man more effectually expose himself to the ridicule of those who are esteemed men of understanding, than by shewing any concern, as if the judgments of God were hanging over our heads? * Surely then, "a deceived heart hath turned us aside, that we cannot say, Is there not a lie in my right-hand?" Surely this our confidence is not of God: It is rather a judicial infatuation; a stupid insensibility; a deep sleep, the forerunner of heavy vengeance.

"Ruin behind it stalks, an empty Desolation."

Surely never was any people more fitted for destruction! "Impudent children are they, and stiff-hearted. Are they ashamed when they have committed abomination?" When they have openly prophaned the day of the Lord? When they have committed lewdness? Or when they have uttered such curses and blasphemies, as are not heard of among the heathens? Nay, "They are not at all ashamed, neither can they blush." And though God send unto them all his servants, rising up early and sending them, yet "will they not hear; they harden their neck! They do worse than their fathers."

What then can God "do more for his Vineyard which he hath not done?" He hath long tried us with mercies, "giving rain and fruitful seasons, filling us with the flour of wheat." We have had plenty of all things; and while War roared around, "Peace has been in all our borders." But still "this revolting and

* N. B. This was wrote a year ago, but I am afraid it is too true, even at this day.

rebellious people say not, Let us now fear the Lord our God." Nay, they gave him no thanks for all his mercies; they did not even acknowledge them to be his gift. They did not see the hand of God in any of these things; they could account for them another way. O ye unwise, when will ye understand? Know ye not yet, there is a God that ruleth the world? What did ye see with your eyes? Was the race to the swift, or the battle to the strong? Have ye forgotten Dettingen already? Does not England know that God was there? — Or suppose your continuance in peace, or success in war, be the mere result of your own wisdom and strength; do ye command the sun and the clouds also? Can ye pour out or stay the bottles of heaven? But let all be Nature, Chance, any thing——so God may have no hand in governing the earth!

29. Will his judgments bring us to a better mind? Do we "hear the rod, and him that has appointed it?" Let us observe: What fruit do we find in those who are "even consumed by means of his heavy hand?" Let any that desires to be clearly satisfied herein, visit the Hospitals of this City. Let him judge for himself, how the patients there receive God's fatherly visitation; especially there, because mercy also is mixed with judgment; so that it is evident that "the Lord loveth whom he chasteneth." Go into any ward, either of men or women, look narrowly from one end to the other: are they humbling themselves under a sense of his anger? Are they praising him for his love? Are they exhorting one another not to faint when they are rebuked of him? How do nine in ten of them spend the time, that important time from morning to evening? Why in such a manner, that you would not easily learn, from thence, whether they were Christians, Pagans, or Mahometans.

Is there any deeper distress than this to be found? Is there a greater affliction than the loss of health? Perhaps there is, the loss of liberty, especially as it is sometimes circumstanced. You may easily be convinced of this, by going into either Ludgate or Newgate. What a scene appears, as soon as you enter! The very place

place strikes horror into your soul. How dark and dreary! How unhealthy and unclean! How void of all that might minister comfort! But this is little, compared to the circumstances that attend the being confined in this shadow of death. See that poor wretch, who was formerly in want of nothing, and encompassed with friends and acquaintance, now cut off, perhaps by an unexpected stroke, from all the cheerful ways of men; ruined, forsaken of all, and delivered into the hands of such masters and such companions! I know not, if to one of a thinking, sensible turn of mind, there could be any thing like it on this side hell.

What effect then has this heavy visitation of God, on those who lie under it for any time? There is perhaps an exception here and there; but in general, they are abandoned to all wickedness, utterly divested of all fear of God, and all reverence to man: insomuch, that they commonly go out of that school compleatly fitted for any kind or degree of villainy, perfectly brutal and devilish, thoroughly furnished for every evil word and work.

30. Are your countrymen more effectually reclaimed when danger and distress are joined? If so, the Army, especially in time of war, must be the most religious part of the nation. But is it so indeed? Do the Soldiery walk as those who see themselves on the brink of eternity? Redeeming every opportunity of glorifying God, and doing good to men, because they know not the hour in which their Lord will require their souls of them? So far from it, that a soldier's religion is a by-word, even with those who have no religion at all; that vice and prophaneness in every shape reign among them without control; and that the whole tenor of their behaviour speaks, "Let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die."

Have those who are exposed to still more danger, the English sea-forces, more religion than those at land? It is said they were once remarkable for this: and it is certain Sir Francis Drake feared God, as did most of his Commanders; and we have reason to believe, his mariners and sailors too. But what shall we say of the

Navy that now is, more particularly of the ships of war? Is religion there? Either the power or the form? Is not almost every single man of war a mere floating hell? Where is there to be found more consummate wickedness, a more full, daring contempt of God, and all his laws, except in the bottomless pit? But here description fails: and the goodness of God endureth yet daily! But "shall I not visit for these things, saith the Lord? Shall not my soul be avenged on such a nation as this?" O that the prospect of national judgments may suffice! That we may remember ourselves, and turn unto the Lord our God, before his long-suffering mercy is at an end, and he pours out the vials of his wrath upon us!

But how small ground have we as yet to hope for this? For who will now *suffer the word of exhortation*? How few will *endure sound doctrine*, and the honest, close application of it? Do they not "say unto the seers, see not; and unto the prophets, prophesy smooth things?" And if a man will do thus, if he will "sew pillows to all arm-holes, and cause the Holy One of Israel to cease from before them; if he will prophesy of wine and strong drink, he shall even be the prophet of this people."

31. I am sensible how nice a subject this is, and how extremely difficult it is to speak, as neither to say too little nor too much, neither more nor less than the cause of God requires. I know also, that it is absolutely impossible so to speak as not to give offence. But whosoever is offended I dare not to be silent; neither may I refrain from plainness of speech: only I will endeavour to use all the tenderness I can consistently with that plainness.

In tender love then, I ask, Are there none among us (I speak to you, my brethren, who are priests and prophets of the Lord, set apart to *minister in holy things*, and to *declare the word of the Lord*) are there none among us who commit lewdness, as did those by whom *Israel was defiled*? Hath not the Lord seen a horrible thing, in some of the Prophets of this land also, even, that *they commit adultery, and (to conceal it) walk in lies*? God forbid that I should affirm this. I only propose

propose (not maintain) the question. If there be such a wretch, I pray God to strike him to the heart, and to say, *Thou art the man!*

Are there none of you like them, “mighty to drink wine, men of strength to mingle strong drink?” Yea, are there none that “err through strong drink, that are swallowed up of wine?” Are there not found those who say, “I will fetch wine, and we will fill ourselves with strong drink: and to-morrow shall be as this day, and much more abundant?”

Alas, my brother! Is this the voice of a Minister of Christ? *A Steward of the mysteries of God?* Suppose you find at any time trouble and heaviness, *is there no help for you in your God?* Is not the God whom you serve able to deliver you from any plague or trouble? Is the being drunk with wine a better relief, than being filled with his Spirit? Do you not understand this? Do you not know the Lord? Take heed you do not destroy both your own soul and them that hear you! O beware! If you know not his love, fear his power! Make haste to flee from the wrath to come, lest he smite you with a curse great as your sin, and sweep you away from the face of the earth.

32. Can such as you be said to honour or fear God, any more than those spoken of by Malachi? May not God complain, “These priests have violated my law and profaned my holy things?” Yea, whensoever you presume with those unhallowed hands, to touch the mysteries of God: whensoever you utter his name or his word with those unhallowed lips! But is it on this account only that God may say, *Both prophet and priest are profane?* May he not add, “They have put no difference between the holy and the profane; therefore I am profaned among them.” For is it not so? Do you put a difference between the holy and the profane, him that feareth God, and him that feareth him not? Do you put an essential difference between them, even in the most solemn office of your religion? At the table of the Lord, do you take care to separate the precious from the vile? To receive all those who (as you may reasonably believe) draw near

with penitent hearts, and lively faith, and utterly to reject those who testify against themselves. that they are without hope and without God in the world ?

Nay, who dares repel one of the great men in his parish from the Lord's table ? Even though he be a drunkard or a common swearer ? Yea, though he openly deny the Lord that bought him ? Mr. Stonehouse did this once. But what was the event ? The gentleman brought an action against him, for the terror of all such insolent fellows, in succeeding times. And who was able and willing to espouse the cause ? He alone who took it into his own hand : and before the day when it should have been tried here, called the plaintiff to answer at a higher bar.

33. O my brethren, is it not for want of your making this difference, as well as for many other abominations, that, with regard to some among us, (how many God knoweth !) that scripture is now also fulfilled, " His watchmen are blind, they are ignorant, they are shepherds that cannot understand. — The Lord hath poured out upon them the spirit of deep sleep, and hath closed their eyes : the prophets and the seers hath he covered. And the vision of all is become unto you as the words of a book that is sealed, which men deliver to one that is learned, saying, Read this, I pray thee ; and he saith, I cannot ; for it is sealed."

If you ask what those other abominations are ? I will speak in love and in the spirit of meekness. There are found among us covetous men, men *who mind earthly things*, who seek themselves and not Christ crucified, who *love the world, and the things of the world* : men in whom these words are still fulfilled, " Who is there among you that would shut the doors for nought ? Neither do ye kindle fire on my altar for nought. I have no pleasure in you, saith the Lord of Hosts." Yea, are there not those at this day, (O that I might be found to fear where no fear is !) who " make themselves fat with the chiefest of all the offerings of Israel ? " Are there not those, who now " enlarge their desires as hell, who are as death and cannot be satisfied ? " Who though they want neither food to eat nor raiment to put on, yet seek more and more preferment ? Who
are

are continually studying to "join house to house, and to lay field to field?" To grow rich in the service of that Master, who himself *had not where to lay his head?*" Is it not to these that those dreadful words belong, enough to cause the ears of him that heareth to tingle, "They are greedy dogs which can never have enough; they all look to their own way, (not the way of their Lord) every one for his gain, from his quarter." —

Is it strange, if among these, there should be some who are cruel, oppressive men? Inasmuch as covetousness knows no mercy, nor can a lover of money be a lover of his neighbour. Have not some been known even to *grind the face of the poor?* To strip, rather than clothe the naked? Some, who while they cried out, *as the horse-leech, give, give,* would take, if it was not given; like those of old, who said, "Thou shalt give it me now, and if not I will take it by force:" Or those spoken of by Micah, "The Prophets bite with their teeth, and cry peace: and he that putteth not into their mouths, they even declare war against him." Very great is the sin of these men before the Lord. If there be ten such now in the land, may God smite them this day with terror and astonishment, that they may have no rest in their bones till their sin is done away!

34. Are you as watchful and zealous to gain souls, as those are to gain the gold that perisheth? Do you know, by experience, what that meaneth, "The zeal of thine house hath eaten me up?" Or are you one of those watchmen who do not watch at all? Who neither know nor care when the sword cometh? Of whom the prophet saith, "They are dumb dogs that cannot bark, sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber."

Can it be supposed, that such shepherds will "feed the flock?" Will "give to every one his portion of meat in due season?" Will these "warn every man, that they may present every man perfect in Christ Jesus?" Will they take care to "know all their flock by name, not forgetting the men-servants and the women servants?" Will they enquire into the state of

every soul committed to their charge ? And watch over each with all tenderness and long-suffering, *as they that must give account ?* Marking how they either fall or rise ? How these wax *weary and faint in their mind ;* and those grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ ? Who can do this, unless his whole heart be in the work ? Unless he desire nothing but to *spend and be spent for them ;* and count not his life dear unto himself, so he may present them *blameless* in the day of the Lord Jesus.

Can any shepherd do this (and if he do it not, he will never *give an account with joy*) who imagines, he has little more to do, than to preach once or twice a week ? That this is the main point, the chief part of that office, which he hath taken upon himself before God ? What gross ignorance is this ? What a total mistake of the truth ? What a miserable blunder touching the whole nature of his office ? It is indeed a very great thing, to speak in the name of God ; it might make him that is the stoutest of heart tremble, if he considered, that every time he speaks to others, his own soul is at stake. But great, inexpressibly great as this is, it is perhaps the least part of our work. “ To seek and to save that which is lost,” to bring souls from Satan to God, to instruct the ignorant, to reclaim the wicked, to convince the gainfayer ; to direct their feet into the way of peace, and then keep them therein ; to follow them step by step, lest they turn out of the way, and advise them in their doubts and temptations ; to lift up them that fall, to refresh them that are faint, and to comfort the weak-hearted ; to administer various helps, as the variety of occasions require, according to their several necessities. These are parts of our office ; all this we have undertaken at the peril of our own soul. A sense of this made that holy man of old cry out, “ I marvel if any Ruler in the Church shall be saved : ” and a greater than him says, in the fulness of his heart, “ Who is sufficient for these things ? ”

35. But who is not sufficient for these things, for the taking care of a parish, though it contain twenty thousand souls, if this implies no more than the taking care to preach there, once or twice a week ; and to procure

one

one to read prayers on the other days, and do what is called the parish-duty ? Is any trade in the nation so easy as this ? Is not any man sufficient for it, without any more talents, either of nature or of grace, than a small degree of common understanding ? But O ! what manner of shepherds are those, who look no farther into the nature of their office, who sink no deeper into the importance of it than this ! Were they not such as these concerning whom the word of the Lord came unto Ezekiel, saying, “ Woe be to the Shepherds that do feed themselves : should not the shepherds feed the flock ? Ye eat the fat, and ye clothe you with the wool ; but ye feed not the flock. The diseased have ye not strengthened, neither have ye healed that which was sick, neither have ye bound up that which was broken, neither have ye brought again that which was driven away, neither have ye fought that which was lost. And they were scattered, because there was no shepherd, and they became meat to all the beasts of the field. Yea, my flock was scattered upon all the face of the earth, and none did search or seek after them.”

I conjure you, brethren, in the name of the Lord Jesus, the great Shepherd of the sheep, who hath bought them and us with his own blood, apply this each to his own soul. Let every man look unto God and say, Lord, *Is it I ?* Am I one of these idle, careless, indolent shepherds, that feed myself, not the flock ? Am I one that cannot bark, slothful, sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber ? One of those who have not strengthened that which was diseased, neither healed that which was sick ? “ Search me, O Lord, and prove me ; try out my reins and my heart. Look well if there be any way of wickedness in me, and lead me in the way everlasting ? ”

36. Have I not, at least, *healed the hurt of thy people slightly ?* Have I not said, *Peace, Peace, when there was no peace ?*—How many are they also that do this ? Who do not study to speak what is true, especially to the rich and great, so much as what is pleasing ? Who flatter honourable sinners, instead of telling them plain, “ How can ye escape the damnation of hell ? ” O what an account have you to make, if there be a God
that

that judgeth the earth ? Will he not require at your hands the blood of all these souls, of whom ye are the betrayers and murderers ? Well spake the prophets of your fathers, in whose steps ye now tread, " They have seduced my people, and one built up a wall, and another daubed it with untempered mortar. They strengthen the hands of the evil-doers, that none doth return from his wickedness. They prophesy lies in my name, saith the Lord. They say unto them that despise me, ye shall have peace, and unto them that walk after the imagination of their own heart, no evil shall come upon you.

How great will your damnation be, who destroy souls, instead of saving them ? Where will you appear, or how will you stand, *in that great and terrible day of the Lord !* How will ye lift up your head, when " the Lord shall descend from heaven in flaming fire, to take vengeance on his adversaries ! " More especially on those who have so betrayed his cause, and done Satan's work under the banner of Christ ! With what voice wilt thou say, " Behold me, Lord, and the sheep whom thou hadst given me, whom I gave to the Devil, and told them they were in the way to heaven, till they dropped into hell ? "

Were they not just such shepherds of souls as you are, concerning whom God spake by Jeremiah ? " Many pastors have destroyed my vineyard, they have trodden my portion under foot ; they have made my pleasant portion, a desolate wilderness : " by Ezekiel, " There is a conspiracy of her prophets, like a roaring lion, ravening the prey, they have devoured souls : " and by Zechariah, " Thus saith the Lord, feed the flock of the slaughter, whose possessors slay them, and hold themselves not guilty ! and they that slay them say, Blessed be the Lord, for I am rich ; and their own shepherds pity them not. "

37. Is not this the real ground, the principal reason, of the present contempt of the Clergy ? And long since was it assigned as such, by Him who cannot lie. The same men of old, who made the Lord's people to transgress, thereby made themselves vile. They were despised both as the natural effect, and the judicial punishment of their wickedness. And the same cause
the

the prophet observes to have produced the same effect, many hundred years after this. "Ye are departed out of the way, saith the Lord: ye have caused many to stumble—Therefore have I also made you contemptible, and base before all the people."

I have now, brethren, *delivered my own soul*, and in so doing, I have (as I proposed at first) *used great plainness of speech*, as not studying to please men, but the Lord. The event I leave to him in whose name I have spoken, and who hath the hearts of all men in his hand.

I have brought you heavy tidings this day, and yet I cannot but be persuaded, that some of you will not count me your Enemy, because I tell you the Truth. O that all of us may taste the good word which we declare! May receive that knowledge of salvation, which we are commanded to preach unto every creature, thro' the remission of sins! My heart's desire is, That all of us to whom is committed the ministry of reconciliation, may ourselves be reconciled to God, through the Blood of the everlasting Covenant: That he may be henceforth unto us a God, and we may be unto him a people; that we may all know as well as preach the Lord, from the least unto the greatest: even by that token, "I am merciful to thy unrighteousness: thy sins I remember no more!"

III. 1. I have hitherto spoken more immediately to those, who profess themselves members of the Church of England. But inasmuch as I am a debtor also to those who do not, my design is now to apply to them also; and briefly to shew, wherein (I fear) they are severally inconsistent with their own principles.

I begin with those who are at the smallest distance from us, whether they are termed Presbyterians or Independants. Of whom in general I cannot but have a widely different opinion, from that I entertained some years ago: as having since then conversed with many among them, *in whom the Root of the Matter is undeniably found*: and who labour "to keep a conscience void of offence both towards God and towards man." I cannot therefore doubt, but every serious man, of either one or the other denomination, does utterly condemn all that inward as well as outward unholiness, which has been above described.

But

But do you, as a people, avoid what you condemn? Are no whoremongers or adulterers found among you? No children disobedient to their parents? No servants that are slothful or careless? That *answer again*? That do not *honour their masters as is meet in the Lord*? Are there none among you that censure or *speake evil of the Ruler of their people*? Are there no Drunkards, no Gluttons, no luxurious men, no regular Epicures, none whose belly is their god, who, as their fortune permits, *fare sumptuously every day*? Have you no *dishonest dealers*, no *unfair Traders*, no *Usurers*, or *Extortioners*? Have you no *Liars*, either for gain or for good manners, so called? Are you clear of *Ceremony and Compliment*? Alas, you are sensible, in most, if not in all these respects, you have but small pre-eminence over us.

How much more sensible must you be of this, if you do not rest on the surface, but enquire into the bottom of Religion, the religion of the heart? For, what inward unholiness, what evil tempers are among us, which have not a place among you also? You likewise bewail that ignorance of God, that want of faith and of the love of God and man, that inward idolatry of various kinds, that Pride, Ambition and Vanity, which rule in the hearts even of those who still have *the form of godliness*. You lament before God, the deep covetousness that *eats so many souls as doth a gangrene*; and perhaps are sometimes ready to cry out, "Help, Lord, for there is scarce one godly man left." Lay to thine hand; "for the faithful are diminished from the children of men!"

2. And yet you retain *the truth that is after godliness*, at least, as to the substance of it. You own what is laid down in scripture, both touching the *nature and condition of Justification and Salvation*. And with regard to the *Author of Faith and Salvation*, you have always avowed, even in the face of your enemies, That "it is God which worketh in us, both to will and to do, of his good pleasure:" That it is his Spirit alone who *teacheth us all things*, all we know of the *deep things of God*: That every true believer has an *Unction from the Holy One to lead him into all necessary Truth*: That
 "because

“because we are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father ;” and that this Spirit “beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God.”

How is it then, my brethren, (so I can call you now, although I could not have done it heretofore ;) how is it, that the generality of you also are fallen from your steadfastness ? In the times of persecution ye stood as a rock, though all the waves and storms went over you. But who can bear ease and fulness of bread ! How are you changed, since these came upon you ! Do not many of you now (practically I mean) put something else, in the room of Faith that worketh by love ? Do not some of you suppose that gravity and composedness of behaviour, are the main parts of Christianity ? Especially, provided they neither swear nor take the Name of God in vain. Do not others imagine, that to abstain from idle songs, and those fashionable diversions commonly used by persons of their fortune, is almost the whole of religion ? To which if they add family prayer, and a strict observance of the Sabbath, then doubtless all is well ! Nay, my brethren, this is well, so far as it goes : but how little a way does it go toward Christianity ? All these things, you cannot but see, are merely external ; whereas Christianity is an inward thing ; without which the most beautiful outward form is lighter than vanity.

Do not others of you rest in Conviction ? Or good Desires ? Alas what do these avail ? A man may be convinced he is sick, yea deeply convinced, and yet never recover. He may desire food, yea, with earnest desire, and nevertheless perish with hunger. And thus I may be convinced I am a sinner ; but this will not justify me before God. And I may desire salvation (perhaps by fits and starts for many years) and yet be lost for ever. Come close then to the point, and keep to your principles. Have you received the Holy Ghost ; the Spirit which is of God, and is bestowed by him on all believers, “that we may know the things which are freely given to us of God ?” The time is short. Do you experience now that Unction from the Holy One ? Without which you confess outward religion, whether
negative

negative or positive, is nothing. Nay, and inward Conviction of our wants is nothing, unless those wants are in fact supplied. Good desires also are nothing, unless we actually attain what we are stirred up to desire. For still, if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, whatever he desires, he is none of his. O my brother, beware you stop not short ! Beware you never account yourself a Christian, no not in the lowest degree, till God "hath sent forth the Spirit of Christ into your heart," and that "Spirit bear witness with your spirit, that you are a child of God."

3. One step farther from us, are you who are called (though not by your own choice) Anabaptists. The smallness of your number, compared to that either of the Presbyterians, or those of the Church, makes it easier for you to have an exact knowledge of the behaviour of all your members, and to put away from among you every one that "walketh not according to the doctrine you have received."

But is this done ? Do all your members adorn the gospel ? Are they all "holy as he who hath called us is holy ?" I fear not. I have known some instances to the contrary : and doubtless you know many more. There are unholy, outwardly unholy men in your congregations also : men that profane either the Name or the Day of the Lord ; that do not honour their natural or civil parents ; that know not how to possess their bodies in sanctification and honour ; that are intemperate either in meat or drink, gluttonous, sensual, luxurious ; that variously offend against Justice, Mercy, or Truth, in their intercourse with their neighbour, and do not walk by that royal Law, "Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself."

But how is this consistent with your leading principles, "that no men ought to be admitted to baptism, till he has repentance whereby we forsake sin, and living faith in God through Christ ?"

For if no man ought to be admitted into a Church or Congregation, who has not actual Faith and repentance, then neither ought any who has them not, to continue, in any congregation. And consequently an open sinner cannot remain among you, unless you practically renounce your main principle.

I refer

4. I refer it to your own serious consideration, whether one reason, why unholy men are still suffered to remain among you, may not be this: that many of you have unawares put Opinion in the room of Faith and Repentance? But how fatal a mistake is this? Supposing your opinion to be true, yet a true opinion concerning repentance is wholly different from the thing itself. And you may all your life have a true opinion concerning faith, and yet die an unbeliever.

Supposing then the opinion of Particular Redemption true, yet how little does it avail towards salvation? Nay were we to suppose, That none can be saved who do not hold it, it does not follow that all will be saved who do. So that if the one proved a man to be in ever so bad a state, the other would not prove him to be in a good one. And consequently, whosoever leans on this opinion, leans on the staff of a broken reed.

Would to God that ye would mind this one thing, to make your calling and election sure! That every one of you (leaving the rest of the world to him that made it) would himself repent and believe the gospel! Not repent alone, (for then you know only the baptism of John) but believe, and be "baptized with the Holy Ghost and with fire." Are you still a stranger to that inward baptism, wherewith all true believers are baptized? May the Lord constrain you to cry out, "How am I straitened till it be accomplished?" Even till the love of God inflame your heart, and consume all your vile affections. Be not content with any thing less than this! It is this loving faith alone which opens our way into "the general church of the first-born whose names are written in heaven!" Which giveth us to "enter within the veil, where Jesus our Forerunner is gone before us!"

5. There is still a wider difference in some points, between us and the people usually termed Quakers. But not in these points. You, as well as we, condemn "all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men;" all those works of the devil which were recited above, and all those tempers from which they spring.

You agree, that we are all to be taught of God; and to be led by his Spirit: that the Spirit alone reveals

all Truth, and inspires all Holiness : that by his inspiration men attain perfect love, the love which purifies them as he is pure : and that through this knowledge and love of God, they have power to do always such things as please him ; to worship God, a Spirit, according to his own will, that is, in spirit and in truth.

Hence you infer, that formal Worship is not acceptable to God, but that alone that springs from God in the heart : you infer also, that they who are led by him, will use great plainness of speech, and great plainness of dress, seeking no " outward adorning, but only the ornament of a meek and quiet spirit."

I will look no farther now, than simply to enquire, whether you are consistent with these principles ?

To begin with the latter ; " He that is led by the Spirit will use great plainness of speech."

You would have said, " will use the plain language." But that term, " the plain language," naturally leads you to think of one particular way of speaking ; as if plainness of speech implied no more than the use of that *particular form*.

Alas ! my brethren ! Know ye not that your ancestors designed this, only as a specimen of plain language ? And is it possible that you should mistake the sample for the whole bale of cloth ?

Consult the light God has given you, and you must see that plainness of speech does not lie in a single point, but implies an open, undisguised sincerity, a child-like simplicity in all we speak.

I do not desire you to refrain from saying *thou* or *thee*. I would not spend ten words about it. But I desire you, whenever you speak at all, to speak the truth, and nothing but the truth. I desire your words may be always the picture of your heart. This is truly plain language.

Either do not pretend to plain speech at all, or be uniformly plain. Are you so ? I pray, consider. Do you never compliment ? I do not suppose you say, " Sir, your very humble servant." But do you say no civil things ? Do you never flatter ? Do you not commend any man or woman to their face ? Perhaps

farther

farther than you do behind their back. Is this plainness of speech? Do you never dissemble? Do you speak to all persons, high or low, rich or poor, just what you think, neither more nor less, and in the shortest and clearest manner you can? If not, what a mere jest is your plain language? You carry your condemnation in your own breast.

6. You hold also, That "he which is led by the Spirit, will use great plainness of dress, seeking no outward adorning, but only the ornament of a meek and quiet spirit."

And that, in particular, "he will leave gold and costly apparel to those who know not God."

Now I appeal to every serious, reasonable man among you, Do your people act consistently with this principle? Do not many of your women wear gold upon their very feet? And many of your men use ornaments of gold? Are you a stranger to these things? Have you not seen with your eyes (such trifles as will scarce bear the naming) their canes and snuff-boxes glitter, even in your solemn assembly, while ye were waiting together upon God? Surely, they are not yet so lost to modesty, as to pretend, That they do not use them by way of ornament. If they do not, if it be only out of necessity, a plain oaken-stick will supply the place of the one; and a piece of horn or tin will unexceptionably answer all the reasonable ends of the other.

To speak freely, (and do not count me your enemy for this) you cannot but observe upon cool reflection, That you retain just so much of your ancient practice, as leaves your present without excuse; as makes the inconsistency between the one and the other, glaring and undeniable. For instance: this woman is too strict a Quaker, to lay out a shilling in a Necklace. Very well: but she is not too strict to lay out fourscore guineas in a Repeating Watch. Another would not for the world wear any Lace, no, not an Edging round her cap. But she will wear Point: and sees no harm in it at all, though it should be of twelve times the price. In one kind of Apron or Handkerchief she dares not lay out twenty shillings; but in another sort, lays out

twenty pounds. And what multitudes of you are very jealous, as to the colour and form of your apparel, the least important of all the circumstances that relate to it, while in the most important, the expence, they are without any concern at all? They will not put on a Scarlet or Crimson Stuff, but the richest Velvet, so it be black or grave. They will not touch a coloured Ribband; but will cover themselves with a stiff Silk from head to foot. They cannot bear Purple: but make no scruple at all of being clothed in fine Linen; yea, to such a degree, that the Linen of the Quakers is grown almost into a proverb.

Surely you cannot be ignorant, that the sinfulness of fine apparel lies chiefly in the expensiveness. In that it is robbing God and the Poor; it is defrauding the fatherless and widow; it is wasting the food of the hungry, and with-holding his raiment from the naked, to consume it on our own lusts.

7. Let it not be said, That this affects only a few among you, and those of the younger and lighter sort. Yes it does; your whole body: for why do you, who are elder and graver, suffer such things? Why do ye not vehemently reprove them? And if they repent not, in spite of all worldly considerations, expel them out of your Society? In conniving at their sin, you make it your own; you, especially who are Preachers. Do you say, "They cannot bear it; they will not hear:" alas, into what state then are ye fallen! But whether they will bear it or not, what is that to thee? Thou art to speak, "whether they will hear, or whether they will forbear." To say the very truth, I am afraid you rather strengthen their hands in their wickedness. For you not only * do not testify against it in the congregation, but even sit at their table and reprove them

* You say, "We do testify against it in the congregation." Against what? "Against gay and gaudy apparel." I grant it. But this is not the thing I speak of. You quite mistake my mark. Do you testify against the Costliness of their Apparel, however plain and grave it may be? Against the price of the Velvet, the Linen, the Silk, or Raiment of whatever kind? If you do this frequently and explicitly, you are clear. If not, own and amend the fault.

It

them not. Why then, thou also art one of "the dumb dogs that cannot bark, sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber."

I fix this charge upon every Preacher, in particular upon those who saw a young woman, daughter to one of the Quakers in London, going to be married in apparel suitable to her diamond buckle, which cost a hundred guineas. Could you see this, and not call heaven and earth to witness against it? Then I witness against thee, in the Name of the Lord, Thou art a *blind Leader of the Blind*: thou *strainest at a gnat, and swallowest a camel*.

Verily the sin both of teachers and hearers is herein exceeding great. And the little attempts towards plainness of apparel which are still observable among you, (I mean in the colour and form of your clothes, and the manner of putting them on) only testify against you, that you were once, what you know in your hearts you are not now.

8. I come now to your main Principle, "We are all to be *taught of God, to be inspired and led by his Spirit*. And then we shall worship him, not with dead form, but in spirit and in truth."

These are deep and weighty words. But many hold fast the words, and are utterly ignorant of their meaning. Is not this an exceeding common case? Are not you conscious, abundance of your friends have done so? With whom the being taught of God and led by

It is easy to discern how your people fell into this snare of the devil. You were at first a poor, despised, afflicted people. Then, what some of you had to spare, was little enough to relieve the needy members of your own Society. In a few years you increased in goods, and were able to relieve more than your own poor. But you did not bestow all that you had to spare from them, on the poor belonging to other Societies. It remained either to lay it up, or to expend it in superfluities. Some chose one way, and some the other.

Lay this deeply to heart, ye who are now a poor, despised, afflicted people. Hitherto ye are not able to relieve your own poor. But if ever your substance increase, see that ye be not straitened in your own bowels, that ye fall not into the same snare of the devil. Before any of you either lay up treasures on earth, or indulge needless expence of any kind, I pray the Lord God to scatter you to the corners of the earth, and blot out your name from under heaven!

his Spirit are mere words of course, that mean just nothing. And their crude and indigested accounts, of the things they did not understand, have raised that deep prejudice against these great Truths, which we find in the generality of men.

Do some of you ask, "but dost thou acknowledge the Inward Principle?" I do, my friends: and I would to God every one of you acknowledged it as much. I say, all Religion is either empty Shew, or Perfection by Inspiration; in other words, the obedient Love of God, by the supernatural knowledge of God: yea, all that which is not of Faith, is sin: all which does not spring from this loving knowledge of God; which knowledge cannot begin, or subsist one moment, without immediate inspiration: not only all public worship, and all private prayer, but every thought, in common life, and word and work. What think you of this? Do you not stagger? Dare you carry the inward Principle so far? Do you acknowledge it to be the very Truth? But alas! what is the acknowledging it; dost thou experience this principle in thyself; what faith thy heart? Does God dwell therein? And doth it now echo to the voice of God? Hast thou the continual inspiration of his Spirit, filling thy heart with his love, as with a well of water, springing up into everlasting life?

9. Art thou acquainted with the leading of his Spirit, not by notion only, but by living experience? I fear very many of you talk of this, who do not so much as know what it means. How does the Spirit of God lead his children, to this or that particular action? Do you imagine, it is by blind impulse only? By moving you to do it, you know not why? Not so. He leads us by our Eye, at least as much as by the Hand; and by Light as well as by Heat. He shews us the way wherein we should go, as well as incites us to walk therein. For example, Here is a man ready to perish with hunger. How am I led by the Spirit to relieve him? First, by his convincing me, it is the Will of God I should: and secondly, by his filling my heart with love towards him. Both this light and this heat are the gift of God; are wrought in me by the same Spirit; who
leads

leads me, by this Conviction as well as Love, to go and feed that man. This is the plain, rational account of the ordinary leading of the Spirit. But how far from that which some have given !

Art thou thus led by the Spirit to every good word and work ? Till God hath thereby made thy faith perfect ? Dost thou know what Faith is ? It is a loving, obedient sight of a present and reconciled God. Now, where this is, there is no dead form ; neither can be, so long as it continues. But all that is said or done is full of God, full of spirit, and life, and power.

10. But perhaps, as much as you talk of them, you do not know the difference between Form and Spirit ; or between worshipping God in a formal way, and worshipping him in spirit and in truth.

The Lord is that Spirit. The seeing, and feeling, and loving him is spiritual Life. And whatever is said or done in the sight and love of God, that is full of spirit and life. All beside this is form, mere dead form ; whether it be in our public addresses to God, or in our private ; or in our worldly business, or in our daily conversation.

But if so, how poor, and mean, and narrow have your views and conceptions been ! You was afraid of formality in public worship. And reason good. But was you afraid of it no where else ? Did not you consider, that formality in common life, is also an abomination to the Lord ? And that it can have no place in any thing we say or do, but so far as we forget God ? O watch against it in every place, every moment, that you may every moment see and love God ; and consequently, at all times and in all places, worship him *in spirit and in truth*.

My brethren, permit me to add a few words, in tender love to your souls. Do not you lean too much on the spirit and power which you believe rested on your forefathers ? Suppose it did ; will that avail you, if you do not drink into the same spirit ? And how evident is this ! That whatever ye once were, ye are now shorn of your strength. Ye are weak and become like other men. The Lord is well nigh departed from you.

you. Where is now the spirit, the life, the power? Be not offended with my plain dealing, when I beseech you who are able to weigh things calmly, to open your eyes and see multitudes even in the Church, pursuing, yea, and attaining the substance of spiritual life, and leaving unto you the shadow. Nay, a still greater evil is before you; for if ye find not some effectual means to prevent it, your rising generation will utterly cast off the shadow as well as the substance.

11. There is an abundantly greater difference still, according to your own account, between us who profess ourselves members of the Church of England, and you who are members of the Church of Rome. But notwithstanding this, do you not agree with us in condemning the vices above recited? Profaneness, Drunkenness, Whoredom, Adultery, Theft, Disobedience to Parents, and such like? And how unhappily do you agree with us in practising the very vices which you condemn?

And yet you acknowledge, (nay, and frequently contend for this with a peculiar earnestness) that every Christian is called to be *zealous of good works*, as well as to *deny himself and take up his cross daily*. How then do you depart from your own principles, when you are gluttons, drunkards, or epicures? When you live at your ease, in all the elegance and voluptuousness of a plentiful fortune! How will you reconcile the being adorned with gold, arrayed in purple and fine linen, and faring sumptuously every day, with the *denying yourself and taking up your cross daily*? Surely, while you indulge the desire of the flesh, the desire of the eye, and the pride of life, the excellent Rules of Self-denial that abound in your own Writers, leave you of all men most inexcusable.

12. Neither can this self-indulgence be reconciled with the being zealous of good works. For by this needless and continual expence, you disable yourself from doing good. You bind your own hands. You make it impossible for you to do that good which otherwise you might. So that you injure the poor in the same proportion as you poison your own soul. You might have clothed the naked; but what was due to them,

them, was thrown away on your costly apparel. You might have fed the hungry, entertained the stranger, relieved them that were sick or in prison. But the superfluities of your own table swallowed up that whereby they should have been profited. And so this wasting of thy Lord's goods, is an instance of complicated wickedness; since hereby thy poor brother perisheth for whom Christ died.

I will not recommend to you either the writings or examples of those whom you account Heretics, (altho' some of these, if you could view them with impartial eyes, might provoke you to jealousy.) But O! that God would write in your hearts the rules of self-denial and love, laid down by Thomas a Kempis! Or that you would follow, both in this and in good works, that burning and shining light of your own Church, the Marquis de Renty! Then would all who knew and loved the Lord, rejoice to acknowledge you as the Church of the living God: when ye were zealous of every good word and work, and abstained from all appearance of evil: when it was hereby shewn that you were filled with the Holy Ghost, delivered from all unholy tempers: when ye were all "unblameable and unrebukable, without spot or wrinkle, or any such thing; a chosen generation, a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a peculiar people, shewing forth" [to all, Jews, Infidels, and Heretics, by your active, patient, spotless love of God and man,] "the praises of him who had called you out of darkness into his marvellous light."

13. Men and brethren, children of the stock of Abraham, suffer me to speak a few words to you also; you who do not allow, That Messiah the Prince is already come and cut off. However you so far hear Moses and the Prophets, as to allow, 1. That "it is the inspiration of the Holy One, which giveth man understanding," and that all the true children of God, are taught of God. 2. That the substance both of the Law and the Prophets, is contained in that one word, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength, and thy neighbour as thyself." And 3. That the sure fruit

fruit of love is obedience, "ceasing from evil, and doing good."

And do you walk by this Rule? Have you yourself that inspiration of the Holy One? Are you taught of God? Hath he opened your understanding? Have you the inward knowledge of the Most High? I fear not. Perhaps you know little more, even of the meaning of the words than a Mahometan.

Let us go a little farther. Do you "love the Lord your God with all your heart, with all your soul, with all your strength?" Can you say, "Whom have I in heaven but thee; and there is none upon earth that I desire besides thee?" Do you desire God at all? Do you desire to have any thing to do with him, till you can keep the world no longer? Are you not content, so you enjoy the good things of the earth, to let God stand afar off? Only calling upon him now and then, when you cannot do well without him. Why then you do not love God at all, though you will sometimes condescend to use him. You love the world. This possesses your heart. This therefore is your god. You renounce the God of your fathers, the God of Israel; you are still uncircumcised in heart. Your own conscience bears witness, you in this no more hear Moses and the Prophets, than you do Jesus of Nazareth.

14. From Moses and the Prophets it has been shewn, that your forefathers were "a faithless and stubborn generation; a generation which set not their hearts aright, and whose spirit cleaved not stedfastly unto God." And this you acknowledge yourselves. If you are asked, how is it that the promise is not fulfilled? Seeing the sceptre is long since departed from Judah, why is not Shiloh come? Your usual answer is, "Because of the sins of our Fathers, God hath delayed his coming." Have you then reformed from the sins of your fathers? Are you turned unto the Lord your God? Nay, do ye not tread in the same steps? Except that single point of outward idolatry, what abomination did they ever commit, which you have not committed also? Which the generality of you do not commit still, according to your power? If therefore the
coming

coming of the Messiah was hindered by the sins of your forefathers, then by the same rule, your continuance therein will hinder his coming to the end of the world.

“Brethren, my heart’s desire, and prayer to God is, that he would gather the outcasts of Israel. And I doubt not, but when the fulness of the Gentiles is come in, then all Israel shall be saved. But mean time, is there not great cause that ye should say with Daniel, “O Lord, righteousness belongeth unto thee, but unto us confusion of face, as at this day, to the men of Judah, and unto all Israel. O Lord we have sinned, we have rebelled against thee, neither have we obeyed the voice of the Lord our God. Yet O our God, incline thine ear, and hear; open thine eyes, and behold our desolations; for we do not present our supplications before thee for our righteousnesses, but for thy great mercies. O Lord hear! O Lord forgive! O Lord, hearken and do! Defer not, for thine own sake; for thy city and thy people that are called by thy name.”

15. I cannot conclude without addressing myself to you also, who do not admit either the Jewish or Christian Revelation. But still you desire to be happy: you own the essential difference between Vice and Virtue: and acknowledge, (as did all the wiser Greeks and Romans) that Vice cannot consist with Happiness. You allow likewise, that gratitude and benevolence, self-knowledge and modesty, mildness, temperance, patience, and generosity, are justly numbered among Virtues: and that ingratitude and malice, envy and ill-nature, pride, insolence and vanity, gluttony and luxury, covetousness and discontent, are Vices of the highest kind.

Now let us calmly enquire, how far your life is consistent with your principles.

You seek happiness. But you find it not. You come no nearer it with all your labours. You are not happier than you was a year ago. Nay, I doubt you are more unhappy. Why is this, but because you look for happiness there, where you own it cannot be found? Indeed, what is there on earth which can
long

long satisfy a man of understanding? His soul is too large for the world he lives in. He wants more room.

*Æstuat infelix angusto limite Mundi,
Ut brevibus claufes Gyris, parvaque Seripho.*

He has already travelled through all which is called pleasure; diversions and entertainments of every kind. But among these he can find no enjoyment of any depth; they are empty, shallow, superficial things: they pleased for a while, but the gloss is gone; and now they are dull and tasteless. And what has he next? Only the same things again. For this world affords nothing more. It can supply him with no change. Go, feed again: but it is upon one dish still. Thus,

Occidit miseros crambe repetita.

Yet what remedy under the Sun!

16. The sounder judgment, the stronger understanding you have. The sooner you are sated with the world. And the more deeply convinced, all that cometh is vanity; foolish, insipid, nauseous. You see the foibles of men in so much clearer a light, and have the keener sense of the emptiness of life. Here you are, a poor, unsatisfied inhabitant of an unquiet world; turning your weary eyes on this side, and on that side; seeking rest, but finding none. You seem to be out of your place: Neither the persons nor things that surround you are such as you want. You have a confused idea of something better than all this; but you know not where to find it. You are always grasping for something which you cannot attain, no, not if you range to the uttermost parts of the earth.

But this is not all. You are not only negatively unhappy, as finding nothing whereon to stay the weight of your soul; but positively so, because you are unholy: you are miserable, because you are vicious. Are you not vicious? Are you then full of gratitude to him, who giveth you life and breath, and all things? Not so; you rather spurn his gifts, and mur-

mur

mur at him that gave them. How often has your heart said, God did not use you well? How often have you questioned either his wisdom or goodness? Was this well done? What kind of gratitude is this? It is the best you are master of. Then take knowledge of yourself. Black ingratitude is rooted in your inmost frame. You can no more love God than you can see him; or than you can be happy without that love.

Neither (how much soever you may pique yourself upon it) are you a lover of mankind. Can love and malice consist? Benevolence and Envy? O do not put out your own eyes. And are not these horrid tempers in you? Do not you envy one man, and bear malice or ill will to another? I know you call these dispositions by softer names; but names change not the nature of things. You are pained that one should enjoy what you cannot enjoy yourself. Call this what you please, it is rank Envy. You are grieved, that a second enjoys even what you have yourself; you rejoice in seeing a third unhappy. Do not flatter yourself: this is malice, venomous malice, and nothing else. And how could you ever think of being happy, with malice and envy in your heart? Just as well might you expect to be at ease, while you held burning coals in your bosom.

17. I intreat you to reflect, whether there are not other inhabitants in your breast, which leave no room for happiness there. May you not discover through a thousand disguises, Pride? Too high an opinion of yourself? Vanity, thirst of praise, even (who would believe it?) of the applause of knaves and fools? Unevenness or foreness of temper? Proneness to anger or revenge? Peevishness, fretfulness, or pining discontent? Nay, perhaps, even covetousness. — And did you ever think happiness could dwell with these? Awake out of that senseless dream. Think not of reconciling things incompatible. All these tempers are essential misery. So long as any of these are harboured in your breast, you must be a stranger to inward peace. What avails it you, if there be no other hell? When-

ever these fiends are let loose upon you, you will be constrained to own,

“ Hell is where’er I am : myself am hell ! ”

And can the Supreme Being love those tempers, which you yourself abhor in all but yourself ? If not, they imply guilt as well as misery. Doubtless they do. Only enquire of your own heart. How often in the mid career of your vice have you felt a secret reproof, which you knew not how to bear, and therefore stifled as soon as possible ?

18. And did not even this point at an hereafter ! a future state of existence ? The more reasonable among you have no doubt of this ! you hardly suppose the soul once disengaged, will dwell again in a house of clay. But how will your soul subsist without it ? How are you qualified for a separate state ? Suppose this earthly covering, this vehicle of organized matter, whereby you hold commerce with the material world, were now to drop off ! Now, what would you do in the regions of immortality ? You cannot eat or drink there. You cannot indulge either the desire of the flesh, the desire of the eye, or the pride of life. You love only worldly things ; and they are gone, fled as smoke, driven away for ever. Here is no possibility of sensual enjoyments ; and you have a relish for nothing else. O what a separation is this, from all that you hold dear ! What a breach is made, never to be healed !

But beside this, you are unholy : full of evil tempers : for you did not put off these with the body. You did not leave Pride, Revenge, Malice, Envy, Discontent behind you, when you left the world. And now you are no longer cheered by the light of the sun, nor diverted by the various objects : but those dogs of hell are let loose to prey upon your soul, with their whole, unrebated strength. Nor is there any hope that your spirit will now ever be restored to its original purity : not even that poor hope of a purging fire, so elegantly described by the Heathen Poet some ages before the notion was revived among the doctrines of the Romish Church.

Alia

————— *Alia tenduntur inanes*
Suspensæ ad ventos ; aliis sub gurgite vasto
Infectum eluitur scelus, aut exuritur igni—
Donec longa dies, exacto temporis orbe,
Concretam exemit labem, purumque reliquit
Æthereum sensum atque aurai simplicis ignem.

19. What a great gulph then is fixed between you and happiness, both in this world and that which is to come ? Well may you shudder at the thought ! More especially when you are about to enter on that untried state of existence. For what a prospect is this, when you stand on the verge of life, ready to launch out into eternity ? What can you then think ? You see nothing before you. All is dark and dreary. On the very best supposition, how well may you address your parting soul in the words of dying Adrian :

“ Poor, little, pretty, fluttering thing,
 Must we no longer live together ?
 And dost thou prune thy trembling wing,
 To take thy flight thou know’st not whither ?

Thy pleasing vein, thy hum’rous folly
 Is all neglected, all forgot ;
 And pensive, wavering, melancholy,
 Thou hop’st, and fear’st thou know’st not what.”

“ Thou knowest not what ! ” Here is the sting, suppose there were no other. To be thou knowest not what ! Not for a month, or year, but through the countless ages of eternity ! What a tormenting uncertainty must this be ? What racking unwillingness must it occasion, to exchange even this known vale of tears, for the unknown valley of the shadow of death ?

“ And is there no cure for this ? ” Indeed there is an effectual cure ; even the knowledge and love of God. There is a knowledge of God which unveils eternity, and a love of God which endears it. That knowledge makes the great abyss visible ; and uncertainty vanishes away. That love makes it amiable to the soul, so that

fear has no more place ! But the moment God says, by the welcome Angel of Death, " Come up hither," She

" Claps the glad wing and towers away,
And mingles with the blaze of day."

20. See ye not, what advantage every way, a Christian has over you ? Probably the reason you saw it not before was, because you knew none but nominal Christians ; men who profess to believe more (in their way of believing) but had no more of the knowledge and love of God than yourselves. So that with regard to real, inward Religion, you stood upon even ground. And, perhaps, in many branches of inward Religion, the advantage was on your side.

May the Lord, the God of the Christians, either reform these wretches, or take them away from the earth ! That lay this grand stumbling-block in the way of those who desire to know the will of God !

O ye who desire to know his will, regard them not ! If it be possible blot them out of your remembrance.

They neither can nor will do you any good. O suffer them not to do you harm. Be not prejudiced against Christianity by those who know nothing at all of it. Nay, they condemn it, all real, substantial Christianity ; they speak evil of the thing they know not. They have a kind of cant word for the whole Religion of the heart. They call it Enthusiasm.

I will briefly lay before you the ground of the matter, and appeal to you yourselves for the reasonableness of it.

21. What a miserable drudgery is the service of God, unless I love the God whom I serve ? But I cannot love one whom I know not. How then can I love God till I know him ? And how is it possible I should know God, unless he makes himself known unto me ? By Analogy or Proportion ? Very good. But where is that proportion to be found ? What proportion does a creature bear to his Creator ? What is the proportion between Finite and Infinite ?

I grant

I grant the existence of the creatures demonstratively shews the existence of their Creator. The whole creation speaks, that there is a God. But that is not the point in question. I know there is a God. Thus far is clear. But who will shew me what that God is? The more I reflect, the more convinced I am, that it is not possible for any or all of the creatures, to take off the vail which is on my heart, that I might discern this unknown God; to draw the curtain back which now hangs between, that I might see him which is invisible.

This vail of flesh now hides him from my sight. And who is able to make it transparent? So that I may perceive *through this glass*, God always before me, till I see him *face to face*.

I want to know this great God who filleth heaven and earth: who is above, beneath, and on every side, in all places of his dominion, who just now besets me behind and before, and lays his hand upon me. And yet I am no more acquainted with him, than with one of the inhabitants of Jupiter or Saturn.

O my friend, how will you get one step farther, unless God reveal himself to your soul?

22. And why should this seem a thing incredible to you? That God, a Spirit, and the Father of the spirits of all flesh, should discover himself to your spirit, which is itself the breath of God. *Divina Particula Auræ*? Any more than that material things should discover themselves to your material eye. Is it any more repugnant to Reason, that spirit should influence spirit, than that matter should influence matter? Nay, is not the former the more easily intelligible of the two? For there is the utmost difficulty in conceiving, how matter should influence matter at all. How that which is totally passive should act. Neither can we rationally account either for Gravitation, Attraction, or any natural motion whatsoever, but by supposing in all the Finger of God, who alone conquers that *Vis inertiae* which is essential to every particle of Matter and worketh in all.

Now if God should ever open the eyes of your understanding, must not the Love of God be the imme-

diate consequence ? Do you imagine you can see God without loving him ? Is it possible in the nature of things ? *Si virtus conspiceretur oculis*, said the old Hea-then, *mirabiles amores excitaret sui*. How much more if you see him who is the original Fountain, the great Archetype of all Virtue, will that sight raise in you a love that is wonderful, such as the gay and busy world know not of !

23. What benevolence also, what tender love to the whole of human kind, will you drink in, together with the love of God, from the unexhausted source of love ? And how easy is it to conceive, that more and more of his Image will be then transfused into your soul ? That from disinterested love, all other divine tempers will, as it were, naturally spring ? Mildness, gentleness, patience, temperance, justice, sincerity, contempt of the world ; yea, whatsoever things are venerable and lovely, whatsoever are justly of good report.

And when you thus love God and all mankind, and are transformed into his likeness, then the commandments of God will not be grievous ; you will no more complain, that they destroy the comfort of life. So far from it, that they will be the very joy of your heart ; ways of pleasantness, paths of peace ! You will experience here that solid happiness, which you had elsewhere sought in vain. Without servile fear or anxious care, so long as you continue on earth you will gladly do the will of God here, as the angels do it in heaven. And when the time is come that you should depart hence, when God says, " Arise, and come away," you will pass with joy unspeakable out of the body, into all the fulness of God.

Now does not your own heart condemn you, if you call this religion *Enthusiasm* ? O leave that to those blind zealots, who tack together a set of Opinions and an outside worship, and call this poor, dull, lifeless thing, by the sacred name of *Christianity*. Well might you account *such Christianity* as this, a mere piece of empty pageantry, fit indeed to keep the vulgar in awe, but beneath the regard of a man of understanding.

But

But in how different a light does it now appear? If there be such a religion as I have sketched out, must not every reasonable man see, there is nothing on earth to be desired in comparison of it? — But if any man desire this, let him ask of God: he giveth to all men liberally and upbraideth not.

24. May you not ask, quite consistently with your principles, in some manner resembling this?

O thou Being of Beings, thou Cause of All, thou seekest my heart; thou understandest all my thoughts. But how small a part of thy ways do I understand! I know not what is above, beneath, on every side. I know not my own soul. Only this I know, I am not what I ought to be. I see and approve the Virtue which I have not. I do not love thee, neither am I thankful. I commend the love of mankind; but I feel it not. Thou hast seen hatred, malice, envy in my heart. Thou hast seen anger, murmuring, discontent. These uneasy passions harrow up my soul, I cannot rest, while I am under this yoke. Nor am I able to shake it off. I am unhappy, and that thou knowest.

Have compassion upon me, thou whose years do not fail! On me, who have but a short time to live. I rise up, and am cut down as a flower. I flee as it were a shadow. Yet a little while, and I return to dust, and have no more place under the sun.

Yet I know thou hast made my soul to live for ever. But I know not where; and I am unwilling to try. I tremble, I am afraid to go thither, whence I shall not return. I stand quivering on the edge of the gulph; for clouds and darkness rest upon it. O God! Must I go always "creeping with terrors, and plunge into eternity with a peradventure!"

O thou Lover of men, is there no help in thee? I have heard (what indeed my heart cannot conceive) that thou revealest thyself to those that seek thee, and pourest thy love into their hearts: and that they who know and love thee, walk through the shadow of death and fear no evil. O that this were so! That there were such an unspeakable gift, given to the children of men! For then might I hope for it. O God, if there

there be, give it unto me ! Speak that I may see thee !
Make thyself known unto me also in the manner that
thou knowest ! In any wise let me know thee and
love thee, that I may be formed after thy likeness !
That I may be love, as thou art love ; that I may now
be happy in thee ; and when thou wilt, fall into the
abyss of thy love, and enjoy thee through the ages of
eternity !

END OF PART II.

A FARTHER

A
FARTHER APPEAL
TO MEN OF
REASON AND RELIGION.

P A R T III.

I. 1. **N**OW, what can an impartial person think concerning the present state of Religion in England? Is there a nation under the sun which is so deeply fallen from the very first principles of all Religion? Where is the country, in which is found so utter a disregard to even Heathen Morality? Such a thorough contempt of Justice and Truth, and all that should be dear and honourable to rational creatures?

What species of Vice can possibly be named, even of those that Nature itself abhors, of which we have not had for many years, a plentiful and still increasing harvest? What sin remains either in Rome or Constantinople, which we have not imported long ago (if it was not of our own native growth) and improved upon ever since? Such a complication of villanies of every kind, considered with all their aggravations, such a scorn of whatever bears the face of Virtue, such *injustice, fraud, and falsehood*; above all, such *perjury*, and such a *method of law*, we may defy the whole world to produce.

What multitudes are found throughout our land, who do not even *profess* any Religion at all? And what numbers of those who *profess* much, confute their
their

their profession by their *practice*? Yea, and perhaps, by their exorbitant pride, vanity, covetousness, rapaciousness, or oppression, cause the very *name of Religion* to stink in the nostrils of many (otherwise) *reasonable men*?

2. "However we have many thousands still, of truly *virtuous* and *religious men*." Wherein does their *Religion* consist? In *Righteousness* and true *Holiness*? In *Love* stronger than *Death*? *Fervent Gratitude* to *God*? And tender *Affection* to all his *Creatures*? Is their *Religion*, the *Religion of the Heart*? A *Renewal* of the *Soul* in the *Image of God*? Do they *resemble him* they *worship*? Are they free from *Pride*, from *Vanity*, from *Malice* and *Envy*, from *Ambition* and *Avarice*, from *Passion* and *Lust*; from every *uneasy* and *unlovely Temper*? Alas, I fear neither they (the greater part at least) nor you, know what *this Religion* means; or have any more notion of it, than the peasant that holds the plough, of the *Religion of a Gymnosophist*.

It is well if the *genuine Religion* of *Christ* has any more alliance with what you call *Religion*, than with the *Turkish Pilgrimages to Mecca*, or the *Popish worship* of our *Lady of Loretto*. Have not you substituted in the place of the *Religion of the Heart*, something (I do not say equally *sinful*, but) equally *vain*, and foreign to the *worshipping of God in spirit and in truth*?—What else can be said even of *Prayer* (*public* or *private*) in the manner wherein you generally perform it? As a thing of *course*, running round and round, in the same dull track, without either the *knowledge* or *love* of *God*? Without one heavenly *temper*, either attained or improved? O what mockery of *God* is this!

And yet even *this Religion*, which can do you no good, may do you much harm. Nay, it is plain it does: it daily increases your *Pride*, as you measure your goodness by the *number* and *length* of your performances. It gives you a deep *contempt* for those who do not come up to the full *Tale* of your *Virtues*. It inspires men with a *zeal*, which is the very fire of hell, furious, bitter, implacable, unmerciful; often to a degree that
 extinguishes

extinguishes all compassion, all good nature and humanity. Inſomuch that the execrable *fiercenefs* of ſpirit, which is the natural fruit of ſuch a Religion, hath many times, in ſpite of all ties, divine and human, broke out into open violence, into rapine, murder, ſedition, rebellion, civil war, to the deſolation of whole cities and countries.

Tantum hæc Religio potuit ſuadere malorum !

3. Now if there be a God, and one that is not a mere idle ſpectator of the things that are done upon earth, but a Rewarder of men and nations according to their works, what can the event of theſe things be ? It was reaſonable to believe, that he would have riſen long ago, and maintained his own cauſe, either by ſending the Famine or Peſtilence among us, or by pouring out his fury in blood. And many wiſe and holy men have frequently declared, that they daily expected this ; that they daily looked for the patience of God to give place, and judgment to rejoice over mercy.

4. Juſt at this time, when we wanted little of *filling up the meaſure of our iniquities*, two or three Clergymen of the Church of England began vehemently to *call Sinners to Repentance*. In two or three years they had ſounded the alarm, to the utmoſt borders of the land. Many thouſands gathered together to hear them ; and in every place where they came, many began to ſhew ſuch a concern for Religion, as they never had done before. A ſtronger *impreſſion* was made on their minds, of the importance of things eternal, and they had more earneſt *deſires* of ſerving God, than they had ever had from their earlieſt childhood. Thus did God begin to draw them toward himſelf with the cords of love, with the bands of a man.

Many of theſe were in a ſhort time deeply convinced of the number and *heinouſneſs* of their *ſins*. They were alſo made thoroughly ſenſible of thoſe *Tempers*, which are juſtly hateful to God and man, and of their utter ignorance of God, and entire *inability*, either to know, love, or ſerve him. At the ſame time, they ſaw in the ſtrongeſt light, the *inſignificancy* of their *outſide Religion* :

gion : nay, and often confessed it before God, as the most abominable *hypocrisy*. Thus did they sink deeper and deeper into that *Repentance*, which must ever precede *Faith* in the Son of God.

And from hence sprung *Fruits meet for Repentance*. The drunkard commenced sober and temperate ; the whoremonger abstained from adultery and fornication ; the unjust from oppression and wrong. He that had been accustomed to curse and to swear, for many years, now swore no more. The sluggard began to work with his hands, that he might eat his own bread. The miser learned to deal his bread to the hungry, and to cover the naked with a garment. Indeed the whole form of their life was changed. They had *left off doing evil and learned to do well*.

5. But this was not all. Over and above this *outward change*, they began to experience *inward religion*. *The love of God was shed abroad in their hearts*, which they continue to enjoy to this day. They love him, *because he first loved us*, and with-held not from us his Son, his only Son. And this love constrains them to love all mankind, all the children of the Father of heaven and earth, and inspires them with every holy and heavenly temper, the whole mind that was in Christ. Hence it is that they are now uniform in their behaviour, unblameable in all manner of conversation. And in whatsoever state they are, they have learned therewith to be content : insomuch that now they can *in every thing give thanks* : they more than patiently acquiesce, they rejoice and are exceeding glad in all God's dispensations towards them. For as long as they love God (and that love no man taketh from them) they are always happy in God. Thus they calmly travel on through life, being never weary nor faint in their minds, never repining, murmuring, nor dissatisfied, casting all their care upon God, till the hour comes that they should drop this covering of earth and return unto the great Father of Spirits. Then especially it is, that they rejoice with *joy unspeakable and full of glory*. You who credit it not, come and see. See these living, dying Christians.

“ Happy

“ Happy while on earth they breathe ;
 Mightier joys ordained to know,
 Trampling on Sin, Hell, and Death,
 To the third heaven they go ? ”

Now if these things are so, what reasonable man can deny (supposing the Scriptures to be true) that God is now visiting this nation, in a far other manner than we had cause to expect ? Instead of pouring out his fierce displeasure upon us, he hath made us yet another tender of mercy : so that even when *Sin did most abound, Grace hath much more abounded.*

6. Yea, the *Grace of God which bringeth salvation*, present salvation from inward and outward sin, hath abounded of late years in such a degree, as neither we nor our fathers had known. How extensive is the change which has been wrought on the minds and lives of the people ! Know ye not that the sound is gone forth into all the land ? That there is scarce a city or considerable town to be found, where some have not been roused out of the sleep of Death, and constrained to cry out, in the bitterness of their souls, *What must I do to be saved ?* That this *religious concern* has spread to every age and sex ! to most orders and degrees of men ? To abundance of those in particular, who in time past, were accounted monsters of wickedness, *drinking in iniquity like water*, and committing all *uncleanness with greediness.*

7. In what age has such a work been wrought, considering the *swiftness* as well as the *extent* of it ? When have such *numbers* of sinners, in so *short* a time, been recovered from the error of their ways ? When hath Religion, I will not say since the Reformation, but since the days of Constantine the Great, made so large a progress in any nation, within so small a space ? I believe, hardly can either ancient or modern History, supply us with a parallel instance.

8. Let understanding men observe also the *depth* of the work, so *extensively* and *swiftly* wrought. It is not a slight or superficial thing : but multitudes of men have been so thoroughly *convinced of sin*, that their bones were *smitten asunder*, as it were with a sword dividing the

very joints and marrow. Many of these have been shortly after so filled with *peace and joy in believing*, that whether they were in the body, or out of the body they could scarcely tell. And in the power of this faith they have trampled under foot whatever the world accounts either terrible or desirable; Having evidenced in the severest trials, so fervent a love to God, so inviolable and tender a good-will to mankind, particularly to their enemies, and such a measure of all the fruits of holiness, as were not unworthy the Apostolic Age. Now so deep a repentance, so firm a faith, so fervent love and unblemished holiness, wrought in so many persons, within so short a time, the world has not seen for many ages.

9. No less remarkable is the *Purity of the Religion* which has extended itself so *deeply and swiftly*. I speak particularly, with regard to the doctrines held by those, among whom it is so extended. Those of the Church of England, at least, must acknowledge this. For where is there a body of people in the Realm, who, number for number, so closely adhere to what our Church delivers as pure doctrine? Where are there those who have approved and do approve themselves more *orthodox*, more sound in their opinions? Is there a Socinian or Arian among them all? Nay, were you to recite the whole catalogue of *Heresies*, enumerated by Bishop Pearson, it might be asked, Who can lay any one of these to their charge?

Nor is their Religion more *pure* from *heresy*, than it is from *superstition*. In former times, wherever an unusual concern for the things of God had appeared, on the one hand, *strange and enormous Opinions* continually sprung up with it; on the other, a *Zeal* for things which were no part of Religion, as though they had been essential branches of it. And many have laid as great (if not greater) stress on trifles, as on the weightier matters of the law. But it has not been so in the present case. No stress has been laid on any thing, as tho' it were necessary to salvation, but what is undeniably contained in the word of God. And of the things contained therein, the stress laid on each, has been in proportion to the nearness of its relation, to what is there

there laid down as the sum of all, the love of God and our neighbour. So *pure* from *superstition*, so thoroughly *scriptural* is that Religion, which has lately spread in this nation.

10. It is likewise *rational* as well as *scriptural*; it is as *pure* from *Enthusiasm*, as from *Superstition*. It is true, the contrary has been continually *affirmed*. But to *affirm* is one thing, to *prove* is another. Who will *prove*, that it is *Enthusiasm* to love God? Even though we love him with all our heart? To *rejoice* in the sense of his love to us? To *praise* him, even with all our strength? Who is able to *make good* this charge, against the *love* of *all mankind*? Or, laying *Rhetorical* flourishes aside, to come close to the Question, and *demonstrate*, that it is *Enthusiasm*, in every state we are in, therewith to be *content*? I do but just touch on the *general heads*. Ye men of reason, give me a man, who, setting *raillery* and ill names apart, will maintain this by dint of *Argument*. If not, own *this Religion* is the thing you seek; sober, manly, rational, divine: however exposed to the censure of those, who are accustomed to revile what they understand not.

11. It may be farther observed, the Religion of those we now speak of, is entirely clear from *Bigotry*. (Perhaps this might have been ranked with *Superstition*, of which it seems to be only a particular species.) They are in no wise *bigotted* to *Opinions*. They do indeed hold right opinions. But they are peculiarly cautious, not to rest the weight of Christianity there. They have no such overgrown fondness for any opinions, as to think those alone will make them Christians, or to confine their affection and esteem to those who agree with them therein. There is nothing they are more fearful of than this, lest it should steal upon them unawares. Nor are they *bigotted* to any particular branch even of practical Religion. They desire indeed to be exact in every jot and tittle, in the very smallest points of *Christian Practice*. But they are not attached to one point more than another; they aim at uniform, universal Obedience. They contend for nothing *trifling*, as if it was important; for nothing *circumstantial*, as if it were

essential to Christianity ; but for every thing in its own order.

12. Above all let it be observed, that this Religion has no mixture of *Vice* or *Unholiness*. It gives no man of any rank or profession, the least licence to sin. It makes no allowance to any person for ungodliness of any kind. Not that all who follow after, have attained this, either are already perfect. But however that be, they *plead* for no sin, either inward or outward. They condemn every kind and degree thereof, in themselves, as well as in other men. Indeed most in themselves ; it being their constant care, to bring those words home to their own case, " Whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all."

13. Yet there is not found among them that *bitter Zeal*, in points either of small or of great importance, that spirit of *Persecution*, which has so often accompanied the spirit of Reformation. It is an idle conceit, that the spirit of persecution is among the Papists only ; it is wheresoever the devil, that old murderer works ; and he still *worketh in all the children of disobedience*. Of consequence, all the children of disobedience, will on a thousand different pretences, and in a thousand different ways, so far as God permits, persecute the children of God. But what is still more to be lamented is, that the children of God themselves, have so often used the same weapons and persecuted others, when the power was in their own hands.

Can we wholly excuse those venerable men, our great Reformers themselves, from this charge ? I fear not, if we impartially read over any History of the Reformation. What wonder is it then, that when the tables were turned, Bishop Bonner or Gardiner should make reprisals ? That they should measure to others (indeed *good measure, shaken together*) what had before been measured to them ? Nor is it strange, when we consider the single case of Joan Boucher, that God should suffer those (otherwise) holy men, Archbishop Cranmer, Bishop Ridley, and Bishop Latimer, to drink of the same cup with her.

14. But

14. But can you find any tincture of this in the case before us? Do not all who have known the love of God, know *what spirit they are of*? And that *the Son of Man is not come to destroy men's lives but to save them*? Do they approve of the using any kind or degree of *violence*, on any account or pretence whatsoever in matters of *Religion*? Do they not hold the *right* every man has to judge *for himself*, to be sacred and inviolable? Do they allow any method of bringing even those who are the farthest out of the way, who are in the grossest errors, to the knowledge of the truth, except the methods of Reason and Persuasion? Of Love, Patience, Gentleness, Long-suffering? Is there any thing in their *practice* which is inconsistent with this their constant *profession*? Do they in fact hinder their own relations or dependents from worshipping God according to their own *conscience*? When they believe them to be in error, do they use force of any kind, in order to bring them out of it? Let the instances, if there are such, be produced. But if no such are to be found, then let all reasonable men, who believe the Bible, own, that a work of God is wrought in our land: and such a work (if we survey in one view the *extent* of it, the *swiftness* with which it is spread, the *depth* of that Religion which was so swiftly diffused, and its *purity* from all corrupt mixtures,) as it must be acknowledged, cannot easily be paralleled, in all these concurrent circumstances, by any thing that is found in the English Annals, since Christianity was first planted in this island.

II. 1. And yet those *who can discern the face of the Sky, cannot discern the signs of the times*. Yet those who are esteemed wise men, do not know that God is now reviving his work upon earth. Indeed concerning some of these the reason is plain; they *know* not, because they *think* not of it. Their thoughts are otherwise employed; their minds are taken up with things of quite a different nature. Or, perhaps they may think of it a *little* now and then, when they have nothing else to do! but not seriously, or deeply; not with any closeness or attention of thought. They are too much in *haste to weigh* the facts whereof we speak, and to draw

the just inference therefrom ; nor is the conviction which they may sometimes feel suffered to sink into their hearts ; but things that have a larger share in their affections soon destroy the very traces of it.

2. True it is, that there are some who think more deeply, who are accustomed to consider things from the foundation, and to lay circumstances together, that they may judge of nothing before they have full evidence : and yet even some of these appear to be *in doubt*, concerning the present work. Now, supposing it to be a work of God, how can this be accounted for ? That they who so diligently enquire concerning it, do not know the time of their visitation ? Perhaps because of the deeply rooted *prejudice* which they brought with them to the enquiry ; and which still hangs on their minds, makes it scarce possible for them to form an impartial judgment. Perhaps, even a slight *prepossession* might occasion their stumbling on some of those rocks of *offence*, which by the wise permission of God, always did and always will attend any Revival of his Work. Nay, it may be, their very caution was carried to excess. They would not judge before they had such evidence as the nature of the thing would not admit, or, at least, God did not think fit to give.

3. All this is very easy to conceive. But it may at first appear surprising, to find men of renown, men supposed to be endowed with knowledge, and with abilities of every kind, flatly, openly, peremptorily *denying*, that there has been any *unusual* work of God at all ! Yea, a late eminent Writer goes farther yet, accounts it an instance of downright *Enthusiasm*, to imagine, that there is an *extraordinary* work now wrought upon the earth.*

It avails not to say, " No he does not deny this, but he denies it to be the work of God." This is palpably trifling : for the work under consideration, is of such a nature (namely, the conversion of men from all manner of sin, to holiness of heart and life) that if it be at any time wrought at all, it must be the work of God : seeing it is God alone, and not

* *Observation*, Part III.

any child of man, who is able to *destroy the works of the Devil*.

Yet neither is this difficult to be accounted for, if we consider things more closely: for the same *prejudice* which keeps some *in doubt*, may easily be conceived so to influence others, as to make them wholly *deny* the work of God. And this it may do in several ways: it may either bring to question the facts related, and hinder their endeavouring to be more fully informed; or prevent their drawing the inferences from those facts, as they would otherwise see to be plain and undeniable. Yea, and it will give ten-fold weight to the offences which must come, so as to over-balance all evidence whatsoever.

4. This also may account for the behaviour of those, who not content to suspend their judgment, or to *deny* the work of God, go farther still, even to the length of *contradicting* and *blaspheming*. Nay, some of these have expressed a deeper abhorrence, and shewn a stronger enmity against this, than they were ever known to do against Popery, Infidelity, or any Heresy whatsoever. Some have *persecuted* the *Instruments* whom it pleased God to use herein, only *not to the death*: and others have treated in the same manner, all those whom they termed their *Followers*. A few instances of this it may be proper to mention, out of the very many which might be recited.

5. On the 20th of June, 1743, a great multitude of people gathered together, chiefly from Walsal, Darlestone, and Bilston, in Wensbury Church-yard, Staffordshire. They went from thence (after by sounding a horn they had gathered their whole company together) to Mr. Eaton's house, in the middle of the town, who was at that time *Constable*. He went to the door with his Constable's staff, and began reading the Act of Parliament against Riots; but the stones flew so thick about his head, that he was forced to leave off reading and retire. They broke all his windows, the door of his house, and a large clock in pieces. They went then to above fourscore other houses, in many of which there were not three panes of glass left.

6. About

6. About Whitsuntide, 1743, a mob arose at Darlestone, (near Wensbury) and broke all the windows (besides spoiling many of their goods) of Joshua Constable, John Cotterel, Thomas Butler, Thomas Wilkinson, Aaron Longmore, William Powell, Ann Evans, Walter Carter, Samuel Carter, and Thomas Wilks.

Edward Martin, Ann Low, Joan Fletcher, Edward Horton, Mumford Wilks, Joshua Yardly, and Robert Deacon, had all their windows broke twice.

James Foster, Widow Hires, and Jonathan Jones had their windows broke, and money extorted to save their houses.

James Foster and Joice Wood had their windows broken, and their goods broken and spoiled.

Jos. Spittle had his windows broke, his house broken open, some goods spoiled, and some taken away.

William Woods had his windows broke twice, and himself compelled to go along with the rabble.

Elizabeth Lingham, a widow with five children, had her goods spoiled, her spinning wheel (the support of her family) broke; and her *Parish Allowance* reduced from 2s. and 6d. to 1s. and 6d. a week.

Valentine Amberley had his windows broke twice, and his wife, big with child, beaten with clubs.

George Wynn had his windows and goods broken, and to save his house, was forced to give them drink.

Thomas Day had his windows and goods broken, and was forced to remove from the town.

Joseph Stubbs had his windows broke twice, and his wife so frightened, that she miscarried.

7. On June 20, 1743, John Baker, at the head of a large mob came to the house of Jonas Turner, at West-Bramwick, near Wednesbury, and asked him, "whether he would *keep from these men* that went preaching about, and go to the Church?" He answered, "*I do go to the Church.* But I never see any of you there." Presently one Daniel Oniens with a great club, broke great part of the window at one blow. Others laid hold of him, and dragged him about sixty yards, before he could get loose from them. Afterwards they broke all his windows, and threw

threw into his house abundance of stones, to break his goods.

About four in the afternoon they came to the house of Widow Turner of West-Bramwick. They threw in the bricks and stones so fast, that she was forced to open the door and run out among them. One of the daughters cried out, "my mother will be killed!" On which they fell to throwing stones at her. She ran into a neighbour's house, but before she could shut the door, they broke the bottom off with a brick end. They followed her other daughters with stones, and one with a great stake. She ran into another house much frightened, expecting to be murdered. The Widow asked, "How can you come and abuse us thus?" On which, one came with a large club, and swore, "if she spoke another word, he would knock her on the head, and bury her in the ditch." Then he went and broke all the glass that was left. The same they did to many of the neighbouring houses.

8. On the 19th of June, James Yeaman, of Walsal, saw Mary Bird in her father's house at Wensbury, and swore, "by G— you are there now, but we will kill you to-morrow." Accordingly he came with a mob the next day; and after they had broken all the windows, he took up a stone, and said, "Now by G— I will kill you." He threw it, and struck her on the side of the head. The blood gushed out, and she dropped down immediately.

The same day they came to John Turner's house, and after they had broke the windows, casements, and ceiling, one of them cried out, "I suppose now you will go to your dear Jesus's wounds and see them opened for you."

Another of them took Mr. Hands of Wensbury, by the throat, swore he would be the death of him, gave him a swing round, and threw him upon the ground. As soon as he rose, one Equal Baker gave him a blow on the eye, and knocked him down again. In about half an hour the mob came to his house, and broke all the windows, except about twenty panes. The kitchen windows they cleared, lead, bars and all, broke the window-posts, and threw them into the house. The shop

shop was shut up (he being an Apothecary :) but they quickly broke it open, broke all the pots and bottles in pieces, and destroyed all his medicines. They broke also the shelves and drawers in the shop to pieces, and many of his household goods.

In the latter end of June, John Griffiths, of Wensbury, and Francis Ward, went to Mr. D. Justice of the Peace. They told him the condition they and their neighbours were in, their houses broken, and their goods spoiled. He replied, "I suppose you follow these parsons that come about. I will neither meddle nor make."

9. On January 13, 1743-4, the mob rose again at Darlestone, broke all the windows of all who *followed this Way*, (except two or three who bought themselves off) broke open several houses, and took what they liked, the people belonging to them having fled for their lives.

About the same time, the Rev. Mr. E—— came to Darlestone; and meeting some others at Thomas Forshew's they drew up a writing, and Nicholas Winspur, the Crier of the town, gave public notice, "that all the people of the Society must come to Mr. Forshew's, and sign it; or else their houses would be pulled down immediately." It was to this effect, "that they would never read, or sing, or pray together, or hear these Parsons any more."

Several signed this through fear. They made every one who did, lay down a penny — "*To make the mob drink.*"

About Candlemas, the wife of Joshua Constable, of Darlestone, was going to Wensbury, when a mob met her in the road, threw her down several times, and abused her in a manner too horrible to write. A Warrant was procured for some of these. But one of them only was carried before Mr. G——, who came back and told his companions, that the Justice said, "that they might go home about their business." On this the mob rose again, came to Joshua's house, and destroyed all the goods therein. They likewise broke and spoiled all his shop tools, threw the tiles off the roof of the house, and pulled down one room, the joists of which
they

they carried away with them. All his gunlocks they took away; they tore in pieces all his wife's linen, cut the bed and bedstead, so that it was good for nothing, and tore her Bible and Common-Prayer Book all to pieces. She and her husband retired to another house. But one telling the mob they were there, they swore, "they would tear it down immediately, if the man let them stay any longer." So they went out into the frost and snow, not knowing where to lay their head.

10. On Tuesday, January 31, 1743-4, Henry Old came to John Griffith's house, saying, "If he did not leave *following this Way*, he had a hundred men at his command, who should come and pull his house down." Soon after he brought some with him, but the neighbours gave him money, and sent him away for that time.

Monday Feb. 6. Between seven and eight at night, came part of the same company. Hearing them afar off, John and his wife fastened the door, and left the house. Some of the neighbours going in soon after, found them destroying all they could. Two chairs and several bundles of linen were laid upon the fire. After they had destroyed what they could, they loaded themselves with cloaths and meat, and went their way.

The same day public Notice was given at Walsal, by a paper fixt up there, "That all who designed to assist in breaking the windows, and plundering the houses of the Methodists at Wensbury, should be ready at ten o'clock, the next morning, on the Church-Hill."

11. The next morning, February 7, (being Shrove-Tuesday) about half an hour after ten, great numbers of men were gathered together on the Church-Hill. Thence they marched down, some armed with swords, some with clubs, and some with axes. They first fell upon Benjamin Watson's house, and broke many of the tiles, and all the windows. Next they came to Mr. Addingbrook's, broke a fine clock, with many of his goods, and stole all the things they could carry away. The next house was Jane Smith's, whose windows they broke,

broke, with what little goods she had. The next was Mr. Bird's, where they destroyed every thing they found, except what they carried away; cutting the beds in pieces, as they did all the beds which they could any where find. Thence they went to Mr. Edge's house: he was ill of a fever; so, for a sum of money, they past it over. The next house was Mr. Hand's. They broke all his counters, boxes and drawers, and all (except some bedsteads) that axe or hammer could break. They spilt all his drugs and chymical medicines, and stole every thing they could carry, even all his and his wife's wearing apparel, beside what they had on.

12. Mr. Eaton's house was next. They broke all his windows, and all his inside doors in pieces, cut the lead off his house, destroyed or stole whatever they could lay their hands on. Some Gentlemen offered to stop them, if he would sign a paper implying, "That he would never hear these Parsons more." But he told them, "He had felt already what a wounded conscience was; and by the grace of God he would wound his conscience no more."

After they had done at Mr. Eaton's, they plundered several other houses in Wensbury and West-Bramwick. It is scarce possible to describe the outrages they committed. Only they left them they plundered alive.

While they were plundering John Turner's house, he waded through the brook, to try if he could save some of his goods, which one David Garrington was carrying away. Upon which Garrington told him, "It would be the same here as it was in Ireland, for there would be a Massacre very quickly. And he wished it was now."

13. About eleven o'clock, Sarah, the wife of John Sheldon, being told, the mob was coming to her house, went and met them at the gate. She asked John Baker, their Captain, "What they were come for?" He answered, "if she would have nothing to do with those people, not a pennyworth of her goods should be hurt." She made no reply. Then they broke the door open, and began breaking and plundering the goods. One coming

coming out with a fire-shovel, she begged him, "not to take that away." He swore, "if she spoke another word, he would beat her brains out."

John Sheldon was this while helping Thomas Parkes to hide his goods, though he knew by the noise they were breaking his own to pieces. Between two and three he came to his house with William Sitch. William asked Sarah, How she did? Saying, "For his part, he took joyfully the spoiling of his goods. She answered, that "seeing so much wickedness, she could not rejoice; but she blessed God she could bear it patiently, and found not the least anger in her." John Sheldon seeing the spoil they had made, smiled and said, "Here is strange work." His wife told him, "if she had complied with their terms, not one pennyworth would have been hurt." He replied, "That if she had complied to deny the Truth, and he had found his goods whole on that account, he should never have been easy as long as he lived; but he blessed God that she had rather chosen to suffer wrong."

The mob continued to rise for six days together. The damage they did in and about Wensbury, at the very lowest computation, amounted to five hundred and four pounds, seventeen shillings.

Wednesday, October 19, 1743, I came to Birmingham, in my way to Newcastle. Thursday, October 20. several persons from Wensbury earnestly desired me to call there. I yielded to their importunity, and went. I was sitting and writing at Francis Ward's, in the afternoon; when the cry arose, "That the Darlestone mob had beset the house." I called together those that were in the house, and prayed, that God would scatter the people that delight in war. And it was so: one went one way, and one another; so that in half an hour the house was clear on every side. But before five, they returned with greater numbers. The cry of all was, "Bring out the Minister!"

I desired one to bring the Captain of the mob into the house. After a few words interchanged, the lion was as a lamb. I then desired him to bring in one or two more of the most angry of his companions. He did so; and, in two minutes, their mind was changed too. I

then bade them who were in the room make way, that I might go out among the people. As soon as I was in the midst of them, I said, "Here I am : what do you want with me ?" Many cried out, "We want you to go with us to the Justice." I told them, "That I will with all my heart." So I walked before, and two or three hundred of them followed, to Bentley-Hall, two miles from Wensbury. But a servant came out, and told them, "Justice Lane was not to be spoken with." Here they were at a stand, till one advised to go to Justice Persehouse, at Walsal. About seven we came to his house ; but he also sent word, "That he was in bed, and could not be spoken with."

All the company were now pretty well agreed, to make the best of their way home ; but we had not gone a hundred yards, when the mob of Walsal came pouring in like a flood. The Darlestone mob stood against them for awhile ; but, in a short time, some being knocked down, and others much hurt, the rest ran away, and left me in their hands.

To attempt to speak was vain, the noise being like that of taking a city by storm : so they dragged me along till they came to the town, at a few hundred yards distance ; where, seeing the door of a large house open, I endeavoured to go in : but a man catching me by the hair, (my hat having been caught away at the beginning) pulled me back into the middle of the mob ; who were as so many ramping and roaring lions. They hurried me from thence, through the main street, from one end of the town to the other. I continued speaking all the time to those within hearing, feeling no pain or weariness.

At the west end of the town, seeing a door half open, I made towards it, and would have gone in, but a gentleman in the shop would not suffer me, saying, "They would pull the house down, if I did." However, here I stood, and asked, "Are you willing to hear me speak ?" Many cried out, "No, no ; knock his brains out." Others said, "Nay ; but we will hear him speak first." I began asking, "What hurt have I done you ? Whom among you have I wronged

in

in word or deed ? ” And continued speaking till my voice failed. Then the floods lifted up their voice again ; many crying out, “ Bring him away, bring him away ! ”

Feeling my strength renewed, I spoke again, and broke out aloud into prayer. And now, one of the men who had headed the mob before, turned, and said, “ Sir, follow me : not a man shall touch the hair of your head.” Two or three more confirmed his words. At the same time the Mayor (for it was he that stood in the shop) cried out, “ For shame, for shame ; let him go.” An honest butcher spoke to the same effect : and seconded his words by laying hold of four or five one after another, who were running on, the most fiercely. The people then dividing to the right and left, those three or four men who had spoken before, took me between them, and carried me through the midst ; bitterly protesting, “ They would knock down any that touched him.” But on the bridge the mob rallied again : we therefore went on one side, over a Mill-dam, and thence through the meadows, till a little after ten, God brought me safe to Wensbury, having lost only a part of my waistcoat, and a little skin from one of my hands.

I believe every reasonable man will allow, that nothing can possibly excuse these proceedings ; seeing they are open, bare-faced violations, both of justice and mercy, and of all laws divine and human.

III. I suppose no Protestant will undertake to defend such proceedings, even towards the vilest miscreants. But abundance of *excuses* have been made, if not for *opposing* it thus, yet for denying this work to be of God, and for not acknowledging the time of our visitation.

1. Some alledge, that the *Doctrines* of these men are *false*, *erroneous*, and *enthusiastic* ; that they are *new*, and unheard of till of late ; that they are Quakerism, Faticism, Popery.

This whole pretence has been already cut up by the roots ; it having been shewn at large, that every branch of this Doctrine, is the plain Doctrine of Scripture, interpreted by our own Church. Therefore, it cannot

either *false* or *erroneous*, provided the Scripture be true. Neither can it be *enthusiastic*, unless the same epithet belongs to our Articles, Homilies, and Liturgy. Nor yet can these Doctrines be termed *new*: no newer, at least than the reign of Queen Elizabeth; not even with regard to the way of expression, or the manner wherein they are proposed. And as to the substance, they are more ancient still; as ancient not only as the Gospel, as the times of Isaiah, or David, or Moses, but as the first Revelation of God to man. If therefore they were unheard of till of late, in any that is termed a Christian country, the greater guilt is on those, who, as Ambassadors of Christ, ought to publish them day by day.

Fanaticism, if it means any thing at all, means the same with *enthusiasm*, or religious madness, from which (as was observed before) these doctrines are distant as far as the East from the West. However, it is a convenient word to be thrown out, upon any thing we do not like; because scarce one reader in a thousand, has any idea of what it means. If any part of this doctrine is held by the Quakers, there is the more reason to rejoice. I would to God they held it all: though the Doctrine itself, would be neither better nor worse for this.

*Poper*y, in the mouth of many men, means just nothing; or at most, "Something very horrid and bad." But *Poper*y, properly speaking, is, *the distinguishing Doctrines* of the Church of Rome. They are summed up in the *Twelve Articles* which the Council of Trent added to the Nicene Creed. Now who can find the least connexion between any of these, and the doctrines whereof we are speaking?

2. Others alledge, "Their Doctrine is too strict. They make the way to heaven too narrow." And this is in truth the original objection, (as it was almost the only one for some time) and is secretly at the bottom of a thousand more, which appear in various forms. But do they make the way to heaven any narrower, than our Lord and his Apostles made it? Is their Doctrine stricter than that of the Bible? Consider only a few plain texts, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy
God

God with all thy heart, and with all thy mind, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength.—For every idle word which men shall speak, they shall give an account in the day of judgment.—Whether ye eat or drink, or whatever ye do, do all to the glory of God.” If their Doctrine is stricter than this, they are to blame. But you know in your conscience, it is not. And who can be one jot less strict, without *corrupting the word of God*? Can any Steward of the Mysteries of God be found faithful, if he change any part of that sacred Depositum? No. He can abate nothing; he can soften nothing. He is constrained to declare to all men, “I may not bring down the Scripture to your taste: You must come up to it, or perish for ever.”

3. This is the real ground of that other popular cry, concerning “the Uncharitableness of these men.” Uncharitable are they? In what respect? Do they not feed the hungry and clothe the naked? No, that is not the thing. They are not wanting in this. But they are so uncharitable in judging! They think none can be saved, but those of their own way. They damn all the world beside themselves.

What do you mean? “They think none can be saved, but those of their own way.” Most surely they do. For as there is but one heaven, so there is but one way to it: even the way of faith in Christ, (for we speak not of Opinions, or outward modes of worship,) the way of love to God and man, the Highway of Holiness. And is it uncharitable, to think or say, that none can be saved, but those who walk in this way? Was he then uncharitable, who declared, “He that believeth not shall be damned?” Or he that said, “Follow holiness, without which no man shall see the Lord?” And again: “Tho’ I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and tho’ I give my body to be burned, yet if I have not (*αγάπη*) Charity, Love, all this profiteth me nothing.”

“But they damn all,” you say, “beside themselves.” Damn all! What kind of word is this? They damn no man. None is able to damn any man, but the Lord and Judge of all. What you probably mean by this

strange expression is, they declare that God condemns all, beside those who believe in Jesus Christ, and love him, and keep his commandments. And so must you also, or you sin against God, and your neighbour, and your own soul. But is there any uncharitableness in this? In warning sinners to flee from the wrath to come? On the contrary, not to warn a poor, blind, stupid wretch, that he is hanging over the mouth of hell, would be so inexcusable a want of charity, as would bring his blood upon our head.

4. But there is no room for dispute, touching these doctrines in general, seeing our Lord gives you so plain a rule, by which you may easily and infallibly know, whether they be of God. "The tree is known by its fruit; either therefore make the tree good, and its fruit good; or else make the tree corrupt, and its fruit corrupt," (Matt. xii. 33.) Now what fruit does the tree before us bring forth? Look and see; believe your own eyes and ears. Sinners leave their sins. The servants of the devil become the servants of God. Is this good or evil fruit? That Vice loses ground, and Virtue, practical Religion, gains? O dispute no more. Know the tree by its fruit. Bow, and own the finger of God.

5. But many who own these doctrines to be of God, yet cannot be reconciled to the instruments he hath made use of. A very common exception taken against these is (and was from the beginning) "that they are so young." Therefore (abundance of men have readily inferred) "This work cannot be of God."

Perhaps they are not so young as you conceive. Mr. Whitefield is now upwards of thirty; my brother is thirty-seven years of age. I have lived above forty-two years. And a gentleman in Cornwall, for whom I often preach, has the merit of having lived threescore and seventeen years.

But, supposing the antecedent true, what a consequence is this? What shadow of Scripture have you to support it? Doth not God send by whom he will send? And who shall say to him, "What doest thou? These are too young; send elder men." What shadow of reason? Is it not possible, that a person of

thirty

thirty or forty may have as true a judgment in the things of God, and as great a blessing attending his preaching, as one of fifty or fourscore ?

I wish you would explain yourself a little on this head ;

Scire velim, verbo, pretium quotus arroget annus ?

How old do you require a man to be, before God shall have leave to speak by his mouth ?—O my brethren, who could have believed any serious man would once have named such an argument as this ? Seeing both Scripture and Reason teach, that God herein giveth account to none of his ways. But he worketh by whomsoever he will work ; he sheweth mercy by whom he will shew mercy.

6. “ But there are only a few young heads.” I cannot but observe here, what great pains have been taken, what diligence shewn, to make and to keep them few. What arts have not been used, to keep back those of the Clergy in particular, who have been clearly convinced from time to time, that they ought to join hearts and hands in the work ? On this occasion, it has been accounted meritorious to say all manner of evil of us falsely : to promise them whatever their hearts desired, if they would refrain from these men : and, on the other hand, to threaten them with heavy things, if ever they went among them more. So that how fully soever they were convinced, they could not act according to their conviction, unless they could give up at once all thought of preferment, either in Church or State, nay, all hope of even a Fellowship, or poor Scholarship in either University. Many also have been threatened, that if they went on in this way, what little they had should be taken from them. And many have, on this very account, been disowned by their dearest friends and nearest relations. So that there was no possibility the number of these labourers should ever be increased at all, unless by those who could break through all these ties, who desired nothing in the present world, who counted neither their fortunes, nor friends, nor lives, dear unto themselves, so they might only keep a conscience void of offence towards God and towards men.

7. But

7. But what do you infer from their fewness? That because they are few, therefore God cannot work by them? Upon what Scripture do you ground this? I thought it was the same to him to save by many or by few. Upon what reason? Why cannot God save ten thousand souls by one man, as well as by ten thousand? How little, how inconsiderable a circumstance is number before God? Nay, is there not reason to believe, that whensoever God is pleased to work a great deliverance, spiritual or temporal, he may first say, as of old, "The people are too many for me to give the Midianites into their hands?" May he not purposely chuse few as well as inconsiderable instruments, for the greater manifestation of his own glory? Very few, I grant, are the instruments now employed; yet a great work is wrought already. And the fewer they are by whom this large harvest hath hitherto been gathered in, the more evident must it appear to unprejudiced minds, That the work is not of man, but of God.

8. "But they are not only few, but unlearned also." This is another grievous offence; and is by many esteemed a sufficient excuse, for not acknowledging the work to be of God.

The ground of this offence is partly true. Some of those who now preach are unlearned. They neither understand the ancient languages, nor any of the branches of philosophy. And yet this objection might have been spared, by many of those who have frequently made it: because they are unlearned too (tho' accounted otherwise.) They have not themselves the very thing they require in others.

Men in general are under a great mistake with regard to what is called, "The Learned World." They do not know, they cannot easily imagine, how little learning there is among them. I do not speak of abstruse learning; but of what all Divines, at least of any note, are supposed to have, viz. The knowledge of the Tongues, at least Latin, Greek, and Hebrew, and of the common Arts and Sciences.

How few men of learning, so called, understand Hebrew? Even so far as to read a plain chapter in Genesis? Nay, how few understand Greek? Make

an

an easy experiment. Desire that grave man, who is urging this objection, only to tell you the English of the first paragraph that occurs in one of Plato's Dialogues? I am afraid we may go farther still. How few understand Latin? Give one of them an Epistle of Tully, and see how readily he will explain it without his Dictionary. If he can hobble through that, 'tis odds but a Georgick in Virgil, or a Satire of Persius sets him fast.

And with regard to the Arts and Sciences: how few understand so much as the general principles of Logic? Can one in ten of the Clergy (O grief of heart!) Or of the Masters of Arts in either University, when an argument is brought, tell you even the Mood and Figure wherein it is proposed? Or compleat an *Enthymeme*? Perhaps, you do not so much as understand the term: supply the premiss which is wanting, in order to make it a full *Categorical Syllogism*. Can one in ten of them demonstrate a *Problem* or *Theorem* in *Euclid's Elements*? Or define the common terms used in *Metaphysics*? Or intelligibly explain the first Principles of it? Why then will they pretend to that learning, which they are conscious to themselves they have not? Nay, and censure others who have it not, and do not pretend to it? Where are Sincerity and Candour fled?

It will easily be observed, that I do not depreciate Learning of any kind. The knowledge of the Languages is a valuable talent; so is the knowledge of the Arts and Sciences. Both the one and the other may be employed to the glory of God, and the good of men. But yet I ask, Where hath God declared in his Word, that he cannot, or will not make use of men that have it not? Has Moses, or any of the Prophets affirmed this? Or our Lord? Or any of his Apostles? You are sensible all these are against you. You know the Apostles themselves, all except St. Paul, were *αἰδοὺς ἀγράμματος καὶ ἀδίδακτοι* common, unphilosophical, unlettered men.

9. "What! Then you make yourselves like the Apostles." Because this silly objection has so often been urged, I will for once spend a few words upon it, though

though it does not deserve that honour. Why, must not every man, whether Clergyman or Layman, be in some respects, like the Apostles, or go to hell? Can any man be saved, if he be not holy, like the Apostles? A follower of them, as they were of Christ? And ought not every Preacher of the Gospel, to be in a peculiar manner like the Apostles, both in holy tempers, in exemplariness of life, and in his indefatigable labours for the good of souls? Woe unto every Ambassador of Christ, who is not like the Apostles in this! In holiness; in making full proof of his ministry; in spending and being spent for Christ! We cannot, and therefore we need not be like them in working outward miracles. But we may and ought, in working together with God for the salvation of men. And the same God who was always ready to help their infirmities, is ready to help ours also. He who made them workmen that needed not to be ashamed, will teach us also rightly to divide the word of truth. In this respect likewise, in respect of his having help from God, for the work whereunto he is called, every Preacher of the Gospel is like the Apostles. Otherwise he is of all men most miserable.

10. And I am bold to affirm, that these unlettered men have help from God for that great work, the saving souls from death; seeing he hath enabled, and doth enable them still, to turn many to righteousness. Thus hath he "destroyed the wisdom of the wise, and brought to nought the understanding of the prudent." When they imagined they had effectually shut the door, and locked up every passage, whereby any help could come to two or three Preachers, weak in body as well as soul; who they might reasonably believe would, humanly speaking, wear themselves out in a short time: when they had gained their point, by securing (as they supposed) all the men of learning in the nation; "He that sitteth in heaven laughed them to scorn," and came upon them by a way they thought not of. *Out of the stones he raised up* those who should beget children to Abraham. We had no more foresight of this than you. Nay, we had the deepest prejudices against it: untill we could not but own, that God gave wisdom from

from above to these unlearned and ignorant men ; so that the work of the Lord prospered in their hand, and sinners were daily converted to God.

Indeed in the one thing which they profess to know, they are not ignorant men. I trust there is not one of them who is not able to go through such an examination, in substantial, practical, experimental Divinity, as few of our Candidates for Holy Orders, even in the University, (I speak it with sorrow and shame, and in tender love) are able to do. But oh ! what manner of examination do most of those Candidates go through ? And what proof are the Testimonials commonly brought (as solemn as the form is wherein they run,) either of their piety or knowledge, to whom are intrusted those sheep which God hath purchased with his own blood !

11. " But they are Laymen. You seem to be sensible yourself of the strength of this objection. For as many as you have answered, I observe you have never once so much as touched on this."

I have not. Yet it was not distrust of my cause, but tenderness to you which occasioned my silence. I had something to advance on this head also : but I was afraid you could not bear it. I was conscious to myself, that some years since, to touch this point, was to touch the apple of my eye. And this makes me almost unwilling to speak now ; lest I should shock the prejudices I cannot remove.

Suffer me, however, just to intimate to you some things, which I would leave to your farther consideration. The Scribes of old, who were the ordinary Preachers among the Jews, were not Priests ; they were not better than Laymen. Yea, many of them were incapable of the Priesthood, being of the tribe of Simeon, not of Levi.

Hence probably it was, that the Jews themselves never urge it as an objection to our Lord's preaching, (even those who did not acknowledge or believe, that he was sent of God in an extraordinary character) that he was no Priest after the Order of Aaron. Nor indeed could be ; seeing he was of the tribe of Judah.

Nor

Nor does it appear, that any objected this to the Apostles. So far from it, that at Antioch in Pisidia, we find the Ruler of the Synagogue sending to Paul and Barnabas, strangers just come into the city, saying, "Men and brethren, if ye have any word of exhortation for the people, say on," Acts xiii. 15.

If we consider these things, we shall be less surprised at what occurs in the 8th chapter of the Acts: "At that time there was a great persecution against the Church, and they were all scattered abroad:" (i. e. all the Church, all the Believers in Jesus throughout the regions of Judea and Samaria, (ver. 1.) "Therefore they that were scattered abroad, went every where preaching the word," (ver. 4.) Now, what shadow of reason have we to say, or think, that all these were *ordained* before they preached?

12. If we come to later times: Was Mr. Calvin ordained? Was he either Priest or Deacon? And were not most of those whom it pleased God to employ in promoting the Reformation abroad, Laymen also? Could that great work have been promoted at all in many places, if Laymen had not preached? And yet how seldom do the very Papists urge this, as an objection against the Reformation? Nay, as rigorous as they are in things of this kind, they themselves appoint, even in some of their strictest Orders, that "if any Lay-brother believes himself called of God, to preach as a Missionary, the Superior of the Order, being informed thereof, shall immediately send him away."

In all Protestant Churches it is still more evident, that Ordination is not held a necessary pre-requisite of preaching: for in Sweden, in Germany, in Holland, and, I believe, in every reformed Church in Europe, it is not only permitted, but required, that before any one is ordained, (before he is admitted even into Deacon's Orders, where-ever the distinction between Priests and Deacons is retained,) he should publicly preach a year or more, *ad probandum facultatem*. And for this practice, they believe they have the authority of an express command of God: "Let these first be proved: then

then let them use the office of a Deacon, being found blameless," 1 Tim. iii. 10.

13. "In England, however, there is nothing of this kind: no Layman is permitted to speak in public." No! Can you be ignorant, that in a hundred Churches they do it continually? In how many (particularly in the West of England) does the Parish-Clerk read one of the lessons? (In some he reads the whole service of the Church, perhaps, every Lord's-day.) And do not other Laymen constantly do the same thing, yea, in our very Cathedrals? Which being under the more immediate inspection of the Bishops, should be patterns to all other Churches.

Perhaps it will be said, "But this is not preaching." - Yes, but it is, essentially such. For what is it to preach, but *prædicare Verbum Dei*? To publish the Word of God? And this Laymen do all over England; particularly under the eye of every Bishop in the nation.

Nay, is it not done in the Universities themselves? Who ordained that singing man at Christ-Church? Who is likewise utterly unqualified for the work, murdering every lesson he reads? Not even endeavouring to read it as the word of God, but rather as an old song? Such a Layman as this, meddling at all with the word of God, I grant is a scandal to the English nation.

To go a step farther.—Do not the fundamental Constitutions of the University of Oxford, the Statutes, even as revised by Archbishop Laud, require every Batchelor of Arts, nine in ten of whom are Laymen, to read three public Lectures in Moral Philosophy, on whatever subject he chuses? My subject, I well remember, was the Love of God. Now, what was this but Preaching?

Nay, may not a man be a Doctor of Divinity, even in Oxford, though he never was ordained at all? The instance of Dr. Atwell, (late) Rector of Exeter College, is fresh in every one's memory.

These are a few of the considerations that may readily occur to any thinking man on this head. But I did

not rest the cause on these. I believe it may be defended a shorter way.

14. It pleased God by two or three Ministers of the Church of England, to call many sinners to repentance; who, in several parts, were undeniably turned from a course of sin, to a course of holiness.

The Ministers of the places where this was done, ought to have received those Ministers with open arms; and to have taken them who had just begun to serve God, into their peculiar care; watching over them in tender love, lest they should fall back into the snare of the Devil.

Instead of this, the greater part spoke of those Ministers, as if the devil, not God had sent them. Some repelled them from the Lord's Table: others stirred up the people against them, representing them, even in their public discourses, as *Fellows not fit to live: Papists, Heretics, Traitors; Conspirators* against their King and Country.

And how did they watch over the sinners lately reformed? Even as a Leopard watcheth over his prey. They drove some of them also from the Lord's Table; to which, till now, they had no desire to approach. They preached all manner of evil concerning them, openly cursing them in the Name of the Lord. They turned many out of their work; persuaded others to do so too, and harassed them all manner of ways.

The event was, that some were wearied out, and so turned back to their vomit again. And then these good Pastors gloried over them, and endeavoured to shake others by their example.

15. When the Ministers by whom God had helped them before, came again to those places, great part of their work was to begin again; if it could be begun again: but the relapsers were often so hardened in sin, that no impression could be made upon them.

What could they do in a case of so extreme necessity? Where so many souls lay at stake?

No Clergyman would assist at all. The expedient that remained was, to find some one among themselves, who was upright of heart, and of sound judgment in the things of God: and to desire him to meet the rest

as often as he could, in order to confirm them, as he was able, in the ways of God, either by reading to them, or by prayer, or by exhortation.

God immediately gave a blessing hereto. In several places, by means of these plain men, not only those who had already begun to run well, were hindered from drawing back to perdition; but other sinners also, from time to time, were converted from the error of their ways.

This plain account of the whole proceeding, I take to be the best defence of it. I know no Scripture which forbids making use of such help, in a case of such necessity. And I praise God, who has given even this help to those poor sheep, when *their own Shepherds pitied them not.*

“But does not the Scripture say, *No man taketh this honour to himself, but he that is called of God, as was Aaron?*” Nor do these. The *honour* here mentioned is the Priesthood. But they no more take upon them to be Priests than to be Kings. They take not upon them to administer the Sacraments, an honour peculiar to the Priests of God. Only, according to their power, they exhort their brethren, to continue in the grace of God.

“But for these Laymen to exhort at all is a violation of all Order.”

What is this Order of which you speak? Will it serve instead of the knowledge and love of God? Will this order rescue them from the snare of the devil, who are now taken captive at his will? Will it keep them who are escaped a little way, from turning back into Egypt? If not, how should I answer it to God, if rather than violate I know not what Order, I should sacrifice thousands of souls thereto? I dare not do it. It is at the peril of my own soul.

If indeed by Order were meant, *True Christian Discipline*, whereby all the living members of Christ are knit together in one, and all that are putrid and dead immediately cut off from the body: this Order I reverence; for it is of God. But where is it to be found? In what diocese? In what town or parish in England or Wales? Are you Rector of a parish? Then let

us go no farther. Does this Order obtain there? Nothing less. Your parishioners are a rope of sand. As few (if any) of them are alive to God; so they have no connection with each other, unless such as might be among Turks or Heathens. Neither have you any power to cut off from that body, were it alive, the dead and putrid members. Perhaps you have no desire: but all are jumbled together without any care or concern of yours.

It is plain then, that what Order is to be found, is not among you, who so loudly contend for it, but among that very people whom you continually blame, for their violation and contempt of it. The flock you condemn is united together in one body, by one spirit: so that, "if one member suffers, all the members suffer with it, if one be honoured, all rejoice with it." Nor does any dead member long remain; but as soon as the hope of recovering it is past, it is cut off.

Now, suppose we were willing to relinquish our charge, and to give up this flock into your hands; would you observe the same Order, as we do now, with them and the other souls under your care? You dare not; because you have respect of persons. You fear the faces of men. You cannot; because you have not *overcome the world*. You are not above the desire of earthly things. And it is impossible you should ever have any true Order, or exercise any *Christian Discipline*, till you are wholly *crucified to the world*, till you desire nothing more but God.

Consider this matter, I entreat you, a little farther. Here are seven thousand persons (perhaps somewhat more) of whom I take care, watching over their souls as he that must give account. In order hereto it lies upon me, (so I judge) at the peril of my own salvation, to know not only their names, but their outward and inward states, their difficulties and dangers. Otherwise how can I know either how to guide them aright, or to commend them to God in prayer? Now if I am willing to make these over to you, will you watch over them in the same manner? Will you take the same care (or as much more as you please) of each soul as I have hitherto done? Not such *Curam Animarum* as you have
taken

taken these ten years in your own parish. Poor empty name! Has not your parish been, in fact, as much a Sinecure to you as your Prebend? Oh what account have you to give to the great Shepherd and Bishop of Souls!

18. There is one more excuse for denying this work of God, taken from the Instruments employed therein: that is, "That they are wicked men." And a thousand stories have been handed about to prove it.

But you may observe, their wickedness was not heard of, till after they went about doing good. Their reputation for honesty was till then unblemished. But it was impossible it should continue so, when they were publicly employed in "testifying of the world, that its deeds were evil." It could not be but the Scriptures should be fulfilled. "The servant is not above his Master. If they had called the Master of the house Beelzebub, how much more them of his household?"

Yet I cannot but remind considerate men, in how remarkable a manner the wisdom of God has for many years guarded against this pretence, with respect to my Brother and me in particular. Scarce any two men in Great Britain, of our rank, have been so held out, as it were to all the world: especially of those who from their childhood had always loved and studiously sought retirement. And I had procured what I sought. I was quite safe, as I supposed, in a little country town, when I was required to return to Oxford, without delay, to take the charge of some young gentlemen, by Dr. Morley, the only man then in England to whom I could deny nothing. From that time both my Brother and I (utterly against our will) came to be more and more observed and known, till we were more spoken of, than, perhaps, two so inconsiderable persons ever were before in the nation. To make us more public still, as honest madmen at least, by a strange concurrence of Providences, overturning all our preceding resolutions, we were hurried away to America. However at our return from thence, we were resolved to retire out of the world at once: being sated with noise, hurry and fatigue, and seeking nothing but to be

at rest. Indeed for a long season, the greatest pleasure I had desired, on this side eternity, was

*Tacitum Sylvas inter reptare salubres,
Quærentem quicquid dignum sapiente bonoque.*

And we had attained our desire. We wanted nothing. We looked for nothing more in this world, when we were dragged out again, by earnest importunity, to preach at one place and another, and another, and so carried on, we knew not how, without any design but the general one, of saving souls, into a situation, which had it been named to us at first, would have appeared far worse than death.

19. What a surprising apparatus of Providence was here! And what stronger demonstrations could have been given, of men's acting from a zeal for God, whether it were according to knowledge or no? What persons could, in the nature of things, have been (antecedently) less liable to exception, with regard to their moral character, at least, than those the All-wise God had employed? Indeed I cannot devise what manner of men could have been more unexceptionable on all accounts. Had God indued us with greater natural or acquired abilities, that very thing might have been turned into an objection. Had we been remarkably defective, it would have been matter of objection, on the other hand. Had we been Dissenters of any kind, or even Low-Church Men, (so called) it would have been a great stumbling-block in the way of those who are zealous for the Church. And yet had we continued in the impetuosity of our High-Church zeal, neither should we have been willing to converse with Dissenters, nor they to receive any good at our hands. Some objections were kept out of the way, by our known contempt of money and preferment: and others, by that rigorous strictness of life, which we exacted, not of others, but ourselves only. Insomuch, that twelve or fourteen years ago, the censure of one who had narrowly observed us, (me, in particular) went no farther than this:

“ Does John beyond his strength persist to go,
To his frail carcase literally foe?

Careless

Careless of health, as if in haste to die,
And lavish time to' insure eternity?"

So that upon the whole, I see not what God could have done more in this respect, which he hath not done. Or what Instruments he could have employed in such a work, who would have been less liable to exception.

20. Neither can I conceive how it was possible to do that work, the doing of which, we are still under the strongest conviction, is bound upon us at the peril of our own souls, in a less exceptionable manner. We have, by the grace of God, behaved not only with meekness, but with all tenderness towards all men; with all the tenderness which we conceived it was possible to use without betraying their souls. And from the very first, it has been our special care, to deal tenderly with our brethren of the Clergy. We have not willingly provoked them at any time; neither any single Clergyman. We have not sought occasion to publish their faults; we have not used a thousand occasions that offered. When we were constrained to speak something, we spake as little as we believed we could, without offending God: and that little, though in plain and strong words, yet as mildly and lovingly as we were able. And in the same course we have steadily persevered (as well as in earnestly advising others to tread in our steps) even tho' we saw that with regard to them, by all this we profited nothing; tho' we knew we were still continually represented as *implacable enemies to the Clergy*, as railers against them, as slanderers of them, as seeking all opportunities to blacken and asperse them. When a Clergyman himself has vehemently accused me of doing this, I bless God he could not provoke me to do it. I still *kept my mouth as it were with a bridle*, and committed my cause to a higher hand.

21. The truth is, you impute that hatred to us, which is in your own breast. (I speak not this of all the Clergy; God forbid! But let it fall on whom it concerns.) You, it is certain, have shewn the utmost hatred to us, and in every possible way, unless you
were

were actually to beat us, (of which also we are not without precedent) or to shoot us thro' the head. And if you could prevail upon others to do this, I suppose you would think you did God service. I do not speak without ground. I have heard with my own ears such sermons, (in Staffordshire particularly) that I should not have wondered if as soon as we came out of the Church, the people had stoned me with stones. And it was a natural consequence of what that poor Minister had lately heard at the Bishop's Visitation: as it was one great cause of the miserable riots and outrages which soon followed.

It is this, my brethren, it is your own preaching, and not ours, which sets the people against you. The very same persons, who are diverted with those sermons, cannot but despise you for them in their hearts: even those who on your authority believe most of the assertions which you advance. What then must they think of you, who know the greatest part of what you assert to be utterly false? They may pity and pray for you; but they can esteem you no other, than false witnesses against God and your brethren.

22. "But what need is there (say even some of a milder spirit) of this preaching in fields and streets? Are there not Churches enough to preach in?" No, my friend, there are not; not for *us* to preach in. You forget: we are not suffered to preach there; else we should prefer them to any places whatever. "Well, there are Ministers enough without you." Ministers enough, and Churches enough; for what? To reclaim all the sinners within the four seas? If there were, they would all be reclaimed. But they are not reclaimed. Therefore it is evident, there are not Churches enough. And one plain reason why, notwithstanding all these Churches, they are no nearer being reclaimed is this: they never come into a Church; perhaps not once in a twelve-month, perhaps not for many years together. Will you say (as I have known some tender-hearted Christians) "then it is their own fault, let them die and be damned." I grant it is their own fault. And so it was my fault and yours, when we went astray, like sheep that were lost. Yet the Shepherd

Shepherd of Souls sought after us, and went after us into the wilderness. And "oughtest not thou to have compassion on thy fellow servants, as he had pity on thee?" Ought not we also to seek, as far as in us lies, and to save that which is lost?

Behold the amazing love of God to the outcasts of men! His tender condescension to their folly! They would regard nothing done in the usual way. All this was lost upon them. The ordinary preaching of the word of God, they would not even deign to hear. So the devil made sure of these careless ones. For who should pluck them out of his hand? Then God was moved to jealousy, and went out of the usual way to save the souls which he had made. Then over and above what was ordinarily spoken in his Name, in all the houses of God in the land, he commanded a voice to cry in the wilderness, "Prepare ye the way of the Lord. The time is fulfilled. The kingdom of heaven is at hand. Repent ye and believe the gospel."

23. Consider coolly, if it was not highly expedient, that something of this kind should be? How expedient, were it only on the account of those poor sinners against their own souls, who (to all human appearance) were utterly inaccessible every other way? And what numbers of these are still to be found, even in or near our most populous cities? What multitudes of them were some years since, both in Kingswood, and the Fells about Newcastle? Who, week after week, spent the Lord's day, either in the ale-house, or in idle diversions, and never troubled themselves about going to Church, or to any public worship at all? Now, would you really have desired that these poor wretches should have sinned on, till they dropped into hell? Surely you would not. But by what other means was it possible they should have been plucked out of the fire? Had the Minister of the parish preached like an angel, it had profited them nothing; for they heard him not. But when one came and said, "Yonder is a man preaching on the top of the mountain, they ran in droves to hear what he would say. And God spoke to their hearts. It is hard to conceive any thing else which could have reached them. Had it not been for

Field-

Field-preaching, the uncommonness of which was the very circumstance that recommended it, they must have run on in the error of their way, and perished in their blood.

24. But suppose Field-preaching to be in a case of this kind, ever so expedient, or even necessary, yet who will contest with us for this province?—May we not enjoy this quiet and unmolested? Unmolested, I mean, by any competitors.—For who is there among you, brethren, that is willing (examine your own hearts) even to save souls from death at this price? Would not you let a thousand souls perish, rather than you would be the instrument of rescuing them thus? I do not speak now with regard to conscience, but to the inconveniences that must accompany it. Can you sustain them, if you would? Can you bear the summer sun to beat upon your naked head? Can you suffer the wintry rain or wind, from whatever quarter it blows? Are you able to stand in the open air, without any covering or defence, when God casteth abroad his snow like wool, or scattereth his hoar-frost like ashes? And yet these are some of the smallest inconveniences which accompany Field preaching. For beyond all these, are the contradiction of sinners, the scoffs both of the great vulgar, and the small; contempt and reproach of every kind; often more than verbal affronts, stupid, brutal violence, sometimes to the hazard of health, or limbs, or life. Brethren, do you envy us this honour? What, I pray, would buy you to be a Field-Preacher? Or, what think you, could induce any man of common sense to continue therein one year, unless he had a full conviction in himself, that it was the will of God concerning him?

Upon this conviction it is (were we to submit to these things on any other motive whatsoever, it would furnish you with a better proof of our distraction than any that has yet been found,) that we now do, for the good of poor souls, what you cannot, will not, dare not do. And we desire not that you should; but this one thing we may reasonably desire of you; do not increase the difficulties which are already so great, that without the
mighty

mighty power of God, we must sink under them. Do not assist in trampling down a little handful of men, who for the present stand in the gap between ten thousand poor wretches and destruction, till you find some others to take their places.

25. Highly needful it is, that some should do this, lest those poor souls be lost without remedy. And it should rejoice the hearts of all who desire the kingdom of God should come, that so many of them have been snatched already from the mouth of the lion, by an uncommon (though not unlawful) way. This circumstance therefore is no just excuse, for not acknowledging the work of God. Especially, if we consider, that whenever it has pleased God to work any great work upon the earth, even from the earliest times, he hath stept more or less out of the common way: whether to excite the attention of a greater number of people, than might otherwise have regarded it; or to separate the proud and haughty of heart, from those of an humble, child-like spirit: the former of whom he foresaw, trusting in their own wisdom, would fall on that stone and be broken; while the latter, enquiring with simplicity, would soon know of the work, that it was of God.

26. "Nay, (some say) but God is a God of wisdom. And it is his work to give understanding. Whereas this man is one of them, and he is a fool. You see the fruits of their preaching." No, my friend, you do not. That is your mistake. A fool very possibly he may be. So it appears by his talking, perhaps writing too. But this is none of the fruits of our preaching. He was a fool before ever he heard us. We found and are likely to leave him so. Therefore his folly is not to be imputed to us, even if it continue to the day of his death. As we are not the cause, so we undertake not the cure of disorders of this kind. No fair man therefore can excuse himself thus, from acknowledging the work of God.

Perhaps you will say, "He is not a natural fool neither. But he is so ignorant! He knows not the first principles of Religion." It is very possible. But have patience with him, and he will know them by and by.

Yea,

Yea, if he be in earnest to save his soul, far sooner than you can conceive, And in the mean time, neither is this an objection of any weight. Many when they begin to hear us, may, without any fault of ours, be utter strangers to the whole of Religion. But this is no incurable disease. Yet a little while and they may be wise unto salvation.

Is the ignorance you complain of among this people (you who object to the people more than to their Teachers) of another kind? Do not they "know, how in meekness to reprove or instruct those that oppose themselves?" I believe what you say: all of them do not: they have not put on gentleness and long-suffering. I wish they had: pray for them that they may; that they may be mild and patient toward all men. But what if they are not! Sure you do not make this an argument that God hath not sent us? Our Lord came, and we come, *not to call the righteous, but sinners to repentance*: passionate sinners, (such as these whereof you complain) as well as those of every other kind. Nor can it be expected they should be wholly delivered from their sin, as soon as they begin to hear his word.

27. A greater stumbling-block than this is laid before you, by those that say and do not. Such I take it for granted will be among us, although we purge them out as fast as we can: persons that talk much of Religion, that commend the Preachers, perhaps are diligent in hearing them: it may be, read all their books, and sing their hymns; and yet no change is wrought in their hearts. Were they of old time as lions in their houses? They are the same still. Were they (in low life) slothful or intemperate? Were they tricking or dishonest? over-reaching or oppressive? Or did they use to borrow and not pay? *The Ethiopian hath not changed his skin.* Were they (in high life) delicate, tender, self-indulgent? Were they nice in furniture or apparel? Were they fond of trifles, or of their own dear persons? *The Leopard hath not changed her spots.* Yet their being with us for a time proves no more, than that we have not the miraculous discernment of spirits.

Others

Others you may find in whom there was a real change. But it was only for a season. They are now turned back, and are two-fold more the children of hell than before. Yet neither is this any manner of proof, that the former work was not of God. No, not tho' these apostates should, with the utmost confidence, say all manner of evil against us. I expect they should. For every other injury hath been forgiven, and will be to the end of the world. But hardly shall any one forgive the intolerable injury, of *almost persuading him to be a Christian*. When these men therefore who were with us, but went out from among us, assert things that may cause your ears to tingle, if you consider either the Scripture, or the nature of man, it will not stagger you at all. Much less will it excuse you, for not acknowledging the work in general to be of God.

23. But to all this it may possibly be replied, "When you bring your credentials with you, when you prove by miracles what you assert, then we will acknowledge that God hath sent you."

What is it you would have us prove by miracles? That the Doctrines we preach are true? This is not the way to prove that; (as our first Reformers replied to those of the Church of Rome, who, you may probably remember, were continually urging them with this very demand.) We prove the Doctrines we preach, by Scripture and Reason; and, if need be, by Antiquity.

What else is it then we are to prove by Miracles?

Is it, 1. That *A. B.* was for many years without God in the world, a common swearer, a drunkard, a sabbath-breaker?

Or, 2. That he is not so now?

Or, 3. That he continued so till he heard us preach, and from that time was another man?

Not so. The proper way to prove these facts, is by the testimony of competent witnesses: and these witnesses are ready, whenever required, to give full evidence of them.

Or would you have us prove by miracles,

4. That this was not done by our own power or holiness? That God only is able to raise the dead, those

A a

who

who are dead in trespasses and sins? Nay, if you hear not Moses and the Prophets and Apostles on this head, neither would you believe though one rose from the dead.

It is therefore utterly unreasonable and absurd, to require or expect the proof of miracles, in questions of such a kind, as are always decided by proofs of quite another nature.

29. "But you relate them yourself." I relate just what I saw, from time to time: and this is true, that some of those circumstances seem to go beyond the ordinary course of nature. But I do not peremptorily determine, whether they were supernatural, or no. Much less do I rest upon them, either the proof of other facts, or those of the doctrines which I preach. I prove these in the ordinary way; the one by Testimony, the other by Scripture and Reason.

"But if you can work miracles when you please, is not this the surest way of proving them? This would put the matter out of dispute at once, and supersede all other proof."

You seem to lie under an entire mistake, both of the nature and use of miracles. It may reasonably be questioned, whether there ever was that man living upon earth, except the man Christ Jesus, that could work miracles when he pleased. God only, when he pleased, exerted that power, and by whomsoever it pleased him.

But if a man could work miracles when he pleased, yet is there no Scripture-authority, nor even example for doing it, in order to satisfy such a demand as this. I do not read, that either our Lord or any of his Apostles, wrought any miracle on such an occasion. Nay, how sharply does our Lord rebuke those who made a demand of this kind? When "certain of the Scribes and Pharisees answered, saying, Master, we would see a sign from thee;" (observe, this was their method of answering the strong reasons whereby he had just proved the works in question to be of God!) "He answered and said to them, an evil and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign. But there shall no sign be given to it, but the sign of the prophet Jonas," Matt. xii.

38, 39. "An evil and adulterous generation!" Else they would not have needed such a kind of proof. Had they been willing to do his will, they would, without this, have known that the doctrine was of God.

Miracles therefore are quite needless in such a case. Nor are they so conclusive a proof as you imagine. If a man could and did work them, in defence of any doctrine, yet this would not supersede other proof. For there may be *τερατα ψευδης*, lying Wonders, miracles wrought in support of falsehood. Still therefore this doctrine would remain to be proved, from the proper topics of Scripture and Reason. And these even without miracles are sufficient. But miracles without these are not. Accordingly our Saviour and all his Apostles, in the midst of their greatest miracles, never failed to prove every doctrine they taught, by clear Scripture and cogent Reason.

30. I presume, by this time you may perceive the gross absurdity of demanding Miracles in the present case: seeing one of the propositions in question, (over and above our general doctrines) viz. "That sinners are reformed," can only be proved by Testimony: and the other, "This cannot be done but by the power of God, needs no proof, being self-evident.

"Why, I did once myself rejoice to hear, (says a grave citizen, with an air of great importance) that so many sinners were reformed, till I found they were only turned from one wickedness to another; that they were turned from cursing or swearing, or drunkenness, into the no less damnable sin of *Schism*."

Do you know what you say? You have, I am afraid, a confused huddle of ideas in your head. And I doubt, you have not capacity to clear them up yourself: nor coolness enough, to receive help from others.

However I will try. What is *Schism*? Have you any determinate idea of it? I ask the rather, because I have found, by repeated experiments, that a common English tradesman receives no more light, when he hears or reads, "This is *Schism*," than if he heard or read,

Bombalio, stridor, clangor, taratantara, murmur.

Honest neighbour do not be angry. Lay down your hammer, and let us talk a little on this head.

You say, "We are in the damnable sin of Schism. and therefore in as bad a state as Adulterers and Murderers."

I ask once more, What do you mean by Schism? "Schism! Schism! Why, it is separating from the Church." Ay, so it is. And yet every separating from the Church to which we once belonged, is not Schism. Else you will make all the English to be Schismatics, in separating from the Church of Rome. "But we had just cause." So doubtless we had: whereas Schism is a causeless separation from the Church of Christ. So far so good. But you have many steps to take before you can make good that conclusion, that a separation from a particular National Church, such as the Church of England is, whether with sufficient cause or without, comes under the scriptural notion of Schism.

However, taking this for granted, will you aver in cool blood, That every one who dies a Quaker, a Baptist, an Independant, or a Presbyterian, is as infallibly damned as if he died in the act of murder or adultery? Surely you start at the thought! It makes even nature recoil. How then can you reconcile it to the Love that hopeth all things?

31. But whatever state they are in, who causelessly separate from the Church of England, it affects not those of whom we are speaking; for they do not separate from it at all.

You may easily be convinced of this, if you will only weigh the particulars following.

1. A great part of these went to no Church at all, before they heard us preach. They no more pretended to belong to the Church of England, than to the Church of Muscovy. If therefore they went to no Church now, they would be no farther from the Church than they were before.

2. Those who did sometimes go to Church before, go three times as often now. These therefore do not separate

rate from the Church. Nay, they are united to it more closely than before.

3. Those who never went to Church at all before, do now go at all opportunities. Will common sense allow any one to say, that these are separated from the Church?

4. The main question is, Are they turned from doing the works of the devil, to do the works of God? Do they now live soberly, righteously, and godly, in the present world? If they do, if they live according to the Directions of the Church, believe her Doctrines, and join in her Ordinances: with what face can you say, that these men separate from the Church of England?

32. But in what state are they whom the Clergy and Gentry (and perhaps you for one) have successfully laboured to preserve from this damnable sin of Schism? Whom you have kept from hearing these men, and separating from the Church?

Is not the drunkard that was, a drunkard still? Enquire of his poor wife and family. Is not the common swearer still horribly crying to God for damnation upon his own soul? Is not the sinner in every other kind, exactly the same man still? Not better at least, if he be not worse, than he was ten years ago.

Now consider, 1. Does the Church of England gain either honour, or strength, or blessing, by such wretches as these calling themselves her Members? By ten thousand Drunkards, or Whoremongers, or Common Swearers? Nay, ought she not immediately to spew them out? To renounce all fellowship with them? Would she not be far better without them than with them? Let any man of reason judge.

2. Is this Drunkard's calling himself of the Church of England, of any more use to him than to the Church? Will this save him from hell, if he die in his sin? Will it not rather increase his damnation?

3. Is not a Drunkard of any other Church, just as good as a drunkard of the Church of England? Yea, is not a drunken Papist as much in the favour of God, as a drunken Protestant?

4. Is not a curling, swearing Turk, (if there be such a one to be found) full as acceptable to God, as a curling, swearing Christian?

Nay, 5. If there be any advantage, does it not lie on the side of the former? Is he not the less excusable of the two? As sinning against less light?

O why will you sink these poor souls deeper into perdition, than they are sunk already? Why will you prophesy unto them, Peace, Peace; when there is no Peace? Why, if you do it not yourself, (whether you cannot, or will not; God knoweth,) should you hinder us from guiding them into the way of Peace?

33. Will you endeavour to excuse yourself by saying, "there are not many who are the better for your preaching: and these by and by will be as bad as ever; as such and such a one is already?"

I would to God I could set this in a just light! But I cannot. All language fails.

God begins a glorious work in our land. You set yourself against it with all your might; to prevent its beginning where it does not yet appear, and to destroy it wherever it does, In part you prevail. You keep many from hearing the word that is able to save their souls. Others who had heard it, you induce to turn back from God, and to lift under the devil's banner again. Then you make the success of your own wickedness an excuse for not acknowledging the work of God! You urge, "that not many sinners were reformed! And that some of those are now as bad as ever!"

Whose fault is this? Is it ours, or your own? Why have not thousands more been reformed? Yea, for every one who is now turned to God, why are there not ten thousand? Because you and your associates laboured so heartily in the cause of hell; because you and they spared no pains, either to prevent or to destroy the work of God! By using all the power and wisdom you had, you hindered thousands from hearing the Gospel, which they might have found to be the power of God unto salvation. Their blood is upon your heads. By inventing, or countenancing, or retailing

tailing lies, some refined, some gross and palpable, you hindered others from profiting by what they did hear. You are answerable to God for these souls also. Many who began to taste the good word, and to run the way of God's commandments, you, by various methods, prevailed on to hear it no more. So they soon drew back to perdition. But know, that for every one of these also, God will require an account of you in the day of judgment.

34. And yet, in spite of all the malice, and wisdom, and strength, not only of men, but of *Principalities and Powers, of the Rulers of the darkness of this world, of the wicked Spirits in high places*; there are thousands found, who are turned from *dumb idols, to serve the living and true God*. What a harvest then we might have seen before now, if all who say, they are *on the Lord's side*, had come, as in all reason they ought, *to the help of the Lord against the Mighty*? Yea, had they only not opposed the work of God, had they only refrained from his Messengers; might not the trumpet of God have been heard long since in every corner of the land? And thousands of sinners in every county been brought to *fear God and honour the King*.

Judge of what immense service we might have been, even in this single point, both to our King and Country. All who hear, and regard the word we preach, honour the King for God's sake. They render unto *Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's*, as well as unto God the things that are God's.—They have no conception of piety without loyalty; knowing *the powers that be, are ordained of God*. I pray God to strengthen all that are of this mind, how many soever they be. But might there not have been at this day, a hundred thousand in England, thus minded, more than are now? Yea, verily; even by our ministry, had not they who should have strengthened us, weakened our hands.

35. Surely you are not wise! What advantages do you throw away! What opportunities do you lose? Such as another day you may earnestly seek, and nevertheless may not find them. For if it please God to remove us, whom will you find to supply our place?

We

We are in all things your servants for Jesus' sake ; tho' the more we love you, the less we are loved. Let us be employed not in the highest, but in the meanest ; and not in the easiest, but in the hottest service. Ease and plenty we leave to those that want them. Let us go on in toil, in weariness, in painfulness, in cold or hunger, so we may but testify the Gospel of the grace of God. The rich, the honourable, the great, we are thoroughly willing (if it be the will of our Lord) to leave to you. Only let us alone with the poor, the vulgar, the base, the outcasts of men. — Take also to yourselves the Saints of the world ; but suffer us to call sinners to repentance ; even the most vile, the most ignorant, the most abandoned, the most fierce and savage of whom we can hear. To these we will go forth in the name of our Lord, desiring nothing, receiving nothing of any man (save the bread we eat, while we are under his roof) and let it be seen whether God hath sent us. Only, let not your hands, who fear the Lord, be upon us. Why should we be stricken of you any more ?

IV. 1. Surely ye are without excuse, all who do not yet know the day of your visitation ! The day, wherein the great God, who hath been forgotten among us, days without number, is arising at once to be avenged of his adversaries, and to visit and redeem his people. And are not his judgments and mercies both abroad ? And still, will ye not learn righteousness ? Is not the Lord passing by ? Doth not a great and strong wind already begin to rend the mountains, and to break in pieces the rocks before the Lord ? Is not the earthquake also felt already ? And a fire hath begun to burn in his anger. Who knoweth what will be the end thereof ? But at the same time, he is speaking to man in a still, small voice. He that hath ears to hear, let him hear, lest he be suddenly destroyed, and that without remedy !

2. What excuse can possibly be made for those, who are regardless of such a season as this ? Who are at such a crisis, stupid, senseless, unapprehensive ; caring for none of these things ? Who do not give themselves the

the pains to think about them, but are still easy and unconcerned? What! Can there ever be a point, on which it more behoves you to think? And that with the coolest and deepest attention? As long as the heaven and the earth remain, can there be any thing of so vast importance, as God's Last Call to a guilty Land, just perishing in its iniquity!

You, with those round about you, deserved long ago to have *drank the dregs of the cup of trembling*: yea, to have been "punished with everlasting destruction, from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power." But he hath not dealt with you according to your sins, neither rewarded you after your iniquities. And once more he is mixing mercy with judgment. Once more he is crying aloud, "Turn ye, turn ye from your evil ways; for why will ye die, O House of Israel;" and will you not deign to give him the hearing? If you are not careful to answer him in this matter, do you still shut your eyes, and stop your ears, and harden your stubborn heart?—Oh beware, lest God laugh at your calamity, and mock when your fear cometh!

3. Will you plead that you have other concerns to mind? That other business engages your thoughts? It does so indeed; but this is your foolishness; this is the very thing that leaves you without excuse.—For what business can be of equal moment? The mariner may have many concerns to mind, and many businesses to engage his thoughts: but not when the ship is sinking. In such a circumstance (it is your own) you have but one thing to think of. Save the ship and your own life together! And the higher post you are in, the more deeply intent should you be on this point. Is this a time for diversions? For eating and drinking, and rising up to play? Keep the ship above water. Let all else go, and mind *This one thing!*

4. Perhaps you will say, "So I do. I do mind this one thing, how to save the sinking nation. And therefore now I must think of Arms and Provisions. I have no time now to think of Religion." This is exactly as if the mariner should say, "Now I must think

think of my Guns and Stores. I have no time now to think of the Hold." Why man, you must think of this, or perish. It is there the Leak is sprung. Stop that, or you and all your Stores will go together to the bottom of the sea.

Is not this your very case? Then, whatever you do, stop the Leak: else you go to the bottom! I do not speak against your Stores. They are good in their kind; and it may be well they are laid in.—But all your Stores will not save the sinking ship, unless you can stop the Leak. Unless you can some way keep out these floods of ungodliness, that are still continually pouring in, you must soon be swallowed up in the great deep, in the Abyss of God's judgments. This, this is the destruction of the English nation. It is vice bursting in on every side, that is just ready to sink us into slavery first, and then into the nethermost hell.—“Who is a wise man, and endued with knowledge among you?” Let him think of this. Think of this, all that love your country, or care for your own souls. If now especially you do not think of this one thing, you have no excuse before God or man.

5. Little more excuse have you, who are still in doubt concerning this day of your visitation. For you have all the proof that you can reasonably expect or desire, all that the nature of the thing requires. That in many places, abundance of notorious sinners are totally reformed, is declared by a thousand eye and ear-witnesses, both of their present and past behaviour. And you are sensible, the proof of such a point as this must, in the nature of things, rest upon testimony. And that God alone is able to work such a reformation, you know all the Scriptures testify. What would you have more? What pretence can you have, for doubting any longer? You have not the least room to expect or desire any other or any stronger evidence.

I trust, you are not of those who fortify themselves against conviction; who are “resolved they will never believe this.” They ask, “who are these men?” We tell them plainly: but they credit us not. Another
and

and another of their own friends is convinced, and tells them the same thing. But their answer is ready, "Are you turned Methodist too?" So their testimony likewise goes for nothing. Now how is it possible these should ever be convinced? For they will believe none but those who speak on one side.

6. Do you delay fixing your judgment, till you see a work of God, without any stumbling-block attending it? That neither is yet, nor ever will. "It must needs be, that offences will come. And scarce ever was there such a work of God before, with so few as have attended this.

When the Reformation began, what mountainous offences lay in the way, of even the sincere Members of the Church of Rome? They saw such failings in those great men, Luther and Calvin! Their vehement tenaciousness of their opinions; their bitterness towards all who differed from them; their impatience of contradiction, and utter want of forbearance, even with their own brethren.

But the grand stumbling-block of all, was, their opened avowed separation from the Church; their rejecting so many of the doctrines and practices, which the others accounted the most sacred; and their continual invectives against the Church they separated from, so much sharper than Michael's reproof of Satan.

Were there fewer stumbling-blocks attending the Reformation in England? Surely no; for what was Henry the Eighth? Consider, either his character, his motives to the work, or manner of pursuing it! And even King Edward's Ministry we cannot clear of persecuting in their turns, yea, and burning Heretics. The main stumbling-block also still remained, viz. open separation from the Church.

7. Full as many were the offences that lay in the way of even the sincere Members of the Church of England, when the people called Quakers first professed, that they were sent of God to reform the land. Whether they were or no, is beside our question: it suffices for the present purpose to observe, that over and above their open, avowed, total separation from the

the Church, and their vehement invectives against many of her Doctrines, and the whole frame of her Discipline; they spent their main strength in disputing about Opinions and Externals, rather than in preaching faith, mercy, and the love of God.

In these respects, the case was nearly the same when the Baptists first appeared in England. They immediately commenced a warm dispute, not concerning the vitals of Christianity, but concerning the manner and time of administering one of the external Ordinances of it. And as their Opinion hereof totally differed from that of all the other Members of the Church of England, so they soon openly declared their separation from it, not without sharp censures of those that continued therein.

8. The same occasion of offence was, in a smaller degree, given by the Presbyterians and Independants: for they also spent great part of their time and strength, in opposing the commonly received Opinions concerning some of the circumstantialia of Religion; and for the sake of these, separated from the Church.

But I do not include that venerable man, Mr. Philip Henry, nor any that were of his spirit, in this number. I know they abhorred contending about Externals. Neither did they separate themselves from the Church. They continued therein, till they were driven out, whether they would or no. I cannot but tenderly sympathize with these; and the more, because this is, in part, our own case. Warm men spare no pains, at this very day, to drive us out of the Church. They cry out to the people, wherever one of us comes, "a Mad Dog, a Mad Dog!" if haply we might fly for our lives, as many have done before us. And sure it is, we should have complied with their desire, we should merely for peace and quietness have left the Church long before now, but that we could not in conscience do it. And it is on this single motive, it is for conscience sake that we still continue therein; and shall continue (God being our helper) unless they by violence thrust us out.

9. But to return. What are the stumbling blocks in the present case, compared to those in any of the preceding?

We

We do not dispute concerning any of the externals or circumstantials of Religion. There is no room; for we agree with you therein. We approve of, and adhere to them all; all that we learned together when we were children, in our Catechism and Common-Prayer Book. We were born and bred up in your own Church, and desire to die therein. We always were, and are now, zealous for the Church; only not with a blind, angry zeal. We hold, and ever have done, the same opinions, which you and we received from our forefathers. But we do not lay the main stress of our Religion on any Opinion, right or wrong: neither do we ever begin, or willingly join in any dispute concerning them. The weight of all Religion, we apprehend, rests on Holiness of Heart and Life. And consequently, wherever we come, we press this with all our might. How wide then is the difference between our case and the case of any of those that are above-mentioned? They avowedly separated from the Church: we utterly disavow any such design. They severely, and almost continually, inveighed against the Doctrines and Discipline of the Church they left. We approve both the Doctrines and Discipline of our Church, and inveigh only against Ungodliness and Unrighteousness. They spent great part of their time and strength in contending about Externals and Circumstantials. We agree with you in both; so that having no room to spend any time in such vain Contention, we have our desire of spending and being spent, in promoting plain, practical Religion. How many stumbling-blocks are removed out of your way? Why do not you acknowledge the work of God.

10. If you say, "Because you hold Opinions which I cannot believe are true:" I answer, believe them true or false; I will not quarrel with you about any Opinion. Only see that your heart be right towards God, that you know and love the Lord Jesus Christ; that you love your Neighbour, and walk as your Master walked, and I desire no more. I am sick of Opinions: I am weary to bear them. My soul loaths this frothy food. Give me solid and substantial Religion.

ligion. Give me an humble, gentle Lover of God and Man; a man full of Mercy and good Fruits, without partiality, and without hypocrisy: a man laying himself out in the Work of Faith, the Patience of Hope, the Labour of Love. Let my soul be with these Christians, wheresoever they are, and whatsoever Opinion they are of. "Whosoever [thus] doth the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my Brother, and Sister, and Mother.

11. Inexcusably infatuated must you be, if you can even doubt whether the propagation of this Religion be of God! Only more inexcusable are those unhappy men, who oppose, contradict and blaspheme it.

How long will you stop your ears against him, that still crieth, "Why persecutest thou me? It is hard for thee to kick against the pricks;" for a man to *contend with his Maker*. How long will you despise the well-known advice of a great and learned man, "Refrain from these men, and let them alone. If this work be of man, it will come to nought. But if it be of God, ye cannot overthrow it." And why should you *be found even to fight against God*? If a man fight with God, shall he prevail? "Canst thou thunder with a voice like him? Make haste! Fall down! Humble thyself before him. Lest he put forth his hand, and thou perish.

12. How long will you fight under the banner of the great Enemy of God and man? You are now in his service: you are taking part with the Devil against God. Even supposing there were no other proof, this would undeniably appear, from the goodly company among whom you are enlisted, and who war one and the same warfare. I have heard some affirm, that the most bitter enemies to the present work of God, were Pharisees. They meant, men who had the form of godliness, but denied the power of it. But I cannot say so. The sharpest adversaries thereof whom I have hitherto known (unless one might except a few honourable men, whom I may be excused from naming) were the Scum of Cornwall, the Rabble of Bilton and Darleston; the wild Beasts of Walsal, and the Turnkeys of Newgate.

13. Might not the very sight of these Troops, shew any reasonable man, to what General they belonged? As well as the weapons they never fail to use; the most horrid Oaths and Execrations, and lawless Violence, carrying away as a flood whatsoever it is which stands before it: having no eyes, nor ears, no regard to the loudest cries of Reason, Justice or Humanity: can you join heart or hands with these any longer? With such an infamous, scandalous Rabble-rout, roaring and raging as if they were just broke loose, with their Captain Apollyon, from the bottomless pit? Does it not rather concern you, and that in the highest degree, as well as every friend to his King and Country, every lover of Peace, Justice and Mercy, immediately to join and stop any such godless crews, as they would join to stop a fire just beginning to spread, or an inundation of the sea?

14. If on the contrary, you join with that godless crew, and strengthen their hands in their wickedness, must not you, in all reason, be accounted, (like them) a public enemy of mankind? And indeed such must every one appear, in the eye of unprejudiced Reason, who opposes directly or indirectly, the Reformation of mankind. By Reformation I mean, the bringing them back (not to this or that system of Opinions, or to this or that set of Rites and Ceremonies, how decent and significant soever; but) to the calm love of God and one another, to an uniform practice of Justice, Mercy and Truth. With what colour can you lay any claim to Humanity, to Benevolence, to public Spirit, if you can once open your mouth, or stir one finger, against such a Reformation as this?

It is a poor excuse to say, "O, but the people are brought into several erroneous Opinions." It matters not a straw, whether they are or no: (I speak of such Opinions as do not touch the foundation) it is scarce worth while to spend ten words about it. Whether they embrace this religious Opinion or that, is no more concern to me, than whether they embrace this or that system of Astronomy. Are they brought to holy Tempers and holy Lives? This is mine, and should be your enquiry; since on this, both social and

personal happiness depend: happiness, temporal and eternal. Are they brought to the Love of God and the Love of their Neighbour? Pure Religion and undefiled is this, how long then will you darken counsel, by words without knowledge? The plain Religion now propagated is Love. And can you oppose this, without being an enemy to mankind?

15. No; nor without being an enemy to your King and Country: especially at such a time as this. For however men of no thought may not see or regard it, or hesitating cowards may brave it out; it is evident to every man of calm reflection, that our nation stands on the very brink of destruction. And why are we thus, but because "the cry of our wickedness is gone up to heaven?" Because we have so exceedingly, abundantly, beyond measure, corrupted our ways before the Lord. And because, to all our other abominations we have added, the open fighting against God; the not only rejecting, but even denying, yea, blaspheming his last offers of mercy; the hindering others who were desirous to close therewith; the despitefully using his Messengers, and the variously troubling and oppressing those who did accept of his grace, break off their sins, and turn to him with their whole heart.

16. I cannot but believe, it is chiefly on this account, that God hath now *a controversy with our land*. And must not any considerate man be inclined to form the same judgment, if he reviews the state of public affairs, for only a few years last past? I will not enter into particulars. But, in general, can you possibly help observing, that whenever there has been any thing like a public attempt, to suppress *this new Sect*, (for so it was artfully represented) another and another public trouble arose. This has been repeated so often, that it is surprising any man of sense can avoid taking notice of it. May we turn at length "to him that smiteth us, hear the Rod, and him that appointeth it!" May we "humble ourselves under the mighty hand of God," before the great deep swallow us up!

17. Just now, viz. on the 4th of this instant December, the Reverend Mr. Henry Wickham, one of his

his Majesty's Justices of Peace for the West-Riding of Yorkshire writes an order,

To the Constable of Kighley, commanding him, "to convey the body of Jonathan Reeves, (whose real crime is, the calling Sinners to repentance) to his Majesty's Goal and Castle of York; suspected (saith the Precept) of being a Spy among us, and a dangerous man to the person and government of his Majesty King George."

God avert the Omen! I fear this is no presage either of the repentance or deliverance of our poor Nation!

18. If we will not turn and repent, if we will harden our hearts, and acknowledge neither his Judgments nor Mercies; what remains but the fulfilling of that dreadful word, which God spake by the Prophet Ezekiel; "Son of man, when the land sinneth against me, by trespassing grievously; then will I stretch forth my hand upon it, and break the staff of the bread thereof.—Though these three men, Noah, Daniel, and Job, were in it, they should deliver but their own souls. Or if I bring a sword upon that land, and say, "Sword, go through the land:"—Or if I send a Pestilence into that land, and pour out my fury upon it in blood:—Though Noah, Daniel, and Job were in it, as I live, saith the Lord God, they shall deliver neither son nor daughter; they shall but deliver their own souls by their righteousness," Chap. xiv. ver. 13, 14, 17. 19. 20.

"Yet behold, therein shall be left a Remnant, that shall be brought forth, both sons and daughters.—And ye shall be comforted concerning the evil that I have brought upon Jerusalem.—And ye shall know that I have not done without cause, all that I have done in it, saith the Lord God." Ver. 22, 23.

LONDON, Dec. 18, 1745.

BOOKS

Published by the late Rev. Mr. WESLEY,
And sold at the New Chapel, City-Road, London.

~~~~~  
1. **T**HE Life of Mr. THOMAS WALSH, of Bally-Linn, in Ireland; composed chiefly from his own papers, by Mr. JAMES MORGAN. Mr. WALSH was educated in the principles of the Church of Rome, but being convinced of the errors and pernicious Doctrines of that Church, he embraced the Protestant Religion. Soon after he was instructed more perfectly in the truth as it is in Jesus, and became an Itinerant Preacher in the Methodist Connection. This Narrative contains a particular account of his remarkable Convictions and Conversion; his deep experience, inward sufferings, persecutions afflictions, and temptations: his almost unexampled zeal for the conversion of sinners, and indefatigable labours in the ministry, both in England and Ireland. Bound 1s. 6d. sewed 1s.

2. The Life of the pious and venerable Mr. JOHN FLETCHER, Vicar of Madeley, Author of the Checks against Antinomianism, and many other valuable pieces of polemical and practical Divinity. For the Character of Mr. Fletcher see the Arminian Magazine for the year 1793. Price bound 2s. 6d. sewed 2s.

3. Extract of the Life of Madam GUION. The account of this extraordinary person abounds with many excellent things, tending to promote the spiritual advantage of the children of God. She was often favoured with uncommon influences of the Holy Spirit; and, notwithstanding her education among a dark, degenerate people, she attained through Grace, a large measure of the Mind that was in Christ Jesus: of righteousness, peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost: few instances are to be found of such exalted love to God and our neighbour;

## B O O K S, &c.

bour; of genuine humility, invincible meekness, and unbounded resignation. Price bound 2s. 6d. sewed 2s.

4. The Life of Mr. THOMAS HALIBURTON, Professor of Divinity in the University of St. Andrews, Scotland. In this Narrative is described the Work of God in the Soul of Man, in a way so clear and instructing, as is rarely to be met with either in ancient or modern Writings. Mr. Haliburton went through deep convictions and distressing temptations, for several years; he was particularly exercised with perplexing doubts concerning the Being of God, and the Veracity of the Holy Scriptures; and was happily and powerfully delivered from all his fears and anxieties. His labours in the Ministry were successful, and he finished his course with holy triumph in the Lord. Price 6d.

5. The Life of M. DE RENTY, a French Nobleman, of exemplary piety and devotedness to God. Some years before his death he could testify "I carry about with me ordinarily, an experimental verity, and a plenitude of the presence of the Holy Trinity; and this in so lively a manner, that my outward employments create me no disturbance at all." Price 6d.

6. An Account of God's Dealings with Mr. JOHN HAIME. He was brought to the knowledge of the Truth when a soldier in the Queen's Regiment of Dragoons, and preached the Gospel to the British Army in Flanders, in the years 1744 and 45. This Narrative includes some account of the Methodist Soldiers who were killed at the battle of Fontenoy. 4d.

7. The Journal and Christian Experience of Mrs. ELIZABETH HARPER, of Redruth in Cornwall. Price 4d.

8. The Life of Mr. JOHN JANEWAY, Fellow of King's College, Cambridge. 4d.

9. A short Account of the happy Death of Mrs. HANNAH RICHARDSON; by Mr. Charles Wesley. 1d.



B  L

90093895

